

Antenatal Maternal Emotional Distress and Duration of Pregnancy



Mirjam Lukasse^{1,2*}, Anne Helbig^{3,4}, Jūratė Šaltytė Benth⁵, Malin Eberhard-Gran⁶

1 Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, 2 Department of Health, Nutrition and Management, Oslo and Akershus university college of applied sciences, Oslo, Norway, 3 Norwegian Resource Centre for Women's Health, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, 4 Department of Obstetrics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, 5 Institute of Clinical Medicine, Campus Ahus, University of Oslo, and Health Services Research Centre, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway, 6 Division of Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, and Health Services Research Centre, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway

Abstract

Objective(s): We sought to prospectively study the association between antenatal emotional distress and gestational length at birth as well as preterm birth.

Study Design: We followed up 40,077 primiparous women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Emotional distress was reported in a short form of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 (SCL-5) at 17 and 30 weeks of gestation. Gestational length at birth, obtained from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway, was used as continuous (gestational length in days) and categorized (early preterm (22–31 weeks) and late preterm (32–36 weeks) versus term birth (≥37 weeks)) outcome, using linear and logistic regression analysis, respectively. Births were divided into spontaneous and provider-initiated.

Results: Of all women, 7.4% reported emotional distress at 17 weeks, 6.0% at 30 weeks and 5.1% had a preterm birth. All measurements of emotional distress at 30 weeks were significantly associated with a reduction of gestational length, in days, for provider-initiated births at term. Emotional distress at 30 weeks showed a reduced duration of pregnancy at birth of 2.40 days for provider-initiated births at term. An increase in emotional distress from 17 to 30 weeks was associated with a reduction of gestational length at birth of 2.13 days for provider-initiated births at term. Sustained high emotional distress was associated with a reduction of gestational length at birth of 2.82 days for provider-initiated births. Emotional distress did not increase the risk of either early or late preterm birth.

Conclusion: Emotional distress at 30 weeks, an increase in emotional distress from 17 to 30 weeks and sustained high levels of emotional distress were associated with a reduction in gestational length in days for provider-initiated term birth. We found no significant association between emotional distress and the risk of preterm birth.

Citation: Lukasse M, Helbig A, Benth JŠ, Eberhard-Gran M (2014) Antenatal Maternal Emotional Distress and Duration of Pregnancy. PLoS ONE 9(7): e101682. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101682

Editor: Claire Thorne, UCL Institute of Child Health, University College London, United Kingdom

Received August 15, 2013; Accepted June 10, 2014; Published July 7, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Lukasse et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Mirjam Lukasse received a postdoctoral fellowship from the Norwegian Research Council, Grant no. 204292 (http://www.forskningsradet.no/no/Forsiden/1173185591033). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

1

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

* Email: Mirjam.Lukasse@hioa.no

Introduction

Preterm birth (defined as birth before 37 weeks of gestation, WHO 1977) is a common but serious pregnancy complication [1,2]. The majority of neonatal intensive care admissions are from preterm babies [3], with preterm birth remaining a leading cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity [2,4].

Since the nineties a growing awareness that maternal antenatal stress may influence gestational length at birth and preterm birth in particular has led to a large number of studies, as the comprehensive review of Dunkel Schetter & Glynn indicates [5]. Studies have investigated the impact of stressors such as major life events [6], daily hassles [7], and disasters [8]. Other studies have assessed the association between preterm birth and emotional states such as pregnancy-related anxiety [9,10], general anxiety

and depression [11], and various measures of psychosocial stress [12].

The influence of maternal psychological distress and stress hormones on the risk of preterm birth is not well defined, although patterns are beginning to emerge [13]. The main pathways proposed for this association are the neuroendocrine system, the immunological system, and behavioural stress responses [5,14]. Briefly, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis is involved in stress responses as well as in the onset of parturition;, this includes the "stress hormones" cortisol and corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH) whose physiology is significantly affected by pregnancy [14]. Maternal inflammation and infection is one of the main causes of preterm birth [1,15], and the effects of chronic stress on the immune system are well known [16]. Indirectly, behavioural responses to stress such as smoking and substance abuse may increase the risk of preterm birth [5].

Research on both gestational length at birth and preterm birth are of interest. Using gestational length at birth as a continuous variable adds statistical power and may reveal associations not detected when using cut-offs, such as early and late preterm birth. A shortening of gestational length at birth by a day, or couple of days can be detected using a continuous variable [17]. Recent research has shown that length of gestation at birth matters, also at term [18]. It is recommended to perform term elective caesarean sections after 39 weeks, as doing so provides better neonatal outcomes than before 39 weeks [18]. A growing awareness of the unfavourable effects of late preterm birth has led to the investigation of the role of non-spontaneous preterm birth and indications for this [19,20]. In the newly presented classification of preterm birth by WHO, non-spontaneous preterm birth is termed "provider-initiated" and defined as induction of labor or elective caesarean section without premature rupture of membranes [21,22]. A recent study in the Unites States reported that more than half of all provider-initiated preterm births at 34-36 weeks gestation were carried out in the absence of a strong medical indication [20]. The potential impact of maternal stress during pregnancy on gestational length at birth and preterm birth through provider-initiated start of labour has had limited attention. Previous studies have either focused on spontaneous preterm birth or not differentiated between spontaneous or provider-initiated birth when examining gestational length at birth [9,17,23–25].

