

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Are associations between electronic media use and BMI different across levels of physical activity?

Ole Melkevik^{1*}, Ellen Haug², Mette Rasmussen³, Anne Siri Fismen⁴, Bente Wold⁴, Alberto Borraccino⁵, Erik Sigmund⁶, Robert Balazsi⁷, Jens Bucksch⁸, Jo Inchley⁹, Margarida Gaspar de Matos¹⁰ and Oddrun Samdal⁴

Abstract

Background: The use of electronic media has been found to be a risk factor for higher BMI and for being overweight. Physical activity has been found to be associated with lower BMI and lower risk for being overweight. Little is known about whether the associations between physical activity and electronic media use are additive or interactive in predicting BMI and risk for overweight among adolescents.

Methods: The data used in this study stem from the 2009/2010 survey of "Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: A WHO Cross-National Survey. The sample consisted of 107184 13 and 15 year students from 30 different countries. Multilevel regression models were used to produce the presented estimates.

Results: Overall, 18% of boys and 11% of girls were classified as overweight. EM use was found to be associated with increased BMI z-scores and odds for overweight among both boys and girls who did not comply with physical activity guidelines. Among physically active adolescents, EM was found to be significantly associated with BMI or odds for overweight among girls, but not among boys.

Conclusion: While the usage of EM appear to be inconsequential for BMI and the risk of overweight among physically active boys, we find evidence indicating that EM use is associated with BMI and risk for overweight among girls, including those who report complying with MVPA guidelines.

Background

The understanding of modifiable risk factors for overweight and obesity among children and adolescents is of critical importance due to its high prevalence across most parts of the western and developing world. Indeed, a recent review suggests that approximately 30% of American adolescents and 22%–25% of European adolescents are overweight or obese [1]. While some studies indicate that prevalence of overweight and obesity have plateaued in some parts of the world [2-4], prevalence is still high and preventive efforts are required [5].

Overweight and obesity among youth is associated with a range of poor health outcomes including multiple cardiovascular risk factors [6] and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus [7]. In addition, obese children (Body

Mass Index (BMI) ≥ 30) in particular have been found to have lower health related quality of life [8], to be more likely to experience weight-based teasing [9], to experience social marginalization [10], and to have poorer levels of academic achievement [11]. Obesity in childhood tends to persist into adulthood [6] where obesity is associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, some types of cancer [12], and premature death [13].

The use of electronic media (EM) such as TV viewing, video gaming and personal computer (PC) use has been found to be a risk factor for higher BMI and for being overweight in a number of studies [14,15]. However, the evidence is somewhat inconsistent across types of EM and across sex [16]. Physical activity is another important determinant of weight status and is associated with increased energy expenditure and lower BMI scores across a number of studies [17]. Several studies have found moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) to be weakly correlated with EM behaviors [18,19] meaning

* Correspondence: ole.melkevik@fhi.no

¹Division for Mental Health, Norwegian institute of Public Health, Bergen, Norway

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

that some adolescents may spend a substantial amount of time in both types of behavior. This study aims to investigate these patterns of behavior and their association with body composition as measured by BMI.

EM use and MVPA could potentially be additive or interactive in their associations with BMI. Additive associations would imply that associations between one type of behavior and BMI would be stable irrespective of how much time is spent in the other type of behavior. Practical implications of additive associations would be that the reduction in EM usage would be equally beneficial for individuals across all activity levels. It would also be possible to compensate for the risk associated with high levels of EM use by being physically active. An interactive relationship however, would imply that associations between EM use and BMI would depend upon the level of MVPA.

The relevance of investigating both additive and interactive associations between EM and MVPA is highlighted by a number of studies that have identified subgroups of adolescents reporting relatively high levels of both MVPA and EM usage [20-23]. Importantly, these studies all suggest sex specific patterns in associations where time spent using EM has been found to be an important determinant for weight status among physically active girls, but not among active boys.

National recommendations from USA [24], Canada [25] and Australia [26] suggest that parents should limit the exposure of total media time (USA), recreational screen time (Canada), and electronic media for entertainment (Australia) to a maximum of two hours daily for children and adolescents. In the current study, we explore whether exceeding two hours in one or multiple types of EM is associated with BMI or risk for overweight in adolescents participating in a large scale cross-national survey across Europe and North America. Further, we wish to determine if associations between EM usage and BMI are moderated by being physically active according to current recommendations.

Methods

Sample

The data used in this study stem from the 2009/2010 survey of "Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: A WHO Cross-National Survey" [27]. School or school class was used as the primary sampling unit and samples were stratified to ensure national representativeness. Each participating country followed the same international research protocol to ensure consistency in survey instruments, consent, data collection and processing procedures [28]. The survey was conducted in the classroom administered by the class teacher. Student participation was voluntary, and active, or we sought passive consent from school administrators, parents, and children

in accordance with the national requirements of each respective country. Ethics clearance was obtained by each country from a university-based review board or equivalent regulatory body. The response rate is estimated to be over 60% in most countries. More details on the HBSC study procedures can be found elsewhere [28,29].

The population selected for sampling was 11, 13 and 15 year olds attending school with the desired mean age for the three age groups being 11.5, 13.5 and 15.5 years. We chose to exclude 11 year olds as they had substantially higher proportions of missing responses for BMI. Participants from Armenia, Belgium (Wallonia), England, Greenland, Ireland, Lithuania, Scotland, Wales, and Canada were also excluded due to high proportions of missing responses for BMI (over 20%), or lack of relevant data (Lithuania). Finally, the analysis included 107184 participants (54508 girls and 52676 boys) from 30 countries (see Table 1 for the list of countries).

