The importance of early life family factors in the association between cardiovascular risk factors and early cardiovascular mortality

Kjøllesdal MKR¹, Ariansen I², Mortensen LH³, Næss Ø^{1,2}

- 1) Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.
- 2) Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway
- 3) University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Corresponding author:

Marte Kjøllesdal, Institute of Health and Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo. Postbox 1130 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: m.k.kjollesdal@medisin.uio.no. Phone: 0047 22 85 60 78

ABSTRACT

Objective

To explore the importance of early life factors shared by siblings, such as parental socioeconomic position, parental practices, housing and neighborhood, for the association between cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors and mortality from CVD, ischemic heart disease (IHD) and cerebrovascular disease.

Methods

Norwegian health surveys (1974-2003) were linked with data from the Norwegian Family Based Life Course Study and the Cause of Death Registry. Participants with at least one full sibling among survey participants (n=271 643) were included. Data on CVD risk factors; body mass index (BMI), height, systolic blood pressure (SBP) and total cholesterol (TC) were stratified into `low`, `medium` and `high` risk, and smoking to `daily smoking` and `not daily smoking`.

Results

Mean age of participants was 41 years, mean follow up time 19 years, and during follow-up 2512 died from CVD. For each category of increased risk factor level, the per step Hazard Ratio (HR) of CVD mortality was increased by 1.91 (95% CI 1.78, 2.05) for SBP, 1.67 (1.58, 1.76) for TC, 1.44 (1.36, 1.53) for BMI, 1.26 (1.18, 1.35) for height and 2.89 (2.66, 3.14) for smoking. In analyses where each sibship (groups of full siblings) had a group specific baseline hazard, these associations were attenuated to 1.74, 1.51, 1.29, 1.18 and 2.63, respectively. The associations between risk factors and IHD mortality followed the same pattern.

Conclusions

Early life family factors explained a small part of the association between risk factors and mortality from CVD and IHD in a relatively young sample.

What is already known about this subject?

Early life circumstances have been shown to be important for later cardiovascular risk and mortality, and to partly explain socioeconomic differences in cardiovascular disease.

What does this study add?

•

This study explores the influence of early life family factors on the association between cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular mortality. This indicates to which degree cardiovascular risk factors are established in early life or modifiable in adulthood.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

The association between cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular mortality was not extensively influenced by early life family factors. Thus, targeting conventional risk factors in adulthood should continue to play a major role in the prevention of cardiovascular disease, even though early life interventions also are of importance. The actual risk estimates used to target high-risk individuals in adulthood can continue to be used in practice.

INTRODUCTION

Conventional risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD), such as smoking, hypertension, high cholesterol levels and physical inactivity, have been associated with later risk of CVD [1] and shown to explain most of the CVD cases in many populations [2]. Knowledge on these risk factors forms the basis for primary and secondary prevention in population wide efforts and clinical settings [1]. Early life factors [3-4] have been proposed as important contributors to adult CVD risk and mortality, as well as to CVD risk factors [5-6]. Factors in early life could be shared by siblings, such as parental socioeconomic position (SEP), parental practices, housing and neighborhood, and include a 50 % shared germ line for full siblings. Non-shared factors include systematic elements such as such as birth year and birth order, and non-systematic chance events such as sibling-sibling interactions, differential parental treatment and peer groups.

The socioeconomic gradient in CVD has been partly explained by early life factors in some [7-8], but not all [9-10] studies. In one study, the association between education and body mass index (BMI) was attenuated when adjusting for early life family factors [11]. A recent Norwegian study reported a confounding effect of early life factors on the association between CVD risk factors and education [12]. Early life family factors have, however, not been shown to explain the association between CVD [13-14], or its risk factors such as hypertension [13, 15], and low birth weight.