Another issue, which has been poorly investigated to date, is the role of the pattern of prenatal stress as a possible predictor of reduced gestational age at birth. Research indicates that levels of anxiety and depression vary during pregnancy, with higher levels at the beginning and lower levels at 30 weeks gestation [26,27]. The only study investigating this so far, by Glynn et al., suggests that a deviation from this pattern, i.e. an increase in perceived stress and anxiety from the second to third trimester could be a better predictor of preterm birth than stress levels at either time point [28].

The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort (MoBa) is a nationwide population-based prospective cohort study of pregnant women. It included measurements of emotional distress on two occasions in pregnancy as well as a large number of relevant covariates. The aim of our study was to estimate the association between antenatal emotional distress in the second and third trimester, and of a pattern of either increasing or sustained high levels of emotional distress, with gestational length at birth as well as increased risk of preterm birth. All of these associations were assessed for provider-initiated births versus spontaneous births.

Materials and Methods

The MoBa study, conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health [29], recruited pregnant women from all over Norway from 1999–2008, and 38.5% of invited women consented to participate. Participating women completed two extensive questionnaires at around 17 and 30 weeks' of gestation, including measures of emotional distress. These data were linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN), providing information on pregnancy and birth outcome. The MoBa study has been described in detail previously [29]. More information can be found at the website of the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (www. fhi.no). The current study is based on version 6 of the quality-assured data files released for research in 2011. Our study included 45,769 primiparous women with a singleton pregnancy who gave birth between 22 and 44 weeks of gestation. We excluded 1,383 women for whom information on emotional distress at 17 weeks

was missing completely and 1,302 women who gave birth to a baby with serious congenital malformation(s). Another 118 cases were excluded due to birth weights 3.0 standard deviations (SD) above or 3.5 SD below gender- and gestational age-adjusted national reference values [30], indicating a high risk of incorrect registration of gestational age. Finally, we excluded 2,889 women with the following pre-existent medical risk factors for preterm birth; previous cervical surgery, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, hypertension, congenital heart disease, other vascular or heart disease, inflammatory bowel disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and rheumatoid arthritis (all self-reported in questionnaire 1, at 17 weeks). Thus, our final study sample consisted of 40,077 women.

Ethics statement

The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in South-Eastern Norway (Regional Committee for Research Ethics in Health Region II, Ref.SAFH 95/313 RTL) and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate approved the study. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant. The protocol for this study was submitted to the Norwegian Institute of Public health who upon approval supplied the researchers of this study with anonymized data through contract (PDB 698, www.fhi.no/moba). The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics in South-Eastern Norway (Regional

Emotional distress

Emotional distress was measured using a short version of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25, the Symptom Checklist-5 (SCL-5), at around 17 weeks (mean 17.4, SD ±2.8) and 30 weeks' gestation (mean 30.6, SD ±2.0). Although such a measurement cannot replace a clinical interview, previous research [31] has shown that cut-off value of 2.0 gives the same prevalence estimate of a depressive disorder as a well-known diagnostic interview, the Composite International Diagnostic Interview [32]. The advantage of using the SCL is that it is designed to measure symptoms of depression and anxiety in population surveys [33,34]. Furthermore, it has been validated in several populations and documented as an acceptable screening instrument for depression as defined by the ICD-10 [31,35]. The SCL-5 has been used in several previous studies as a measurement for depression [36] and emotional distress [37,38]. The SCL-5 is highly correlated (r = 0.92) with the SCL-25 [39] and consists of the following questions: Have you been bothered by any of the following during the last two weeks: (1) feeling fearful, (2) nervousness or shakiness inside, (3) feeling hopeless about the future, (4) feeling blue, (5) worrying too much about things. The response categories ranged from 'not bothered' to 'very bothered' (range 1-4), with a maximum total score of 20. Emotional distress was defined as a mean score of ≥ 2 [33]. In the current sample, the SCL-5 had adequate internal consistency with a Cronbach's α of 0.81 at both 17 and 30 weeks. There were 367 (0.8%) and 3,904 (8.8%) women with missing values on SCL-5 in pregnancy week 17 and 30, respectively. Missing values in the dichotomized version of the SCL-5 were imputed as follows: first, the average score on existing items was calculated for each case. Only if the average of the existing items was clearly above or below the cut-off and could not be affected by imputed values, were missing values imputed by zero or one as appropriate. Imputation was not performed on cases where the average score was not uniquely defining the value above or below cut-off. Increase in emotional distress was defined as no emotional distress at 17 weeks but present at 30 weeks. This group and the group of sustained emotional distress (i.e. emotional distress present at both time points) were compared to the reference group defined as sustained low or decreasing distress (i.e. women who had emotional distress at neither 17 nor 30 weeks, and women who had emotional distress at 17 but not 30 weeks).