Measures and constructs

Self-reported information about height and weight was used to calculate BMI using the formula kg/m^2 . The questions were "How much do you weigh without clothes?" (in kg) and "How tall are you without shoes?" (in cm). The BMI scores were recoded into standardized z-scores based upon the guidelines from Childhood Obesity Working Group of the International Obesity Taskforce [30]. Calculation of continuous and categorical BMI variables was done by the `zanthro` command developed by Vidmar and colleagues [31] available for the Stata software (version SE 13.0). The overweight and obese categories corresponding to adult BMI 25–29.99 and 30+ respectively were then collapsed into a dichotomous variable encompassing all individuals with a BMI score corresponding to an adult BMI of 25 or more.

Time spent using EM was assessed through the following items: "About how many hours a day do you usually watch television (including videos) in your free time?", "About how many hours a day do you play PC-games or TV-games (Playstation, Xbox, GameCube etc.) in your free time?" and "About how many hours per day do you use a PC for chatting online, surfing the internet, writing emails, homework etc. in your free time". All three questions had the following nine response options: "None at all", "About half an hour a day", "About 1 hour a day", "About 2 hours a day", "About 3 hours a day", "About 4 hours a day", "About 5 hours a day", "About 6 hours a day", "About 7 or more hours a day". Vereecken and colleagues [32] assessed the test-retest reliability of the item measuring hours spent watching TV, and found an intraclass correlations (ICC) of .76 for boys and .54 for girls. The relative/predictive validity of the same item compared with a 7-day TV diary resulted in an ICC of .36

Table 1 Country and sex stratified sample size, prevalence of overweight and obesity and missing BMI responses

	% Overweight/obese			% Missing BMI responses			Sample		
	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total
United States	31%	22%	27%	10%	12%	11%	2303	2068	4371
Greece	26%	14%	20%	5%	6%	6%	1645	1660	3305
Slovenia	22%	13%	18%	5%	6%	5%	1850	1783	3633
Croatia	23%	11%	17%	4%	4%	4%	2115	2268	4383
Portugal	20%	14%	17%	6%	6%	6%	1309	1544	2853
Spain	19%	12%	16%	8%	8%	8%	1826	1957	3783
Italy	21%	12%	16%	8%	10%	9%	1618	1634	3252
Poland	19%	12%	16%	4%	5%	5%	1386	1481	2867
Czech Republic	20%	9%	14%	3%	4%	4%	1426	1573	2999
Finland	17%	12%	14%	7%	8%	8%	2116	2262	4378
Hungary	18%	10%	14%	10%	9%	10%	1596	1795	3391
Israel	19%	10%	14%	11%	11%	11%	1168	1502	2670
Iceland	17%	12%	14%	13%	10%	11%	3798	3698	7496
Luxembourg	17%	10%	14%	13%	15%	14%	1558	1591	3149
Romania	19%	9%	14%	9%	8%	9%	1870	1910	3780
Macedonia	19%	8%	13%	13%	15%	14%	1464	1401	2865
Germany	15%	10%	12%	17%	18%	17%	1570	1748	3318
Estonia	15%	9%	12%	17%	17%	17%	1362	1458	2820
Slovakia	17%	7%	12%	11%	10%	11%	1924	1993	3917
Turkey	17%	8%	12%	7%	10%	9%	1819	1943	3762
Belgium-VLG	12%	10%	11%	7%	7%	7%	1346	1333	2679
Latvia	13%	8%	11%	8%	6%	7%	1357	1435	2792
Norway	14%	8%	11%	15%	19%	17%	1349	1314	2663
Switzerland	13%	7%	10%	8%	9%	9%	2448	2387	4835
Sweden	14%	7%	10%	15%	14%	14%	2219	2235	4454
Ukraine	13%	7%	10%	8%	6%	7%	1777	1982	3759
France	11%	7%	9%	19%	20%	20%	1989	2019	4008
Denmark	8%	7%	8%	22%	17%	19%	1341	1431	2772
Russian Federation	11%	6%	8%	11%	12%	11%	1565	1557	3122
Netherlands	8%	6%	7%	19%	16%	17%	1562	1546	3108
Total	18%	11%	14%	11%	11%	11%	52676	54508	107184

Countries are sorted by total prevalence of overweight and obesity.

for boys and ICC of .54 for girls, with our questionnaire item, exceeding time reported in the TV diary by approximately one hour per day for boys, and half an hour for girls. Previous studies have observed good test-retest reliability and validity for items similar to the additional screen based items used in our study [33].

EM items were dichotomized in order to test whether exceeding the recommended cut-off of two hours or less for daily EM use was associated with BMI. A binary variable was constructed where responses of two hours or more were coded 1 based on the consideration that they exceeded the daily recommendations of maximum two hours a day of EM. Further, we constructed dummy

variables indicating whether individuals exceeded 2 hours or more daily in none, one, two or three types of EM.

The measure of physical activity includes both moderate and vigorous physical activity (MVPA): "Over the past 7 days how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes?". This question was developed by Prochaska and colleagues [34] in a slightly modified version asking in addition "how many days in a typical week". For this original version good test-retest stability [34,35] and validity in terms of substantial correlations with accelerometers was shown [34,36]. Building on WHO recommendations that 5–17 year old youth should attain 60 minutes of MVPA daily [37] we

constructed a dichotomous variable to discriminate between those who reported being physically active seven days per week and those who did not.