To what extent the association between levels of CVD risk factors and CVD mortality is confounded by early life family factors, remains to be explored. This has practical implications, as it indicates to which degree risk factors for CVD are established in early life or modifiable in adulthood. Large data sets, such as register data and data from large health surveys, gives the opportunity to explore the importance of factors shared by siblings, by comparing results from analyses of associations between all individuals in a cohort to results from sibship analyses, which omit factors that is constant within sibships (groups of siblings) in the same sample. Thus, the aim of this study was to explore the importance of early life factors shared by full siblings (sharing mother and father) for the association between each of the cardiovascular risk factors systolic blood pressure (SBP), total cholesterol (TC), body mass index (BMI), height and smoking, and later mortality from ischemic heart disease (IHD), cerebrovascular disease and all CVD combined. The study was carried out in a sample of relatively young adult women and men, using data from the Norwegian Family Based Life Course Study (NFLC) [16].

METHODS

Study population

Data from national and regional health surveys in Norway were linked with data from the Norwegian Population Registry, data from the NFLC [16], and the Cause of Death Registry, using personal identification numbers. In the Counties Study (1974-88), all men and women aged 35-49 years, living in three different counties in Norway were invited to cardiovascular screening [17]. In the Age 40 Program, inhabitants aged 40-44 years from all counties in Norway, except for Oslo, were invited (1985-99) [18]. The Cohort Norway (CONOR) (1994-2003) is based on data from regional health surveys, with participants aged 20-103 years [19]. The attendance rate of the three surveys was 86 %, 70 % and 58 % respectively [17-19].

For the current study, all participants from the Counties Study, the Age 40 program and CONOR born in 1940 or after were selected. If they attended more than one health survey, data from the first survey attended were used. The study population consisted of participants with at least one full sibling (sharing both mother and father) among the health survey participants. The index person's mother and father

were identified by linkage in the NFLC study [16]. Parental identification has proven to be reliable for persons born in 1940 and after [16]. The study population consisted of 271 643 participants (Figure 1).

Cardiovascular risk factors

In all health survey screenings self-reported smoking status ("daily smoker"/"not daily smoker") and current treatment of hypertension was recorded. Blood pressure (BP) was initially measured manually by sphygmomoanometers and later by automatic oscillometric measures [20]. Height and weight were measured and BMI (kilogram/meter²) calculated. Non-fasting TC was initially measured by non-enzymatic, and later enzymatic, method. Non-enzymatic values were converted by a correction factor [21]. Acceptable stability of BP measures and laboratory analyses over time in the population surveys has been reported [20;21].

Cardiovascular mortality

Data on underlying causes of death from IHD (ICD-9: 410-414, ICD-10: I20-I25), cerebrovascular disease (ICD-9: 430-438, ICD-10: I60-I69) and all CVD combined (ICD-9: 390-459, ICD-10: I00-I99) were obtained from the Norwegian Cause of Death Registry. Participants were followed from time of survey to death or end of follow-up (31.12.2012), with a mean follow-up time of 19 years.

Statistical analyses

SBP and TC were categorized according to the Framingham model [22], with "low", "medium" and "high" risk (SBP "<130", "130-159" and " \geq 160" mmHg, TC "<5.2", "5.2-6.1" and " \geq 6.2" mmol/L). BMI was categorized according to established cut offs, "normal weight <25", "overweight 25-29.9" and "obesity \geq 30" kg/m². Very few participants (1.0 %, n=2836) were underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²), so these were

included in the normal weight category. Height was categorized into tertiles "<167", "167-175.9" and \geq 176" cm.

Cox proportional hazards regression model, with age as underlying time, was used to estimate Hazard Ratios (HR) of mortality from CVD, IHD and cerebrovascular disease according to categories of risk factors (SBP, TC, BMI, height and smoking). Time (years of age) at risk was counted from a participant entered the study. Individuals who did not die during follow up were censored at their age at the end of follow-up. In the cohort analyses, were all participants were analyzed with no regard to sibships, we used Huber/White sandwich estimator to correct standard errors, which otherwise may be underestimated due to familiar clustering. The cohort analyses should produce results similar to those found in studies of unrelated individuals. For the within sibship analyses, where each sibship had a group specific baseline hazard, we used the stratified Cox regression model of Holt and Prentice [23]. The proportional hazards assumption was examined by first plotting the scaled Schoenfeld residual against age, supplemented by a global test of a zero slope in the association between age and the scaled Schoenfeld residuals. The analyses were run adjusted for sex and birth year. In regressions were SBP was the exposure, analyses were additionally adjusted for being under current treatment for hypertension. We compare the cohort and sibship analyses to assess the importance of shared environment. In sibship analyses, only those with a sibling in a discordant risk factor category contributes. To check the impact of this, we run cohort analyses including only those with a sibling discordant in risk factor category. Sensitivity analyses were also run adjusting for size of sibling group and index health survey.