Duration of pregnancy

The actual and the estimated date of delivery (EDD, determined by ultrasound at approximately 18 weeks) was obtained from the MBRN database, and used to calculate gestational length at birth in days. For the 798 (1.8%) women for whom no ultrasound based EDD was available, gestational length at birth was calculated using the last menstrual period. Preterm birth was defined as birth before 37+0 weeks (259 days) of gestation, as opposed to term birth at or after 37+0 weeks. Preterm birth is often categorized into <28 weeks, 28–<32 weeks and 32–<37 weeks [2,40,41]. Due to few births before 28+0 weeks gestation, we defined all births before 32+0 weeks (224 days) of gestation as early preterm birth and those from 32+0 weeks to 36+6 weeks as late preterm birth.

Covariates

Maternal age, education, marital status, smoking, maternal prepregnancy body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), and history of spontaneous abortion (<22 weeks gestation) were obtained from the MoBa questionnaire at 17 weeks gestation. The gender and birth weight of the new-born were obtained from the MBRN database. Major fetal malformations were derived from the variable "serious congenital malformations" from MBRN records. We defined a "late medical risk" variable which included risk factors occurring during pregnancy. These consisted of pregnancyinduced hypertensive disease as registered in the MBRN records, self-reported urinary tract infection(s) and episodes of fever after 21 weeks from the MoBa questionnaire at 30 weeks. Since more than 99% of the MoBa participants were of Caucasian origin, ethnicity was not a relevant confounding factor in our study. The variable "start of birth" was used to differentiate between provider-initiated births, i.e. starting with induction of labor or elective caesarean section without preterm rupture of membranes, and spontaneous births, i.e. starting with contractions or spontaneous rupture of the membranes [21].

Statistical methods and analytical approach

Characteristics of the sample were presented as frequencies and percentages within different categories of the preterm birth variable (Table 1). The outcome gestational length at birth was assessed in two ways: continuous (length in days) and categorized as early preterm birth, late preterm birth, and term birth. Linear and logistic regression models were fitted for the following exposures: emotional distress at 17 weeks, emotional distress at 30 weeks, increase in emotional distress and sustained high emotional distress. Women who gave birth before 32 weeks' gestation were excluded from all analyses, which included the SCL-5 at 30 weeks, to avoid assessing exposure and outcome simultaneously. This means that for the emotional distress variables, other than "emotional distress at 17 weeks", the preterm group was limited to women delivering at 32+0-36+6 weeks' gestation. All analyses were further adjusted for maternal age, educational level, smoking, pre-pregnancy BMI, a history of spontaneous abortion, late medical risk, newborn gender, and start of birth. In addition, the interaction between how birth started (spontaneous versus provider-initiated) and emotional distress was included into the models. When estimating the association between emotional distress and gestational length at birth we first performed analyses for the whole sample. Considering the results for emotional distress and gestational length at birth for providerinitiated births, we then through stratified analyses proceeded to investigate whether the association between gestational length and emotional distress occurred selectively preterm or at term (Table 2). The interaction between how birth started was not significant in the models assessing the association between emotional distress and early and late preterm birth, categorized and was therefore excluded (Table 3). An interaction between newborn gender and emotional distress was also assessed, but was not significant in any of the models. All analyses were performed with SPSS v20. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 presents background characteristics of the participants by early preterm, late preterm and term birth. Emotional distress was reported by 2,951 (7.4%) women at 17 weeks, and by 2,410 (6.0%) at 30 weeks. Thirteen hundred and thirty two (3.3%) women had emotional distress for the first time at 30 weeks, and 1,078 (2.7%) women had sustained high emotional distress. Emotional distress at 30 weeks was not available for 159 women as they delivered before filling out the second questionnaire. The 113 women, who delivered early preterm, for whom emotional distress data was available, either gave birth between 30 and 32 weeks, or filled out the form before 30 weeks' gestation. Preterm birth occurred in 2,035 (5.1%) women. The median gestational length at birth for the whole study population was 282 days.