Family affluence was assessed by the Family Affluence Scale [38] as a sum score of four items: Does your family own a car, van or truck? (0–2 points). Do you have your own bedroom for yourself? (0–1 points). During the past twelve months, how many times did you travel away on holiday (vacation) with your family? (0–2 points); and how many computers does your family own? (0–2 points).

Breakfast consumption was measured by two questions. Students were asked to estimate how many weekdays they had breakfast (i.e. having more than a glass of milk or fruit juice). Possible response categories were “never”, and 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 days and how many weekend days they had breakfast with the possible response categories “never”, 1 and 2 days. The responses on two items were added up and recoded into “less than daily” versus “daily”. This variable was used as a proxy for dietary quality as it has been reliably associated with overall quality of diet and with BMI [39,40].

Interaction terms were constructed by multiplying the binary variable representing MVPA according to

recommendations with the dummy variables representing exceeding two hours in one, two or three types of EM daily.

Statistical analysis

Confidence intervals in Table 2 were calculated in Stata (Version 12), adjusting for clustering in the school class (psu) and on the country level. Multilevel modeling was found to be necessary for analysis of this data as the design effect for BMI z-scores was substantial (DEFF = 68.81)^a. A DEFF greater than 2 suggests that dependency in the data needs to be taken into account during estimation. Consequently, multilevel modeling is highly appropriate for this data as standard errors would be underestimated if we did not account for the hierarchical data structure.

The multilevel regression models are estimated with the complex random command in Mplus (version 7.0) [41]. Only the fixed effects part of the regression is presented, but the country level variance in the outcome variables was estimated and the standard errors of the class level (PSU) were accounted for in the statistical models. The presented regression tables can thus be interpreted as a regular regression model where the

Table 2 Prevalence of electronic media behaviors, physical activity, mean levels of BMI z-scores and prevalence of overweight and obesity across behavioral patterns

	Girls				Boys			
	Prevalence in sample	Overweight/obese prevalence within categories	Mean BMI z-score	95% CI	Prevalence in sample	Overweight/obese prevalence within categories	Mean BMI z-score	95% CI
Physical activity								
MVPA < 7 days	89%	11%	-0.08	(-0.09 to -0.07)	79%	19%	0.11	(0.10 to 0.12)
MVPA 7 days	11%	9%	-0.19	(-0.21 to -0.16)	21%	14%	0.01	(-0.01 to 0.03)
Exceeding 2 hours using EM								
No EM	23%	9%	-0.18	(-0.20 to -0.16)	19%	15%	0.01	(-0.01 to 0.03)
1 EM	34%	11%	-0.08	(-0.10 to -0.07)	28%	18%	0.10	(0.08 to 0.12)
2 EM	29%	11%	-0.07	(-0.08 to -0.05)	29%	19%	0.10	(0.08 to 0.12)
3 EM	14%	13%	-0.06	(-0.08 to -0.03)	24%	20%	0.12	(0.10 to 0.14)
Meeting PA guidelines and								
No EM	3%	6%	-0.32	(-0.37 to -0.27)	5%	13%	-0.03	(-0.08 to 0.01)
1 EM	4%	9%	-0.15	(-0.19 to -0.10)	6%	15%	0.04	(0.00 to 0.08)
2 EM	3%	10%	-0.13	(-0.18 to -0.08)	5%	14%	0.01	(-0.03 to 0.05)
3 EM	1%	10%	-0.14	(-0.22 to -0.06)	5%	15%	0.01	(-0.03 to 0.06)
Not meeting PA guidelines and								
No EM	20%	9%	-0.16	(-0.18 to -0.14)	14%	16%	0.03	(0.00 to 0.05)
1 EM	31%	11%	-0.07	(-0.09 to -0.06)	23%	19%	0.11	(0.09 to 0.13)
2 EM	26%	11%	-0.06	(-0.07 to -0.04)	23%	20%	0.13	(0.10 to 0.15)
3 EM	12%	13%	-0.05	(-0.07 to -0.02)	19%	21%	0.15	(0.12 to 0.17)
Total		11%	-0.10	(-0.11 to -0.09)		18%	0.09	(0.07 to 0.10)

Confidence intervals account for dependency in data at the school class and on the country level.

intercept is allowed to vary across both country and class level^b.

The linear regression coefficients presented are unstandardized and can be interpreted as the estimated difference in BMI z-scores from the reference group associated with the different independent variables.

Regression models in Table 3 were estimated with three interaction terms between the dichotomous PA item and a dummy indicator for exceeding 2 hours in 1, 2 or 3 different types of EM behavior. The *model constraint* command in Mplus (version 7.0) [41] was used to calculate estimates and standard errors for the predicted difference in BMI and odds compared to the reference group. Consequently all estimates should be interpreted as predicted change in BMI z-scores or odds for overweight compared to the reference group (high PA and spending 2 hours or less daily using any type of EM).

In light of established sex differences in the associations between health behaviors and weight status [23,42,43], we tested two-way interactions between sex and EM as well as MVPA using both continuous BMI z-scores in a random intercept linear regression models, and with overweight as a dichotomous outcome in a random intercept logistic regression model. All interactions were statistically significant ($p < .029$) indicating that sex stratification of analyses was appropriate. All regression models were controlled for family affluence (centered at grand mean) and daily breakfast consumption as these are potential confounders.