Spline curves showing the predicted HR for cardiovascular mortality at different levels of SBP, TC and BMI were estimated from Cox proportional regression models, entering risk factors as restricted cubic

splines. The intraclass correlations, describing how strongly individuals within sibling groups resemble each other, for continuous variables were estimated using a random effect model, adjusted for age.

The population attributable fraction (PAF) was estimated in both cohort- and sibship analyses for the association between the risk factors SBP, TC, BMI, height and smoking and mortality from IHD, cerebrovascular disease and CVD, using Cox regression. Reference value was lowest risk category.

Data was analyzed using Stata 14.

The study was approved by the Norwegian Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK) (2012/827).

RESULTS

The mean age of the participants was 41 years (Table 1), and 84 % of the sample was between 40 and 45 years. Only 4 % were below the age of 40. At the end of the follow-up, 2512 had died from CVD, including 1662 from IHD and 552 from cerebrovascular disease. Mean age at the time of censoring was 60 years. Of participants, 60 % had one sibling in the sample, 26 % had two, 9 % had three and 4 % had four or more siblings. The proportions of participants having a sibling in a discordant risk factor level were about or above 50 % for all risk factors (Table 2). The intraclass correlations for the cardiovascular risk factors were strongest for TC and lowest for SBP (Table 3).

Table 1. Characteristics of the sample, 271 643 participants from Norwegian health surveys.

Sex	
Male, N (%)	132 170 (48.7)
Female, N (%)	139 473 (51.3)
Year of birth, mean (SD) range	1952 (5.5) <i>,</i> 1940-1982
Year of screening, mean (SD) range	1993 (4.9) 1974-2003
Age at examination, mean (SD), range	40.6 (4.7) (15-62)
Follow-up time (years), mean (SD)	19.0 (5.2)
Age at censoring (years), mean (SD), range	60.0 (5.5) 30-72
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)	130.2 (14.4)
Current treatment hypertension, N (%)	5795 (2.1)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L), mean (SD)	5.6 (1.1)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	172.1 (8.9)
Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	25.0 (3.7)
Smoking, N (%)	108 218 (39.8)
Deaths during follow up, N (%)	13 798 (5.1)
CVD deaths, N (%)	2512 (0.9)
IHD deaths, N (%)	1662 (0.6)
Cerebrovascular disease deaths, N (%)	552 (0.2)
Age at death, mean (SD), min max	55.0 (7.2) (18 72)
Age at CVD death, mean (SD), min max	55.0 (7.1) (28 72)

Table 2. Proportions of individuals in a different category of CVD risk factors than one or more sibling.

Participants from Norwegian health surveys.

	Discordance between siblings, %	
Systolic blood pressure	57	
Total cholesterol	67	
BMI	58	
Daily smoking	48	
Height	70	

Table 3. Intraclass correlation (SE) for cardiovascular risk factors among siblings in Norwegian health

 surveys. From a random effect model with maximum likelihood estimation, adjusted for age.

Systolic blood pressure	19 (0.002)
BMI	25 (0.002)
Cholesterol	28 (0.002)
Height	24 (0.002)

The risk of total CVD mortality, and IHD mortality, increased with higher values of SBP, TC and BMI, with smoking and with lower height (Table 4). The HRs in higher risk factor levels were somewhat attenuated in within sibship analyses compared to cohort analyses. The increasing HRs of CVD mortality with increasing values of SBP, TC and BMI are shown in Figure 2a, 2b and 2c respectively, with the risk factors entered as restricted, cubic splines. Spline curves for IHD are shown in supplementary figures. **Table 4.** Cardiovascular risk factors in relation to mortality from cardiovascular disease (CVD), ischemic

heart disease (IHD) and cerebrovascular disease among adult participants in Norwegian health surveys.