Table 2 presents the results for the adjusted associations between emotional distress and gestational length at birth, as a continuous outcome in days (unadjusted results in Table S1). Results are shown for the whole sample, and stratified by preterm and term birth. Emotional distress at 17 weeks was not associated with gestational length in days. Non-stratified analyses showed that emotional distress at 30 weeks was associated with a shortened gestational length of 2.32 days in provider-initiated births. Stratified analyses showed no reduction of gestational length in preterm births, but in term births the association between emotional distress at 30 weeks and gestational length at birth was significant for provider-initiated births. Non-stratified analysis of women who progressed from no emotional distress at 17 weeks to distress at 30 weeks showed a reduction of gestational length at birth of 2.54 days for provider-initiated births. Stratified analyses showed that in women giving birth preterm, this association was not significant. At term, this association was only significant for provider-initiated births (-2.13 days). Sustained high emotional distress at 17 and 30 weeks was associated with a reduction of gestational length at birth of 0.93 days. However, after stratification, this association was present only in provider-initiated births at term (-2.82 days).

Table 3 presents the results for the association between antenatal maternal emotional distress and preterm birth, categorized into early preterm, late preterm and term birth. Neither emotional distress at 17 nor at 30 weeks was not associated with early or late preterm birth. In all analyses, neither increasing nor sustained high emotional distress were associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (Table 3). There was no significant interaction between how birth started and emotional distress for the analyses in table 3.

Discussion

All our measurements of emotional distress at 30 weeks, but not at 17 weeks, were associated with a reduction in the duration of pregnancy at term, but only in provider-initiated births. The largest reduction of gestational length for term births was seen in women with sustained high emotional distress. We found no

Table 1. Background characteristics and distress measures according to preterm birth.

	Early preterm 22–31 weeks n = 272 n (%)	12–36 weeks n=1,763 n (%)	Term ≥37 weeks n=38,042 n (%)
Emotional distress at 17 weeks			
Yes	21 (7.7)	125 (7.1)	2,805 (7.4)
No	251 (92.3)	1,638 (92.9)	35,237 (92.6)
Emotional distress at 30 weeks*			
Yes	19 (7.0)	105 (6.0)	2,286 (6.0)
No	94 (34.6)	1,484 (84.2)	32,820 (86.3)
Missing	159 (58.5)	174 (9.9)	2,936 (7.7)
Change in emotional distress			
Increase	17 (6.3)	61 (3.5)	1,254 (3.3)
Decrease or sustained low	94 (34.6)	1,484 (84.2)	32,820 (86.3)
Sustained high	2 (0.7)	44 (2.5)	1,032 (2.7)
Missing	159 (58.5)	174 (9.9)	2,936 (7.7)
Answered questionnaire at 30 weeks*			
Yes	125 (46.0)	1,610 (91.3)	35,582 (93.5)
No	147 (54.0)	153 (8.7)	2,460 (6.5)
Age (years)			
<26	75 (27.6)	520 (29.5)	11,065 (29.1)
26–30	106 (39.0)	736 (41.7)	16,956 (44.6)
31–36	74 (27.2)	429 (24.3)	8,797 (23.1)
>36	17 (6.2)	78 (4.4)	1,224 (3.2)
Educational level			
Primary school	13 (5.1)	53 (3.2)	984 (2.7)
Secondary/Grammar school	93 (36.2)	607 (36.2)	11,887 (32.8)
University/college <4 years	96 (37.4)	633 (37.7)	14,506 (40.0)
University/college ≥4 years	55 (21.4)	384 (22.9)	8,853 (24.4)
Married or cohabiting	256 (94.1)	1,663 (94.9)	35,980 (95.0)
Smoking	18 (6.6)	160 (9.1)	3,001 (7.9)
Pre-pregnancy body mass index (kg/m²)			
<20	33 (12.5)	238 (13.9)	5,295 (14.4)
20–24	133 (50.2)	935 (54.7)	21,369 (58.0)
25–29	57 (21.5)	349 (20.4)	7204 (19.6)
≥30	42 (15.8)	188 (11.0)	2,976 (8.1)
Medical risk factors			
History of spontaneous abortion	56 (20.6)	239 (13.6)	4,951 (13.0)
Late medical risk	90 (33.1)	483 (27.4)	3,633 (9.5)
Birth weight (grams)			
<1500	199 (74.0)	42 (2.4)	0
1500–3000	70 (26.0)	1,449 (82.2)	4,172 (11.0)
>3000	0	272 (15.4)	33,848 (89.0)
New-born gender			
Воу	139 (51.1)	944 (53.5)	19,418 (51.0)
Girl	133 (48.9)	819 (46.5)	18,624 (49.0)
Any covariate missing	32 (11.8)	216 (12.3)	4,656 (12.2)

Table 1. Cont.

