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Hanne Torjusen,1,2 Anne Lise Brantsæter,2 Margaretha Haugen,2 Jan Alexander,3 Leiv S Bakketeig,4 Geir Lieblen,5 Hein Stigum,4 Tormod Næs,6,7 Jackie Swartz,8,9 Gerd Holmboe-Ottesen,10 Gun Roos,2 Helle Margrete Meltzer2

ABSTRACT

Objective: Little is known about the potential health effects of eating organic food either in the general population or during pregnancy. The aim of this study was to examine associations between organic food consumption during pregnancy and the risk of pre-eclampsia among nulliparous Norwegian women.

Design: Prospective cohort study.


Participants: 28 192 pregnant women (nulliparous, answered food frequency questionnaire and general health questionnaire in mid-pregnancy and no missing information on height, body weight or gestational weight gain).

Main outcome measure: Relative risk was estimated as ORs by performing binary logistic regression with pre-eclampsia as the outcome and organic food consumption as the exposure.

Results: The prevalence of pre-eclampsia in the study sample was 5.3% (n=1491). Women who reported to have eaten organic vegetables ‘often’ or ‘mostly’ (n=2493, 8.8%) had lower risk of pre-eclampsia than those who reported ‘never/rarely’ or ‘sometimes’ (crude OR=0.76, 95% CI 0.61 to 0.96; adjusted OR=0.79, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.99). The lower risk associated with high organic vegetable consumption was evident also when adjusting for overall dietary quality, assessed as scores on a healthy food pattern derived by principal component analysis. No associations with pre-eclampsia were found for high intake of organic fruit, cereals, eggs or milk, or a combined index reflecting organic consumption.

Conclusions: These results show that choosing organically grown vegetables during pregnancy was associated with reduced risk of pre-eclampsia. Possible explanations for an association between pre-eclampsia and use of organic vegetables could be that organic vegetables may change the exposure to pesticides, secondary plant metabolites and/or influence the composition of the gut microbiota.

INTRODUCTION

Pre-eclampsia is one of the major causes of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality worldwide, affecting 2–8% of pregnancies.1 2 The aetiology of pre-eclampsia is largely unknown, but increasing evidence suggests an excessive maternal systematic inflammatory response to pregnancy.3-7 Pre-eclamptic pregnancies are characterised by endothelial dysfunction, disturbed placentation, oxidative stress and an exaggerated inflammatory response to pregnancy.8 Known risk factors include first pregnancy, obesity and other cardiovascular risk factors.2 9

The maternal diet is one of many factors suggested to play a role in the aetiology of pre-eclampsia.10 11 In a previous study in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa), we found that high scores on a healthy diet characterised by high intake of vegetables, fruits and vegetable oils was...
associated with reduced risk of pre-eclampsia in nulliparous women. Dietary components and qualities associated with pre-eclampsia risk in observational studies include macronutrients, micronutrients, dietary fibre, and individual foods as well as overall food patterns. Trials aiming at pre-eclampsia prevention have yielded mixed results. Some evidence has been indicated for calcium supplementation, while a review of 15 studies concluded that available evidence does not support the use of antioxidants, e.g. vitamin C and E, for the prevention of pre-eclampsia.

Organic agriculture is a production system that relies on ecological processes, biodiversity and cycles adapted to local conditions, aiming at sustaining the health of soils, ecosystems and people. Organically produced food is produced without the application of synthetic pesticides, synthetic fertilisers or genetically modified organisms. All food sold as organic in Norway must, in agreement with the Norwegian Food Safety Authorities, be certified by Debio and labelled with Debio’s Ø-label, which ensures that regulations for organic production are met, following the EU Council Regulation 2092/91.

Several reviews have concluded that organic foods have been convincingly demonstrated to expose consumers to fewer and lower levels of pesticide residues. Lower urinary excretion of pesticide metabolites have been found in children eating predominantly organic diet compared with children eating conventional diets. Little difference is reported for most nutrients, except a higher content of phosphorus in organic foods, while higher levels of secondary plant metabolites as well as differences in the microflora on organically compared with conventionally grown plant food have been described. Sales of organic food has increased in recent years, and one of the main reasons for consumers to choose organic food is that they perceive it as healthier, as well as better for the environment and animal health.

Little is known about potential health effects of eating organic food either in general or during pregnancy. In the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, the dietary questionnaire administered in mid-pregnancy included a question about consumption of organic food in six food groups (vegetables, fruits, cereals, milk/dairy, eggs and meat). We have previously reported that frequent consumption of organic food during pregnancy was not solely associated with socioeconomic and lifestyle factors that are normally associated with good health. However, the women who chose organically produced food had a healthier dietary pattern with more vegetables, fruit and berries, cooking oil, whole grain and cereal products, and less meat, including processed meat, white bread, and cakes and sweets than women with no or low organic consumption.

The aim of the present study was to investigate whether consumption of organic food during pregnancy was associated with the risk of pre-eclampsia, taking the overall food pattern into account.

**METHODS**

**Population and study design**

The data set is part of the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, which is initiated by and maintained by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Participants were recruited from all over Norway in the years 1999–2008. The women consented to participation in 40.6% of the pregnancies. The cohort now includes 114,500 children, 95,200 mothers and 75,200 fathers. Pregnant women were recruited to the study by postal invitation after they had signed up for the routine ultrasound examination in their local hospital. The women were asked to provide biological samples and to answer questionnaires covering a wide range of information. The cohort database is linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort study has been approved by the Regional Committee for Ethics in Medical Research and the Data Inspectorate in Norway.