Adjusted for birth year and sex.

		Hazard Ratio of mort	Hazard Ratio of mortality (95 % CI)		
		Cohort analysis	Within sibship analysis		
	CVD	,	1 , -		
Systolic blood pressure					
low risk (<130 mmHa)		1	1		
Medium risk (130-159 mmHa)		- 1 65 (1 50 1 83)	- 1 58 (1 40 1 78)		
High risk (>160 mmHg)		1.03(1.30, 1.03)	2 10 (2 81 4 22)		
Par one stan		4.07 (3.34, 4.08)	1.74 (1.59 + 1.01)		
Per one step		1.91 (1.78, 2.05)	1.74 (1.38, 1.91)		
Total cholostorol					
low rick (< 5.2 mmol/l)		1	1		
LOW TISK (<5.2 THITIOI/L)		1 26 (1 21 1 F2)			
VIEUIUIII IISK (5.2-0.1 IIIIII0I/L)		1.30(1.21, 1.53)	1.35(1.17, 1.57)		
High risk (26.2 mmol/L)		2.60 (2.33, 2.90)	2.21 (1.89, 2.59)		
Per one step		1.67 (1.58, 1.76)	1.51 (1.39, 1.63)		
BIVII		1	1		
Normal range ($BIVII < 25 \text{ kg/m}2$)					
Overweight (BMI25-29.9 kg/m2)		1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	0.98 (0.87, 1.11)		
Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m2)		2.36 (2.11, 2.65)	2.07 (1.74, 2.46)		
Per one step		1.44 (1.36, 1.53)	1.29 (1.19, 1.40)		
Height					
Highest tertile (≥176 cm)		1	1		
Mid tertile (167-175.9 cm)		1.24 (1.13, 1.37)	1.15 (1.00, 1.32)		
Lowest tertile (<167 cm)		1.62 (1.40, 1.88)	1.40 (1.14, 1.74)		
Per one step		1.26 (1.18, 1.35)	1.18 (1.06, 1.31)		
Smoking (ref: no smoking)		2.89 (2.66, 3.14)	2.63 (2.33, 2.96)		
	IHD				
Systolic blood pressure					
Low risk (<130 mmHg)		1	1		
Medium risk (130-159 mmHg)		1.59 (1.41, 1.78)	1.41 (1.21, 1.65)		
High risk (≥160 mmHg)		3.92 (3.31, 4.64)	2.64 (2.02, 3.47)		
Per one step		1.87 (1.72, 2.05)	1.54 (1.36, 1.74)		
Total cholesterol					
Low risk (<5.2 mmol/L)		1	1		
Medium risk (5.2-6.1 mmol/L)		1.54 (1.31, 1.80)	1.37 (1.12, 1.68)		
High risk (≥6.2 mmol/L)		3.47 (3.01. 4.01)	2.60 (2.11, 3.20)		
Per one step		1.93 (1.82. 2.09)	1.66 (1.50, 1.84)		
BMI					