	Early preterm	Late preterm	Term
	22–31 weeks	32-36 weeks	≥37 weeks
	n = 272	n = 1,763	n = 38,042
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Provider-initiated	115 (42.3)	464 (26.3)	5,330 (14.0)

*mean gestational age for filling out questionnaire 2 was 30 weeks, SD 2 weeks. However, some women filled out the form before 30 weeks' gestation while some gave birth after week 30 (in week 31). As a result, approximately 40% of those giving birth early preterm filled out questionnaire 2 and the SCL-5 a second time, even though they delivered early preterm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101682.t001

significant association between emotional distress and preterm birth for either continuous or categorical outcome measures.

Our study has several strengths. We used an instrument designed to measure symptoms of anxiety and depression in population surveys [33]. It has been validated in several populations and is documented as an acceptable screening instrument for depression as defined by the ICD-10 [31]. Measuring emotional distress twice during pregnancy made it possible to investigate associations for both an increasing and sustained high levels as well as assessing the separate time points.

Measuring emotional distress as early as 17 weeks provided information on the possible association with early preterm birth. It strengthens our study that information on many relevant covariates was collected prospectively. The large sample size allowed for control of many known risk factors associated with preterm birth [42,43]. Finally, the Norwegian setting is unique; in contrast to many other countries, the prevalence of preterm birth in the Norwegian population has remained low and stable, around 6% during the time the MoBa data were collected [40]. The

Table 2. Adjusted* associations between emotional distress (ED[†]) and gestational length at birth (days).

	Non-stratified analyses Coefficient (95% CI)	Stratified for preterm and term birth		
		Preterm<37 weeks n = 1,787 [‡]	Term ≥37 weeks n = 33,386 [‡] Coefficient (95% CI)	
		Coefficient		
		(95% CI)		
ED at 17 weeks and spontaneous start of birth	n = 29,993	n = 1,275	n = 28,718	
	-0.15 (-0.72; 0.42) P = 0.615	1.00 (-2.74; 4.73) P=0.600	-0.34 (-0.73; 0.06) P = 0.097	
ED at 17 weeks and provider-initiated start of birth	n = 5,180	n = 512	n = 4,668	
	-0.15 (-0.72; 0.42) P = 0.615	1.00 (-2.74; 4.73) P=0.600	-0.34 (-0.73; 0.06) P = 0.097	
ED at 30 weeks and spontaneous start of birth	n = 27,748	n = 1,042	n = 26,706	
	-0.07 (-1.15; 1.01) P=0.899	-1.78 (-3.68; 0.13) P=0.068	0.003 (-1.07; 1.08) P=0.996	
ED at 30 weeks and provider-initiated start of birth	n = 4,668	n = 366	n = 4,302	
	-2.32 (-3.54; -1.09) P<0.001	-1.78 (-3.68; 0.13) P=0.068	-2.40 (-3.40; -1.39) P<0.001	
Increase in ED and spontaneous start of birth§	n = 27,104	n = 1,016	n = 26,088	
	0.37 (-0.61; 1.36) P=0.456	-2.34 (-4.73; 0.05) P=0.055	0.51 (-0.55; 1.58) P=0.346	
Increase in ED and provider-initiated star of birth [§]	n = 4,531	n = 358	n = 4,173	
	-2.54 (-4.09; -0.99) P=0.001	-2.34 (-4.73; 0.05) P=0.055	-2.13 (-3.41; -0.85) P = 0.001	
Sustained high ED and spontaneous start of birth [§]	n = 26,862	n = 1,006	n = 25,856	
	-0.93 (-1.73; -0.13) P=0.022	-0.83 (-3.84; 2.18) P=0.589	-0.72 (-1.69; 0.25) P=0.145	
Sustained high ED and provider-initiated start of birth§	n = 4,459	n = 348	n = 4,111	
	-0.93 (-1.73; -0.13) P = 0.022	-0.83 (-3.84; 2.18) P = 0.589	-2.82 (-4.37; -1.27) P<0.001	

^{*}adjusted for maternal age, educational level, smoking, pre-pregnancy body mass index, history of spontaneous abortion, late medical risk and neonate gender. For all analyses including ED at 30 weeks, the preterm group is limited to women delivering at 32+0–36+6 week's gestation.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ emotional distress at 17 and 30 weeks are dichotomous variables, mean score ≥2 = 1.

[‡]cases with covariates missing are excluded.

[§]compared to no emotional distress at 30 weeks.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101682.t002

Table 3. Crude and adjusted OR for emotional distress and early and late preterm birth.