Results

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics across the countries including the sample size, % overweight and % missing BMI responses. For overweight, the prevalence ranged from 27% in the US to 7% in the Netherlands. In all

countries there was a higher prevalence of overweight among boys. The proportion of missing BMI responses ranged from 4% in Croatia to 20% in France with no consistent sex differences in the prevalence of missing responses.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of MVPA, EM use and the combination of the two among boys and girls. It also shows mean levels of standardized BMI z-scores and prevalence of overweight across the different behavioral patterns. Overall, 18% of boys and 11% of girls were classified as overweight. The mean standardized BMI scores were -.010 for girls and 0.09 for boys, suggesting that girls in this sample had somewhat lower BMI scores relative to the WHO standardized values for this age group. Boys in the sample were found to have higher BMI scores than the WHO standard. A total of 21% of boys reported meeting MVPA guidelines compared to 11% of girls. The prevalence of overweight and mean BMI was lower among physically active boys and girls^c. The use of EM varied by sex, with usage of multiple types of EM being more common among boys. More girls than boys reported spending two hours or less daily in any type of EM (23% girls vs. 19% boys), or exceeding 2 hours in just one type of EM behavior (34% vs. 28%, respectively). Boys were more likely than girls to exceed 2 hours in all three types of EM behaviors daily (24% boys, and 14% girls). A trend for higher BMI and overweight prevalence was found across increasing number of EM behaviors for both sexes. Crude random intercept regression models estimating linear coefficients and odds ratios supported the trends for significant higher odds for overweight and BMI z-scores among individuals exceeding 2 hours in 1, 2 or 3 EM behaviors. The combination of PA with any kind of EM use was less prevalent among girls than among boys. Both the prevalence of overweight and mean BMI were lower among physically

Table 3 Random intercept regression models showing predicted change in BMI z-scores and odds ratio for being overweight or obese for failing to meet PA guidelines and exceeding 2 hours using 1, 2 or 3 types of EM and for meeting PA guidelines and exceeding 2 hours using 1, 2 or 3 types of EM

	Girls				Boys			
	b	95% CI	OR	95% CI	b	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Not meeting MVPA recommendations and								
1 EM	0.07	(0.04 to 0.11)	1.20	(1.08 to 1.35)	0.07	(0.02 to 0.11)	1.23	(1.08 to 1.40)
2 EM	0.08	(0.04 to 0.12)	1.29	(1.12 to 1.48)	0.08	(0.02 to 0.14)	1.32	(1.12 to 1.55)
3 EM	0.09	(0.04 to 0.14)	1.45	(1.25 to 1.69)	0.09	(0.03 to 0.15)	1.38	(1.16 to 1.64)
Meeting MVPA recommendations and								
1 EM	0.17	(0.09 to 0.26)	1.57	(1.17 to 2.10)	0.06	(-0.01 to 0.13)	1.05	(0.92 to 1.21)
2 EM	0.18	(0.09 to 0.26)	1.66	(1.24 to 2.22)	0.04	(-0.04 to 0.11)	1.02	(0.87 to 1.20)
3 EM	0.15	(0.06 to 0.23)	1.73	(1.25 to 2.38)	0.05	(-0.04 to 0.14)	1.14	(0.94 to 1.38)

The reference group is physically active adolescents who spend less 2 hours or less using EM daily. Estimates are adjusted for family affluence and daily breakfast consumption. Significant estimates are in bold.

active adolescents who spent 2 hours or less daily in any type of EM compared with active adolescents who reported exceeding 2 hours using one or more types of EM^c.

Table 3 shows the fixed effects estimates from random intercept regression models estimating the predicted change in BMI z-scores and odds for being overweight when exceeding two hours daily in one, two or three types of EM adjusted for family affluence and breakfast consumption. Associations are also shown both for those who report meeting the MVPA recommendations, and for those who do not. The reference group is physically active adolescents who spent 2 hours or less in any type of EM. Exceeding 2 hours daily in one or more EM behaviors was associated with higher BMI scores and higher odds for overweight among both boys and girls who reported not meeting MVPA guidelines. However, we found substantial sex differences for those who reported meeting MVPA recommendations. None of the EM variables were found to be significantly associated with BMI or odds for overweight among physically active boys. In contrast, among physically active girls, EM use was found to be positively associated with both BMI and risk for overweight.

We found significant interactive associations for the linear models regressing BMI on EM and MVPA for girls. These were found between meeting guidelines of MVPA and exceeding one ($b = -0.10$, $p < .014$) and two ($b = -0.10$, $p < .012$) EM behaviors daily. These indicate that associations between BMI and exceeding two hours in two or three types of EM behaviors daily are on average -0.10 standardized BMI points lower for those who reported not meeting MVPA guidelines relative to those who did.

For boys we found significant interactions between meeting MVPA guidelines and exceeding two hours in two ($\text{logit} = .25$, $p < .014$) and three ($\text{logit} = .19$, $p < .023$) EM behaviors daily. This implies that the odds for being overweight when exceeding two hours in two and three types of EM daily were significantly lower for those who reported meeting MVPA guidelines versus those who did not. Although estimates for all combinations of

MVPA and EM behaviors are presented, only the above mentioned ones were significantly different from each other.