Normal range (BMI <25 kg/m2)	1	1
Overweight (BMI25-29.9 kg/m2)	1.24 (1.11, 1.38)	1.07 (0.92, 1.25)
Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m2)	2.71 (2.36, 3.11)	2.34 (1.86, 2.95)
Per one step	1.56 (1.45, 1.67)	1.39 (1.25, 1.55)
Height		
Highest tertile (≥176 cm)	1	1
Mid tertile (167-175.9 cm)	1.27 (1.13, 1.41)	1.14 (0.95, 1.36)
Lowest tertile (<167 cm)	1.67 (1.38, 2.02)	1.30 (0.99, 1.71)
Per one step	1.28 (1.18, 1.40)	1.14 (1.00, 1.30)
Smoking (ref: no smoking)	3.34 (3.01, 3.71)	2.96 (2.52, 3.48)
Cerebrovascular disease		
Systolic blood pressure		
Low risk (<130 mmHg)	1	1
Medium risk (130-159 mmHg)	1.73 (1.42, 2.10)	1.64 (1.31, 2.06)
High risk (≥160 mmHg)	4.86 (3.64, 6.50)	5.14 (3.23, 8.16)
Per one step	2.06 (1.78, 2.38)	1.94 (1.62, 2.34)
I otal cholesterol		
Low risk (<5.2 mmol/L)	1	1
Medium risk (5.2-6.1 mmol/L)	1.13 (0.91, 1.40)	1.20 (0.94, 1.55)
High risk (≥6.2 mmol/L)	1.36 (1.10, 1.69)	1.57 (1.19, 2.07)
Per one step	1.17 (1.05, 1.30)	1.25 (1.09, 1.44)
DNAL		
DIVII Normal range (BMI <25 kg/m2)	1	1
Normal runge (BIVII <25 kg/11/2)		
Over weight (Bivii25-29.9 kg/iii2) Obosity (BMI > 20 kg/m2)	1.01 (0.84, 1.22)	0.88 (0.09, 1.11)
Obesily (Bivil 230 kg/112)		1.30 (0.88, 1.93)
Per one step	1.05 (0.92, 1.20)	1.03 (0.87, 1.23)
Height		
Highest tertile (≥176 cm)	1	1
Mid tertile (167-175.9 cm)	1.28 (1.02, 1.60)	1.23 (0.91, 1.65)
Lowest tertile (<167 cm)	1.59 (1.17, 2.15)	1.73 (1.13, 2.67)
Per one step	1.26 (1.08, 1.47)	1.31 (1.06, 1.63)
		·
Smoking (ref: no smoking)	2.54 (2.13, 3.03)	2.44 (1.94, 3.07)

The risk of cerebrovascular disease mortality increased with higher levels of SBP and TC, with smoking and with lower height (Table 4). In within sibling analyses, estimates were slightly attenuated for SBP and smoking, however not for TC and height, for which the estimates were slightly increased. The population attributable fractions (PAF) of SBP, TC, BMI, height and smoking on mortality from CVD, IHD and cerebrovascular disease are shown in Table 5. The PAF estimates show how much of the CVD mortality that could be accounted for by each risk factor. For example, 43 % of all CVD deaths were attributable to smoking, and if everyone had been in the lowest risk category for TC, half of all IHD deaths would be avoided. The risk factors contributing the most to CVD and IHD mortality was smoking and TC, and to cerebrovascular disease mortality smoking and SBP. PAF estimates in sibship analyses were somewhat lower than in cohort analyses. **Table 5.** Population attributable fraction (PAF) (%) of smoking, medium or high risk levels of systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, being overweight or obese and of being in the two lowest tertiles of height on cardiovascular (CVD)-, ischemic heart disease (IHD)- and cerebrovascular disease mortality in cohort and within sibship analyses. From Cox regressions

	Cohort	Sibship analyses
CVD		
Smoking	43	40
Medium or high risk systolic blood pressure	32	28
Medium or high risk total cholesterol	39	32
Being overweight or obese	16	6
Being in the two lowest tertiles of height	12	6
IHD		
Smoking	49	44
Medium or high risk systolic blood pressure	31	23
Medium or high risk total cholesterol	50	37
Being overweight or obese	20	11
Being in the two lowest tertiles of height	11	6
Cerebrovascular disease		
Smoking	38	38
Medium or high risk systolic blood pressure	32	28
Medium or high risk total cholesterol	13	18
Being overweight or obese	2	0
Being in the two lowest tertiles of height	15	11

Adjusting for index health survey or size of sibling groups in sensitivity analyses did not change the results essentially (results not shown). When including only those with a sibling discordant for risk factor category in cohort analyses on CVD mortality, no substantial changes were seen for SBP, BMI and height (supplementary table 1). Regarding TC, per step HR was attenuated towards the HR in sibship analyses, and the per step HR for smoking became lower than in sibship analyses. For IHD mortality, no substantial changes was seen in per step HR for SBP or BMI, however estimates were attenuated towards sibship estimates for TC and height and below sibship estimates for smoking. Regarding

cerebrovascular disease mortality, cohort estimates were increased for SBP, TC, BMI, and height, and attenuated for smoking only.