	Early preterm	12–36 weeks n = 1,547*		Term ≥37 weeks n=33,386*
	22-31weeks n = 240* Crude OR (95% CI)			
		Crude	Adjusted [†]	Control
		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Emotional distress [‡] at 17 weeks	n = 240	n = 1,547	n = 1,547	n = 33,386
	0.79 (0.44; 1.41) P = 0.425	1.03 (0.83; 1.26) P=0.818	0.97 (0.78;1.19) P = 0.747	1
Emotional distress [‡] at 30 weeks		n = 1,408	n = 1,408	n = 31,008
	Not calculated	1.09 (0.87; 1.36) P = 0.447	1.00 (0.80;1.25) P = 0.993	1
ncrease in emotional distress§		n = 1,374	n = 1,374	n = 30,261
	Not calculated	1.15 (0.87; 1.52) P=0.331	1.06 (0.80; 1.41) P = 0.671	1
Sustained high ED [§]		n = 1,354	n = 1,354	n = 29,967
	Not calculated	1.01 (0.71; 1.43) P=0.966	0.93 (0.66; 1.33) P = 0.696	1

^{*}cases with covariates missing are excluded.

homogeneity of the population may make it easier to isolate the role of emotional distress.

However, our study also has limitations. The homogeneity of our population, for example for known risk factors such as race/ethnicity may limit the generalizability of our findings to other populations. The low participation rate in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort study is a problem [29]. The cohort has an under-representation of the youngest women (<25 years), smokers, and women with stillbirths and neonatal deaths [44]. The prevalence of preterm birth in this cohort is also slightly lower than in the general population, most likely due to the socioeconomic gradient [29,44]. Hence, the women included in our sample may not be representative for the general population. However, a recent study on potential biases of a skewed selection in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort found the prevalence estimates, but not the exposure-outcome associations, to be influenced by selection [44].

We found no association between emotional stress measured with the SCL-5 and preterm birth. This lack of an association in our study does not exclude the association between extreme stress after traumatic events and preterm birth as reported by others [6,45]. Not finding an association between emotional distress and preterm birth could in part be due to our measuring instrument; while acceptable as a screening instrument for depression, its validity for detecting anxiety is rather low [31,35]. In their comprehensive review Dunkel Schetter & Glynn conclude that anxiety rather than depressed mood is associated with preterm birth [5].

Unexpectedly, we found a significant interaction between emotional distress and how birth started. No previous study has investigated this association; they either do not provide information on how birth started [17,46,47] or exclude provider-initiated births [9,23]. Further investigation of our data showed that this interaction only was significant for term births. We can only speculate what mechanism lies behind the association between

emotional distress and gestational length in provider-initiated term births. Hypothetically, this finding could be due to an increased risk of developing obstetric complications in women with emotional distress. Alternatively, despite our controlling for a number of known risk factors and complications, other conditions leading to emotional distress as well as to health providers intervening at term may have been present. Perhaps most likely, women with higher distress levels might more often request an elective caesarean section or induction of labor. We did not have the necessary information to investigate this possibility.

We compared increasing and sustained emotional distress to decreasing or no stress at either 17 or 30 weeks, as the former patterns deviate from the usual trajectory of distress in pregnancy. Earlier studies have reported a decline in maternal distress towards 30 weeks gestation [26,27,48,49]. Glynn et al. found an association between an increase in stress and anxiety during pregnancy and risk of preterm birth in a study of 415 women including 38 preterm births [28]. Our findings do not confirm increasing distress as a risk factor for late preterm birth. This discrepancy could be due to the fact the Glynn et al. used instruments specifically assessing stress and anxiety, while the SCL-5 primarily measures depressive symptoms [31,35].

We measured emotional distress using a questionnaire, not an interview, and cannot account for the cause of emotional distress in our cohort. Many factors such as life events, personality traits, vulnerabilities or psychosocial factors could play a role, either in isolation or in a more complex interplay with each other. It is important for clinicians to be aware that women with higher emotional distress levels in the third trimester may have shorter pregnancies, especially since health providers seem to play a role in this association. Our findings only affected term births, and reducing gestational age at term by three days hardly constitutes a major health risk for the neonate. However, if maternal emotional distress leads to an increase in elective inductions and caesarean sections — either because of stress-induced complications, or for

[†]adjusted for maternal age, educational level, marital status, smoking, pre-pregnancy body mass index, late medical risk, history of spontaneous abortion, and neonate gender.

 $^{^{\}frac{1}{4}}$ emotional distress at 17 and 30 weeks are dichotomized variables, mean score \geq 2=1.

scompared to no emotional distress at 30 weeks.