Table 4 shows estimates of associations between spending 2 hours or more in EM behaviors and failing to meet MVPA recommendations with BMI z-scores and odds ratios for being overweight. All EM behaviors and failing to meet MVPA recommendations were consistently associated with higher odds for being overweight and for higher BMI z-scores among both boys and girls.

Additional analyses showed that neither interactions between each respective type of EM behavior, nor a cumulative measure of EM time were found to be significantly in predicting odds for overweight or BMI z-scores. Exceeding 2 hours of cumulative EM use was associated with an increase in BMI z-score of 0.13 (0.08 to 0.17) for boys and 0.13 (0.10 to 0.16) for girls. Odds of overweight were 1.24 (1.11 to 1.39) for boys and 1.41 (1.25 to 1.58) for girls who exceeded 2 hours in cumulative EM use.

Discussion

The main findings of this study indicate that spending in excess of 2 hours daily in any EM behavior, or failing to meet recommendations for daily MVPA are associated with increased odds for overweight. Associations between EM use and overweight differed across sex and across level of physical activity as EM use was found to be associated with increased BMI and risk of overweight among physically active girls, but not among physically active boys.

An important finding of this study indicates that meeting MVPA recommendations was found to moderate the association between EM behaviors and BMI (girls) and overweight status (boys). Consequently associations between BMI and exceeding 2 hours daily in EM behaviors differs between adolescents who report to be sufficiently active according to international recommendations [37] and those who report being less physically active. Specifically, we found that exceeding 2 hours daily in one or more EM behaviors was associated with higher BMI

Table 4 Linear and logistic random intercept models showing crude estimates of association between risk factors and BMI z-scores and odds ratios for being overweight/obese

	Girls				Boys			
	B	95% CI	OR	95% CI	B	95% CI	OR	95% CI
2 hr TV	0.08	(0.06 to 0.10)	1.38	(1.30 to 1.48)	0.06	(0.04 to 0.08)	1.20	(1.14 to 1.27)
2 hr PC	0.09	(0.07 to 0.11)	1.14	(1.07 to 1.21)	0.07	(0.05 to 0.09)	1.15	(1.10 to 1.22)
2 hr Gaming	0.06	(0.03 to 0.08)	1.29	(1.20 to 1.39)	0.04	(0.02 to 0.06)	1.18	(1.12 to 1.25)
No daily MVPA	0.12	(0.09 to 0.15)	1.29	(1.16 to 1.43)	0.14	(0.11 to 0.16)	1.50	(1.41 to 1.61)

The reference group is physically active adolescents who spend less 2 hours or less using any of the EM behaviors daily. Estimates are controlled for family affluence status and daily breakfast consumption.

scores and risk for overweight among both boys and girls who did not meet the MVPA recommendations. Among the physically active adolescents we found clear and consistent sex differences indicating that exceeding 2 hours in one or more types of EM behaviors is indeed associated with BMI and risk for overweight among girls, but not among boys. This also corresponds with previous reports suggesting that the use of EM is associated with weight status among physically active females, but not among males [20,21,23]. Other studies confirm this pattern in adult populations [44,45].

In contrast, studies by Ekelund and colleagues [46] and Chaput and colleagues [47] failed to identify interactions between accelerometer measured MVPA and sedentary time in relation to waist circumference and a range of cardio-metabolic outcomes. While these studies use data of superior quality relative to ours, we speculate that the difference in results partly may be due to sex differences in these interactions. Our results suggest interactions in opposite directions between the sexes and we anticipate that these may cancel each other out when analyzing the sexes together.^d Another possible explanation for the difference in the findings is that there indeed is no dose-response relationship in the interactions, but that the interactive associations are nonlinear.

There are a number of possible explanations for our findings. First, it is possible that there are substantial sex differences in the types and intensity of MVPA performed by the different sexes. This is plausible as a number of studies have found boys to perform more vigorous physical activity than girls [48,49]. Further, it is also important to consider the sex differences in development through puberty. As adolescent boys are likely to have a greater muscle mass compared to girls, they will also use more calories than girls when being physically active [50]. Thus, our results appear to be in support of other studies that have concluded PA to be more important for the body composition of boys relative to girls [51]. Finally, it is also possible that boys and girls choose different behavioral patterns, or are encouraged to do different behaviors based upon their weight status.

Sex differences in the prevalence of the behavioral patterns also correspond with other studies that have found it to be more common for boys to spend a relatively high amount of time in both physical activity and screen behaviors [18,20,23].

Although it is beyond the scope of the current study to determine the mechanisms which may explain the current results, we speculate that our findings cannot be explained by the different energy expenditures associated with MVPA and EM behaviors. Rather, evidence from physiological studies indicate that MVPA and sitting elicit qualitatively different biological responses that may thus influence both weight regulation and overall health

risk through different mechanisms than energy expenditure alone [52].

Our results are in line with previous studies suggesting that daily MVPA is associated with reduced risk of overweight [42,53]. We also found that exceeding 2 hours in each of the specific EM behaviors was associated with increased odds of overweight. For TV viewing, this corresponds well with the literature as a comprehensive review on the topic [15] concluded that there is a dose-response relationship between TV viewing and BMI and that individuals who spend more than 2 hours watching TV are at increased risk for overweight or obesity. Our findings of increased odds for overweight among boys and girls for gaming and for PC use among boys contributes to a body of mixed evidence as indicated by the review of Rey Lopez and colleagues [16].