DISCUSSION

The risk of CVD mortality increased as expected with raised levels of SBP, TC and BMI, as well as with smoking and with lower levels of height [2]. Within sibship analyses suggested that early life factors to some degree confound the association between CVD risk factors and death from CVD and IHD. However, importantly, most of the association between risk factors and mortality was unrelated to early life factors. The results should be interpreted in light of the fact that the sample was relatively young for CVD.

One previous study in a cohort overlapping ours have reported less variation of CVD risk factors within sibships than between individuals [12], confirming some importance of early life factors on CVD risk factors. An association between childhood socioeconomic position (SEP) and adult BMI has previously been reported by some [24], but not all [25] studies. SBP has been weakly associated with childhood SEP [24-25], and smoking has been mostly attributed to adult SEP [26]. Childhood SEP is one of the important early life factors siblings share. Thus, a modest intraclass correlation for risk factors within sibships, suggesting fairly large variation, is in line with previous research. We found early life factors to be less important for the association between the CVD risk factors under study and cerebrovascular disease than for the association with IHD and total CVD. Risk factors not included in this study, such as previous heart disease and diabetes, could be more important for cerebrovascular disease [27]. If we had such risk factors included, the importance of early life family factors for the association between cerebrovascular disease and risk factors might have been stronger.

Height in adulthood is a composite measure of health and nutrition during growing years and genetic disposition. It is a trait potentially modifiable in the period where most siblings share environment, but will generally not be influenced by adult lifestyle factors. Up to late 1980s, the height of Norwegian conscripts was increasing, but has later been stable [28]. The majority of our study population was born before the flattening of the height trend. It is thus plausible that adult height is related to environmental factors during childhood, such as access to material resources, including adequate nutrition. Height therefore serves as a model exposure for shared early life environment in sibling design, as other CVD risk factors examined are modifiable also in adult life where most siblings does not share environment, and the effects of shared early life environment within sibships might be diluted by differential adult lifestyle. The attenuation of association between height, as well as BMI, and CVD mortality was considerable between cohort and within sibling analyses, confirming our hypothesis that early life factors are at play.

Assumptions in sibling design

Only siblings discordant in exposure contribute to the estimation of the impact of early life family factors on the association under study. Using more risk strata would have given more siblings in different categories. We did, however, consider the use of three conventional risk categories practical and clinically relevant. Confounders could be the cause of discordance in risk factors and thus cause estimates for risk factor levels to become stronger in the sibship analyses [29]. Families with discordant and concordant risk factor levels among siblings may differ. For example, in families where all siblings have a preferable risk factor profile, this may be due to both health related habits and genetic disposition. Siblings with discordant risk factor levels despite shared childhood circumstances may differ more in non-shared factors than two random persons do. It may also be that they were in different age

groups. Including only those with a sibling in a discordant risk factors group in cohort analyses did not change results essentially.

Matching siblings on known mother and father probably gives siblings sharing more of early life environment, as well as of the germ line, than siblings defined as having the same mother only. We do not know, however, whether some parents separated during early life. A divorce might have influenced the extent of early life factors shared by siblings. Up to the 1960s, divorce rate was low and constant at four per 1000 marriages in Norway. During the seventies and beginning of the eighties, it rose to about seven per 1000 marriages [30]. We rely on shared early life factors to contribute to the estimation of early life confounding on risk factor differences in CVD mortality. If such factors are not fully captured by being siblings, the confounding effect may be larger than our estimates. Circumstances in a family may change due to factors not shared by siblings, e.g. more children means less resources on each. Adjustment for size of sibling group produced little change in results.