 $^{^{\}parallel}$ OR not calculated because the exposure was measured simultaneously with the outcome variable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101682.t003

psychological reasons – this may constitute a health risk for the mother, the neonate, and possibly affect future pregnancies. For this, as well as for general physical and mental health concerns, stress reduction in pregnancy may be of benefit.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Unadjusted associations between emotional distress and gestational length at birth (days). (DOCX)

References

- Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R (2008) Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet 371: 75–84.
- Lawn JE, Gravett MG, Nunes TM, Rubens CE, Stanton C (2010) Global report on preterm birth and stillbirth (1 of 7): definitions, description of the burden and opportunities to improve data. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 10 Suppl 1: S1.
- Profit J, Petersen LA, McCormick MC, Escobar GJ, Coleman-Phox K, et al. (2010) Patient-to-nurse ratios and outcomes of moderately preterm infants. Pediatrics 125: 320–326.
- Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, et al. (2012) Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. Lancet 379: 2151–2161.
- Dunkel Schetter C, Glynn LM (2011) Stress in Pregnancy: Empirical Evidence and Theoretical Issues to Guide Interdisciplinary Research. In: Contrada RJ, Baum A, editors. The Handbook of Stress Science Biology, Psychology, and Health. New York: Springer Publishing Company. pp. 321–343.
- Khashan AS, McNamee R, Abel KM, Mortensen PB, Kenny LC, et al. (2009) Rates of preterm birth following antenatal maternal exposure to severe life events: a population-based cohort study. Hum Reprod 24: 429–437.
- MacKey MC, Williams CA, Tiller CM (2000) Stress, pre-term labour and birth outcomes. J Adv Nurs 32: 666–674.
- Harville E, Xiong X, Buckens P (2010) Disasters and perinatal health:a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol Surv 65: 713–728.
- Orr ST, Reiter JP, Blazer DG, James SA (2007) Maternal prenatal pregnancyrelated anxiety and spontaneous preterm birth in Baltimore, Maryland. Psychosom Med 69: 566–570.
- Alderdice F, Lynn F, Lobel M (2012) A review and psychometric evaluation of pregnancy-specific stress measures. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol 33: 62–77.
- Field T, Diego M, Hernandez-Reif M, Figueiredo B, Deeds O, et al. (2010) Comorbid depression and anxiety effects on pregnancy and neonatal outcome. Infant Behav Dev 33: 23–29.
- Chen MJ, Grobman WA, Gollan JK, Borders AE (2011) The use of psychosocial stress scales in preterm birth research. Am J Obstet Gynecol 205: 402–434.
- Dunkel Schetter C, Tanner L (2012) Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy: implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. Curr Opin Psychiatry 25: 141–148.
- Dunkel Schetter C (2009) Stress processes in pregnancy and preterm birth. Curr Dir Psychol Sci: 4.
- Gravett MG, Rubens CE, Nunes TM, Group GR (2010) Global report on preterm birth and stillbirth (2 of 7): discovery science. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 10 Suppl 1: S2.
- Hobel CJ, Goldstein A, Barrett ES (2008) Psychosocial stress and pregnancy outcome. Clin Obstet Gynecol 51: 333–348.
- Tegethoff M, Greene N, Olsen J, Meyer AH, Meinlschmidt G (2010) Maternal psychosocial adversity during pregnancy is associated with length of gestation and offspring size at birth: evidence from a population-based cohort study. Psychosom Med 72: 419–426.
- Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, Lai Y, Leveno KJ, et al. (2009) Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. N Engl J Med 360: 111–120.
- Yoder BA, Gordon MC, Barth WH Jr (2008) Late-preterm birth: does the changing obstetric paradigm alter the epidemiology of respiratory complications? Obstet Gynecol 111: 814–822.
- Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Fuchs KM, Young OM, Hoffman MK (2011) Nonspontaneous late preterm birth: etiology and outcomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 205: 456 e451–456.
- Goldenberg RL, Gravett MG, Iams J, Papageorghiou AT, Waller SA, et al. (2012) The preterm birth syndrome: issues to consider in creating a classification system. Am J Obstet Gynecol 206: 113–118.
- 22. March of Dimes, PMNCH, Save The Children, WHO (2012) Born Too Soon: The Global Action Report on Preterm Birth. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Copper RL, Goldenberg RL, Das A, Elder N, Swain M, et al. (1996) The preterm prediction study: maternal stress is associated with spontaneous preterm birth at less than thirty-five weeks' gestation. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. Am J Obstet Gynecol 175: 1286–1292.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MEG ML AH JSB. Performed the experiments: ML JSB. Analyzed the data: ML JSB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MEG. Wrote the paper: ML AH MEG JSB. Conceived the idea for the study and provided the data: MEG. Developed the analyses strategy: MEG ML AH JSB. Performed the analyses: JSB ML. Interpreted the results: AH ML MEG JSB. Approved the final draft: ML AH JSB MEG.