Prevalence of overweight varied greatly across countries in similar patterns as seen in previous studies on youth [42,54] and younger children [55]. The highest prevalence levels were found in the USA and in the Mediterranean countries while the lowest prevalence rates were generally found in Northern and Eastern Europe. It should be mentioned that many of the countries with high numbers of overweight youth (e.g. countries in the UK) were not included in the analysis due to high numbers of missing data.

Limitations include the cross-sectional design of the study, limiting causal interpretations of the results. This implies that the associations may be equally likely to result from the tendency of adolescents of different weight status to prefer specific behavioral patterns. Indeed, a 2 year longitudinal study of adolescents found neither MVPA nor sedentary time to be prospectively associated with waist circumference, but found waist circumference to predict sedentary time at follow up [46].

Another limitation is the use of self-reported height and weight as young people tend to under-report weight [56,57] and over-report height [56,58]. However, self-reported height and weight has been found to be useful in epidemiological studies as it correlates highly with actual weight and has been found to be reliable for the prediction of obesity related morbidities and behaviors [59].

The MVPA measurement used is relatively crude both in terms of dose and intensity of activity suggesting that there is some discrepancy between our estimated prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines and estimates from studies based upon accelerometer measurement [60]. Nevertheless, a recent review lends support to the MVPA measure for surveillance purposes in large scale studies [61].

Finally, our measures of EM behaviors do not allow for the assessment of multi-tasking. Estimates of total time spent in EM behaviors are therefore likely to have reduced reliability, particularly for individuals who regularly do

several of the EM behaviors simultaneously. Nevertheless, the current results indicate that these measurements indeed have some merit as risk factors for weight status among adolescents.

This study also has a number of important strengths including a large sample size with high levels of statistical power, representative samples of adolescents from 30 countries across two continents, and a standardized protocol for data collection ensuring internationally comparable data.

Implications and future research

The current results indicate that interventions designed to reduce bodyweight should include efforts aiming to reduce recreational use of screen behaviors or other activities involving long periods of uninterrupted sitting in addition to the more common physical activity and diet interventions. The very distinct sex differences in the risk for overweight among physically active adolescents indicate that some interventions may benefit from sex specific adaptations.

Future studies should aim to find out whether the current results may be attributed to the time spent in sedentary behavior and in MVPA, or to qualities specifically associated with screen behaviors that explain the current results. We also encourage future studies [20,23,45] find such distinct sex differences associations between EM use and physiological outcomes.

Conclusions

Exceeding two hours daily using one or more types of EM behavior was found to be associated with increased risk of being overweight, but this association differed for boys and girls and by level of physical activity. While the usage of EM appear to be inconsequential for BMI and the risk of overweight among physically active boys, we find evidence indicating that EM use is associated with BMI and risk for overweight among girls, including those who report complying with MVPA guidelines.

Endnotes

^aDEFF = 1 + (average cluster size - 1)*intracluster correlation. Thus 1 + (1739.84-1)*0.039.

^bOutput from the random part of the model can be attained by contacting the first author.

^cRelative to exceeding 2 hours in no EM behaviors.

^dAdditional analyses revealed that no interactions were significant in our data when sexes were analyzed together.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

OM performed all analyses and wrote most parts of the manuscript. EH, MR, ASF, BW, AB, ES, RB, JB, JJ, MGM, OS are all members of the HBSC network and have participated in both the development of the study and collection of data. All co-authors have participated in the writing process by editing and rewriting sections of the manuscript on multiple occasions. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) is an international study carried out in collaboration with WHO/EURO. The International Coordinator of the 2009/10 survey was Professor Candace Currie at Edinburgh University, Scotland and the Data Manager was Professor Oddrun Samdal at the University of Bergen, Norway. The name and institutional affiliation for the principal investigator in each of the 30 participating countries can be found at www.hbsc.org. The authors thank all the schools and students who took part in the HBSC survey. The Czech contribution to this paper was funded by a project grant from the Czech Science Foundation (reg. No. GA14-02804S). Professor Torbjørn Torsheim is owed thanks for his advice regarding statistical analysis.

Author details

¹Division for Mental Health, Norwegian institute of Public Health, Bergen, Norway. ²NLA University College, Bergen, Norway. ³National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. ⁴Department of Health Promotion and Development, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ⁵Department of Public Health and Microbiology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy. ⁶Center for Kinanthropology Research, Palacky University, Olomouc, Czech Republic. ⁷Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Babeş Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. ⁸Bielefeld University, School of Public Health, Department of Prevention and Health Promotion, Bielefeld, Germany. ⁹Child and Adolescent Health Research Unit, University of St Andrews, Scotland, UK. ¹⁰Faculdade de Motricidade Humana, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal.