Strengths and limitations

This population-based study had a large sample size and the ability to study the impact of early life environment on associations between cardiovascular risk factors and mortality using sibship analysis. Use of register data by large eliminates problems related to loss to follow-up. The response rate of the health surveys from which data originated was reasonable. The majority of the sample was in their forties at screening, and many subjects did not reach an age during follow-up where CVD mortality is probable. Mean age at censoring was 60 years, and mean age of death was 55 years. Thus, our results confine roughly to premature CVD death. If we had the possibility to follow the participants for a longer period, more deaths would have occurred, and the importance of early life factors for the associations between risk factors and mortality might have changed. To account for possible secular trends in risk factors, CVD deaths and attained levels of education, we adjusted the analyses for birth year. We also adjusted for sex, as stratification on sex would have left fewer sibling comparisons and considerably reduced the power of the analyses. Further, the inclusion of any variable that is associated with the outcome may cause the estimates of other variables to inflate even in the absence of confounding in a Cox regression [31]. This might counteract the attenuation of confounding by early life factors.

Implications

Associations between adult risk factor profile and future mortality from CVD and IHD vary to some degree with shared early life family factors. However, the CVD risk factor profile is strongly associated with mortality regardless of early life factors. Targeting conventional risk factors in adulthood should thus continue to play a major role in the prevention of CVD, even though early life interventions also will be of importance for later cardiovascular risk.

Acknowledgement

ØN conceived the idea for the article. MKRK did the statistical analyses and the drafting of the article. All authors contributed in the final stage of the writing.

Funding

The work was supported by the Norwegian Research Council [Grant number 213788].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Glossary

- **BP** blood pressure
- BMI body mass index
- CVD cardiovascular disease
- HR hazard ratio
- IHD ischemic heart disease
- NFLC Norwegian Family Based Life Course Study
- PAF population attributable fraction
- SBP systolic blood pressure
- SEP socioeconomic position
- TC total cholesterol

Reference List

- Perk J, De Backer G, Gohlke H, et al. European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (version 2012). The Fifth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). *Eur Heart J* 2012;33:1635-1701.
- 2 Magnus P, Beaglehole R. The real contribution of the major risk factors to the coronary epidemics: time to end the "only-50%" myth. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:2657-60.

- 3 Forsdahl A. Are poor living conditions in childhood and adolescence an important risk factor for arteriosclerotic heart disease? *Br J Prev Soc Med* 1977;31:91-5.
- 4 Barker DJ, Gluckman PD, Godfrey KM, et al. Fetal nutrition and cardiovascular disease in adult life. *Lancet* 1993;341:938-41.
- 5 Iannotti RJ, Zuckerman AE, Rifai N. Correlations of cardiovascular disease risk factors between African American siblings. *J Pediatr* 2000;136:511-9.
- 6 Harrap SB, Stebbing M, Hopper JL, et al. Familial patterns of covariation for cardiovascular risk factors in adults: The Victorian Family Heart Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000;152:704-15.
- 7 Naess O, Hoff DA, Lawlor D, et al. Education and adult cause-specific mortality--examining the impact of family factors shared by 871 367 Norwegian siblings. *Int J Epidemiol* 2012;41:1683-91.
- 8 Madsen M, Andersen PK, Gerster M, et al. Are the educational differences in incidence of cardiovascular disease explained by underlying familial factors? A twin study. *Soc Sci Med* 2014;118:182-90.
- 9 Tarkiainen L, Martikainen P, Laaksonen M, et al. Childhood family background and mortality differences by income in adulthood: fixed-effects analysis of Finnish siblings. *Eur J Public Health* 2015;25:305-10.
- Lundborg P, Lyttkens CH, Nystedt P. Human Captial and Longevity. Evidence from 50.000 Twins.
 Health, Ecometrics and Data Group. The University of York; 2012.
- Lawlor DA, Martin RM, Gunnell D, et al. Association of body mass index measured in childhood, adolescence, and young adulthood with risk of ischemic heart disease and stroke: findings from 3 historical cohort studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;83:767-73.