- Kramer MS, Lydon J, Seguin L, Goulet L, Kahn SR, et al. (2009) Stress pathways to spontaneous preterm birth: the role of stressors, psychological distress, and stress hormones. Am J Epidemiol 169: 1319–1326.
- Rondo PH, Ferreira RF, Nogueira F, Ribeiro MC, Lobert H, et al. (2003) Maternal psychological stress and distress as predictors of low birth weight, prematurity and intrauterine growth retardation. Eur J Clin Nutr 57: 266–272.
- Penacoba-Puente C, Monge FJ, Morales DM (2011) Pregnancy worries: a longitudinal study of Spanish women. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica 90: 1030–1035.
- Teixeira C, Figueiredo B, Conde A, Pacheco A, Costa R (2009) Anxiety and depression during pregnancy in women and men. J Affect Disord 119: 142–148.
- Glynn LM, Schetter CD, Hobel CJ, Sandman CA (2008) Pattern of perceived stress and anxiety in pregnancy predicts preterm birth. Health Psychol 27: 43– 51
- Magnus P, Irgens LM, Haug K, Nystad W, Skjaerven R, et al. (2006) Cohort profile: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). Int J Epidemiol 35: 1146–1150.
- Skjaerven R, Gjessing HK, Bakketeig LS (2000) Birthweight by gestational age in Norway. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 79: 440–449.
- Sandanger I, Moum T, Ingebrigtsen G, Dalgard OS, Sorensen T, et al. (1998) Concordance between symptom screening and diagnostic procedure: the Hopkins Symptom Checklist-25 and the Composite International Diagnostic Interview I. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 33: 345–354.
- Robins LN, Wing J, Wittchen HU, Helzer JE, Babor TF, et al. (1988) The Composite International Diagnostic Interview. An epidemiologic Instrument suitable for use in conjunction with different diagnostic systems and in different cultures. Arch Gen Psychiatry 45: 1069–1077.
- Strand BH, Dalgard OS, Tambs K, Rognerud M (2003) Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: a comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). Nord J Psychiatry 57: 113–118.
- Hesbacher PT, Rickels K, Morris RJ, Newman H, Rosenfeld H (1980) Psychiatric illness in family practice. J Clin Psychiatry 41: 6–10.
- 35. Nettelbladt P, Hansson L, Stefansson CG, Borgquist L, Nordstrom G (1993) Test characteristics of the Hopkins Symptom Check List-25 (HSCL-25) in Sweden, using the Present State Examination (PSE-9) as a caseness criterion. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 28: 130–133.
- 36. Nordeng H, van Gelder MM, Spigset O, Koren G, Einarson A, et al. (2012) Pregnancy outcome after exposure to antidepressants and the role of maternal depression: results from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. J Clin Psychopharmacol 32: 186–194.
- Eriksen W, Tambs K, Knardahl S (2006) Work factors and psychological distress in nurses' aides: a prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health 6: 290.
- Tambs K, Ronning T, Prescott CA, Kendler KS, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, et al. (2009) The Norwegian Institute of Public Health twin study of mental health: examining recruitment and attrition bias. Twin Res Hum Genet 12: 158–168.
- Tambs K, Moum T (1993) How well can a few questionnaire items indicate anxiety and depression? Acta Psychiatr Scand 87: 364

 –367.
- Morken NH, Magnus P, Jacobsson B (2008) Subgroups of preterm delivery in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 87: 1374–1377.
- Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, Chou D, Moller AB, et al. (2012) National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. Lancet 379: 2162–2172.
- Khatibi A, Brantsaeter AL, Sengpiel V, Kacerovsky M, Magnus P, et al. (2012) Prepregnancy maternal body mass index and preterm delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 207: 212 e211–217.
- 43. Morken NH, Gunnes N, Magnus P, Jacobsson B (2011) Risk of spontaneous preterm delivery in a low-risk population: the impact of maternal febrile episodes, urinary tract infection, pneumonia and ear-nose-throat infections. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 159: 310–314.
- Nilsen RM, Vollset SE, Gjessing HK, Skjaerven R, Melve KK, et al. (2009) Selfselection and bias in a large prospective pregnancy cohort in Norway. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 23: 597–608.
- Class QA, Lichtenstein P, Langstrom N, D'Onofrio BM (2011) Timing of prenatal maternal exposure to severe life events and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population study of 2.6 million pregnancies. Psychosom Med 73: 234-241.

- Wadhwa PD, Sandman CA, Porto M, Dunkel-Schetter C, Garite TJ (1993) The association between prenatal stress and infant birth weight and gestational age at birth: a prospective investigation. Am J Obstet Gynecol 169: 858–865.
- Hedegaard M, Henriksen TB, Sabroe S, Secher NJ (1993) Psychological distress in pregnancy and preterm delivery. BMJ 307: 234–239.
- Entringer S, Buss C, Shirtcliff EA, Cammack AL, Yim IS, et al. (2010) Attenuation of maternal psychophysiological stress responses and the maternal cortisol awakening response over the course of human pregnancy. Stress 13: 258–268.
- 49. Glynn LM, Schetter CD, Wadhwa PD, Sandman CA (2004) Pregnancy affects appraisal of negative life events. J Psychosom Res 56: 47–52.