Received: 20 August 2014 Accepted: 29 April 2015

Published online: 19 May 2015

References

1. Del Mar Bibiloni M, Pons A, Tur JA. Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity in Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *ISRN Obesity*. 2013.
2. Rokholm B, Baker J, Sørensen T. The levelling off of the obesity epidemic since the year 1999—a review of evidence and perspectives. *Obes Rev*. 2010;11(12):835–46.
3. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *JAMA*. 2012;307(5):483–90.
4. Schmidt C, Rokholm B, Brixval C, Andersen C, Andersen L, Rasmussen M, et al. Trends in Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity in Danish Infants, Children and Adolescents – Are We Still on a Plateau? *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69860.
5. May AL, Kim SA, Sherry B, Blanck HM. Childhood Obesity Task Forces Established by State Legislatures, 2001–2010. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2013;10:E144.
6. Reilly J, Methven E, McDowell Z, Hacking B, Alexander D, Stewart L, et al. Health consequences of obesity. *Arch Dis Child*. 2003;88(9):748–52.
7. Pinhas-Hamiel O, Dolan LM, Daniels SR, Standiford D, Khoury PR, Zeitler P. Increased incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus among adolescents. *J Pediatr*. 1996;128(5):608–15.
8. Williams J, Wake M, Hesketh K, Maher E, Waters E. Health-related quality of life of overweight and obese children. *JAMA*. 2005;293(1):70–6.
9. Krukowski RA, West DS, Perez AP, Bursac Z, Phillips MM, Raczynski JM. Overweight children, weight-based teasing and academic performance. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2009;4(4):274–80.
10. Strauss RS, Pollack HA. Social marginalization of overweight children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2003;157(8):746.
11. Taras H, Potts-Datema W. Obesity and student performance at school. *J Sch Health*. 2005;75(8):291–5.
12. Kopelman PG. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature (London)*. 2000;404(6778):635–43.
13. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of All-Cause Mortality With Overweight and Obesity Using Standard Body Mass Index Categories A