- 12 Ariansen I, Mortensen LH, Graff-Iversen S, et al. The educational gradient in cardiovascular risk factors: impact of shared family factors in 228,346 Norwegian siblings. BMC Public Health. In Press.
 - 13 Johnson RC, Schoeni RF. Early-life origins of adult disease: national longitudinal populationbased study of the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2011;101:2317-24.
 - 14 Class QA, Rickert ME, Lichtenstein P, et al. Birth weight, physical morbidity, and mortality: a population-based sibling-comparison study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;179:550-8.
 - 15 Bergvall N, Iliadou A, Tuvemo T, et al. Birth characteristics and risk of high systolic blood pressure in early adulthood: socioeconomic factors and familial effects. *Epidemiology* 2005;16:635-40.
 - 16 Naess O, Hoff DA. The Norwegian Family Based Life Course (NFLC) study: data structure and potential for public health research. *Int J Public Health* 2013;58:57-64.
 - 17 Bjartveit K, Foss OP, Gjervig T, et al. The cardiovascular disease study in Norwegian counties. Background and organization. *Acta Med Scand Suppl* 1979;634:1-70.
 - 18 Tverdal A, Hjellvik V, Selmer R. Heart rate and mortality from cardiovascular causes: a 12 year follow-up study of 379,843 men and women aged 40-45 years. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:2772-81.
 - 19 Naess O, Sogaard AJ, Arnesen E, et al. Cohort profile: cohort of Norway (CONOR). *Int J Epidemiol* 2008;37:481-5.
 - 20 Lund-Larsen P. Blood pressure measured with a sphygmomanometer and eith Dinamap under field conditions a comparison. *Norsk Epidemiologi* 1997;7:235-41.

- 21 Foss OP, Urdal P. Cholesterol for more than 25 years: Could the results be compared throughout all this time? *Norsk Epidemiologi* 2003;13:85-8.
- 22 D'Agostino RB, Sr., Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2008;117:743-53.
- Holt JD, Prentice RL. Survival analyses in twin studies and matched pari experiments. Biometrika 1974;61:17-30.
- 24 Poulton R, Caspi A, Milne BJ, et al. Association between children's experience of socioeconomic disadvantage and adult health: a life-course study. *Lancet* 2002;360:1640-5.
- 25 Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey SG. Socioeconomic position in childhood and adulthood and insulin resistance: cross sectional survey using data from British women's heart and health study. *BMJ* 2002;325:805.
- 26 Watt HC, Carson C, Lawlor DA, et al. Influence of life course socioeconomic position on older women's health behaviors: findings from the British Women's Heart and Health Study. *Am J Public Health* 2009;99:320-7.
- 27 D'Agostino RB, Wolf PA, Belanger AJ, et al. Stroke risk profile: adjustment for antihypertensive medication. The Framingham Study. *Stroke* 1994:25;40-3.
- 28 Sundet JM, Barlaug DG, Torjussen TM. The end of the Flynn effect?: A study of secular trends in mean intelligence test scores of Norwegian conscripts during half a century. *Intelligence* 2004;32:349-62.

- 29 Frisell T, Oberg S, Kuja-Halkola R, et al. Sibling comparison designs: bias from non-shared confounders and measurement error. *Epidemiology* 2012;23:713-20.
- 30 Mamelund SE, Brunborg H, Noack T. Divorce in Norway 1886-1995 by Calendar Year and Marriage Cohort. Oslo-Kongsvinger: Statistics Norway, 1997.
- 31 Martinussen T, Vansteelandt S. On collapsibility and confounding bias in Cox and Aalen regression models. *Lifetime Data Anal* 2013;19:279-96.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Flow chart

Figure 2. Predicted Hazard Ratio for cardiovascular (CVD) mortality at different levels of a) total cholesterol (TC), b) systolic blood pressure (SBP) and c) body mass index (BMI). Reference category SBP: 129 mmHg, TC: 5 mmol/l, BMI: 25 kg/m². Estimated from Cox proportional regression models, entering risk factors as restricted cubic splines. Solid line: cohort analyses. Dotted line: sibship analyses