- Systematic Review and Meta-analysis All-Cause Mortality Using BMI Categories. *JAMA*. 2013;309(1):71–82.
14. Must A, Tybor D. Physical activity and sedentary behavior: a review of longitudinal studies of weight and adiposity in youth. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2005;29:S84–96.
 15. Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Kho ME, Saunders TJ, Larouche R, Colley RC, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011;8(1):98.
 16. Rey-López JP, Vicente-Rodríguez G, Biosca M, Moreno LA. Sedentary behaviour and obesity development in children and adolescents. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2008;18(3):242–51.
 17. Jimenez-Pavon D, Kelly J, Reilly JJ. Associations between objectively measured habitual physical activity and adiposity in children and adolescents: Systematic review. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2010;5(1):3–18.
 18. Melkevik O, Torsheim T, Iannotti RJ, Wold B. Is spending time in screen-based sedentary behaviors associated with less physical activity: a cross national investigation. 2010.
 19. Marshall SJ, Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Cameron N, Murdey I. Relationships between media use, body fatness and physical activity in children and youth: a meta-analysis. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2004;28(10):1238–46.
 20. Te Velde SJ, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Thorsdottir I, Rasmussen M, Hagströmer M, Klepp K-I, et al. Patterns in sedentary and exercise behaviors and associations with overweight in 9–14-year-old boys and girls—a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7(1):16.
 21. Melkevik O, Torsheim T, Rasmussen M. Patterns of screen-based sedentary behavior and physical activity and associations with overweight among Norwegian adolescents: a latent profile approach. *Norsk Epidemiologi*. 2010;20(1):109–17.
 22. De Bourdeaudhuij I, Verloigne M, Maes L, Van Lippevelde W, Chinapaw M, Te Velde S, et al. Associations of physical activity and sedentary time with weight and weight status among 10-to 12-year-old boys and girls in Europe: a cluster analysis within the ENERGY project. *Pediatr Obes*. 2013;8(5):367–75.
 23. Leatherdale ST, Wong S. Peer Reviewed: Association Between Sedentary Behavior, Physical Activity, and Obesity: Inactivity Among Active Kids. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2009;6(1):1–13.
 24. Bar-on ME. The effects of television on child health: implications and recommendations. *Arch Dis Child*. 2000;83(4):289–92.
 25. Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Janssen I, Kho ME, Hicks A, Murumets K, et al. Canadian sedentary behaviour guidelines for children and youth. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2011;36(1):59–64.
 26. Trost S. Discussion paper for the development of recommendations for children's and youths' participation in health promoting physical activity. In: The Australian Department of Health and Aging. 2005.
 27. Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A, Currie D, Looze M, Roberts C, et al. Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2012.
 28. Roberts C, Freeman J, Samdal O, Schnohr CW, De Looze M, Gabhainn SN, et al. The Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study: methodological developments and current tensions. *Int J Public Health*. 2009;54(2):140–50.
 29. Currie C, Gabhainn SN, Godeau E. The Health Behaviour in School-aged Children: WHO Collaborative Cross-National (HBSC) study: origins, concept, history and development 1982–2008. *Int J Public Health*. 2009;54(2):131–9.
 30. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7244):1240.
 31. Vidmar S, Carlin J, Hesketh K, Cole T. Standardizing anthropometric measures in children and adolescents with new functions for egen. *Stata J*. 2004;4(1):50–5.
 32. Vereecken CA, Todd J, Roberts C, Mulvihill C, Maes L. Television viewing behaviour and associations with food habits in different countries. *Public Health Nutr - Cab International*. 2006;9(2):244.
 33. Schmitz KH, Hamack L, Fulton JE, Jacobs DR, Gao S, Lytle LA, et al. Reliability and validity of a brief questionnaire to assess television viewing and computer use by middle school children. *J Sch Health*. 2004;74(9):370–7.
 34. Prochaska JJ, Sallis JF, Long B. A physical activity screening measure for use with adolescents in primary care. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2001;155(5):554.
 35. Liu Y, Wang M, Tynjälä J, Lv Y, Villberg J, Zhang Z, et al. Test-retest reliability of selected items of Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) survey questionnaire in Beijing, China. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2010;10(1):73.
 36. Ridgers ND, Timperio A, Crawford D, Salmon J. Validity of a brief self-report instrument for assessing compliance with physical activity guidelines amongst adolescents. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2012;15(2):136–41.
 37. WHO. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010. p. 8–10.
 38. Currie C, Molcho M, Boyce W, Holstein B, Torsheim T, Richter M. Researching health inequalities in adolescents: the development of the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) family affluence scale. *Soc Sci Med*. 2008;66(6):1429–36.
 39. Utter J, Scragg R, Mhurchu CN, Schaaf D. At-home breakfast consumption among New Zealand children: associations with body mass index and related nutrition behaviors. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2007;107(4):570–6.
 40. Deshmukh-Taskar PR, Nicklas TA, O'Neil CE, Keast DR, Radcliffe JD, Cho S. The relationship of breakfast skipping and type of breakfast consumption with nutrient intake and weight status in children and adolescents: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2006. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2010;110(6):869–78.
 41. Muthén L, Muthén B. Mplus. In: The comprehensive modelling program for applied researchers: user's guide, vol. 5. 2012.
 42. Haug E, Rasmussen M, Samdal O, Iannotti R, Kelly C, Borraccino A, et al. Overweight in school-aged children and its relationship with demographic and lifestyle factors: results from the WHO-Collaborative Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study. *Int J Public Health*. 2009;54:167–79.
 43. Laurson KR, Eisenmann JC, Welk GJ, Wickel EE, Gentile DA, Walsh DA. Combined influence of physical activity and screen time recommendations on childhood overweight. *J Pediatr*. 2008;153(2):209–14.
 44. Sugiyama T, Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Owen N. Joint associations of multiple leisure-time sedentary behaviours and physical activity with obesity in Australian adults. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2008;5(1):35.
 45. Healy GN, Dunstan DW, Salmon J, Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ, Owen N. Television time and continuous metabolic risk in physically active adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2008;40(4):639.
 46. Ekelund U, Luan J, Sherar LB, Esliger DW, Griew P, Cooper A. Moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary time and cardiometabolic risk factors in children and adolescents. *JAMA*. 2012;307(7):704–12.
 47. Chaput J-P, Saunders TJ, Mathieu M-È, Henderson M, Tremblay MS, O'Loughlin J, et al. Combined associations between moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary behaviour with cardiometabolic risk factors in children. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. 2013;38(5):477–83.
 48. Guinhouya BC, Samouda H, Beaufort C. Level of physical activity among children and adolescents in Europe: a review of physical activity assessed objectively by accelerometry. *Publ Health*. 2013;127:301–11.
 49. Verloigne M, Van Lippevelde W, Maes L, Yildirim M, Chinapaw M, Manios Y, et al. Levels of physical activity and sedentary time among 10-to 12-year-old boys and girls across 5 European countries using accelerometers: an observational study within the ENERGY-project. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2012;9(1):34.
 50. Sherar LB, Cumming SP, Eisenmann JC, Baxter-Jones AD, Malina RM. Adolescent biological maturity and physical activity: biology meets behavior. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 2010;22(3):332–49.
 51. Ball EJ, O'Connor J, Abbott R, Steinbeck KS, Davies PS, Wishart C, et al. Total energy expenditure, body fatness, and physical activity in children aged 6–9 y. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2001;74(4):524–8.
 52. Hamilton MT, Hamilton DG, Zderic TW. Role of low energy expenditure and sitting in obesity, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes*. 2007;56(11):2655–67.
 53. Ness AR, Leary SD, Mattocks C, Blair SN, Reilly JJ, Wells J, et al. Objectively measured physical activity and fat mass in a large cohort of children. *PLoS Med*. 2007;4(3):e97.
 54. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk P, Boyce W, Vereecken C, Mulvihill C, Roberts C, et al. Comparison of overweight and obesity prevalence in school-aged youth from 34 countries and their relationships with physical activity and dietary patterns. *Obes Rev*. 2005;6(2):123–32.
 55. Wijnhoven T, Raaij J, Spinelli A, Rito A, Hovengen R, Kunesova M, et al. WHO European Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative 2008: weight, height and body mass index in 6–9-year-old children. *Pediatric Obesity*. 2012;8(2):79–97.
 56. Brett Schneider A-K, Rosario AS, Ellert U. Validity and predictors of BMI derived from self-reported height and weight among 11-to 17-year-old German adolescents from the KIGGS study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2011;4(1):414.

57. Rasmussen M, Holstein BE, Melkevik O, Damsgaard MT. Validity of self-reported height and weight among adolescents: the importance of reporting capability. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2013;13(1):85.
58. Tokmakidis SP, Christodoulos AD, Mantzouranis NI. Validity of self-reported anthropometric values used to assess body mass index and estimate obesity in Greek school children. *J Adolesc Health*. 2007;40(4):305–10.
59. Strauss RS. Comparison of measured and self-reported weight and height in a cross-sectional sample of young adolescents. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 1999;23(8):904–8.
60. Riddoch CJ, Andersen LB, Wedderkopp N, Harro M, Klasson-Heggebo L, Sardinha LB, et al. Physical activity levels and patterns of 9-and 15-yr-old European children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2004;36(1):86–92.
61. Biddle SJ, Gorely T, Pearson N, Bull FC. An assessment of self-reported physical activity instruments in young people for population surveillance: Project ALPHA. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011;8(1):1.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