This study uses V.4 of the data files made available for research in January 2009. To be included in the present study, the women had to have responded to the baseline questionnaire in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study as well as the food frequency questionnaire in gestational weeks 17–22. In addition, participants had to have answered at least one of the 6 questions about organic food, and they had to have reported a credible daily energy intake (>4.5 or <20 MJ). In total, 63,808 pregnancies fulfilled these criteria and this population has been described in detail previously. From the eligible population of n=63,808, we excluded pregnancies not registered in the Medical Birth Registry of Norway with a singleton delivery (n=1,475). We further excluded multiparous women (n=29,111) due to the special importance of parity for the prevalence and aetiology of pre-eclampsia. We also excluded participants with missing data on height or weight (n=736) and gestational weight gain (n=4,294). This resulted in a final sample of 28,192 nulliparous women for studying associations between organic food and pre-eclampsia (figure 1). The prevalence of pre-eclampsia was higher in the study population than in the 35,616 excluded pregnancies (5.3% (1491 cases) vs 3.1% (1068 cases)). Women in the study sample were younger (29 vs 31 years), had higher levels of education (27.2% vs 21.5% with 17 years or more of educational attainment), fewer who smoked cigarettes in pregnancy (6.9% vs 9.6%) and fewer with high consumption of organic vegetables (6.9% vs 7.7%).
Consumption of organic food

Information about consumption of organic food is based on 6 questions about specified organic food groups included in the food frequency questionnaire of the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (http://www.fhi.no/dokumenter/011fbd699d.pdf). This is a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire designed to capture dietary habits during the first 4–5 months of pregnancy, described in detail elsewhere.36 The mean ±SD gestational age when answering the food frequency questionnaire was 20.7±3.7 weeks. The response alternatives for consumption of organic food were: ‘never or seldom’, ‘sometimes’, ‘often’ or ‘mostly’ and were given values from 0–3. For those who had answered at least one of the questions about organic food, missing values for one or more of the other questions were interpreted as ‘seldom or never’. In addition to data on the frequency of consumption of organic food in each of the six food categories, we calculated a ‘sum index’ variable as a measure of total organic food consumption. The sum index reflects organic food consumption on a scale ranging from 0 to 18, with 0 representing no use of organic food and 18 representing ‘mostly’ organic for all six food groups. For respondents who had no reported intake of meat (n=450), eggs (n=1976), milk/dairy (n=979) or vegetables (n=11) and who had not reported organic consumption of the corresponding food group, we upscaled the sum index by multiplying with 6/5 for each omitted food category. For more details about the combined index, see Torjusen et al.33 We defined frequent organic consumption as having a sum index of >6, which corresponds to having reported eating organic food ‘often’ for at least one of the six food categories. Consumption of organic food was operationalised in the analyses as ‘no or low’ vs ‘frequent’ consumption of organic food (sum index ≤6 vs >6) and as ‘low’ (‘never/seldom’ or ‘sometimes’) vs ‘high’ (‘often’ or ‘mostly’) consumption of the individual six food groups: milk and dairy products, bread and cereal products, egg, vegetables, fruit and meat. The reported frequencies of the six main organic food groups as well as correlations between them have been reported in detail previously.32

Pre-eclampsia

The main outcome was pre-eclampsia as registered in the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.35 Information provided to the registry is based on the forms completed by the midwives after birth. The form has 5 check-off boxes relevant to pre-eclampsia: haemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelet count (HELLP syndrome); eclampsia; early pre-eclampsia (diagnosed before 34 weeks); mild pre-eclampsia and severe pre-eclampsia. The diagnostic criteria for pre-eclampsia were given if any of the aforementioned diagnoses were present. Women with chronic hypertension were included in the case group only if they also developed proteinuria. The diagnostic criteria for pre-eclampsia in Norway, according to the guidelines issued by the Society for Gynecology, are blood pressure >140/90 after 20 weeks of gestation, combined with proteinuria >1 dipstick on at least 2 occasions. Pre-eclampsia is diagnosed as severe pre-eclampsia if blood pressure is ≥160/110.37 In Norway, all pregnant women receive free antenatal care. Blood pressure measurement and urinalysis for protein are carried out at each antenatal visit.

Covariates

Hypertension prior to pregnancy (yes/no) was based on diagnoses by a physician reported in the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Information about parity was retrieved both from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway and from the baseline questionnaire and combined into one variable. Self-reported pre-pregnancy height and weight were used to calculate body mass index (BMI; kg/m²). Gestational weight gain was calculated from self-reported pre-pregnancy weight (baseline questionnaire) and self-reported weight at the end of pregnancy (reported in the questionnaire answered 6 months postpartum). Information about educational attainment was retrieved from the baseline questionnaire and divided into four categories (high school or less (≤12 years), 3–4 years of college/university (13–16 years), 4 years or more of college/university (17+years), or other/missing values (n=584)). Maternal age at delivery was retrieved from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway and divided into four categories (14–20, 20–29, 30–40 and 40–46 years). Smoking in pregnancy was divided into three categories (non-smokers, occasional smokers and daily smokers) based on information from the baseline questionnaire. Household income was expressed as a combination of the participant’s and her partner’s income, as reported in the baseline questionnaire (both <300 000 NOK, one ≥300 000 NOK, both ≥300 000 NOK or missing values (n=632)). The food frequency questionnaire provided dietary information in this study. Overall food pattern was described as scores on a principal component denoted as a ‘health and sustainability component’, derived by principal component analysis and ranked into tertiles.33 Dietary supplement use reported in the food frequency questionnaire was computed as a categorical variable with three categories: no supplement use, use of any supplement without vitamin D and use of a vitamin D containing supplement.

In the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study, ≥99% of the participants is of Caucasian ethnicity, so ethnicity is not a relevant confounder.

Statistical methods

Frequencies and descriptive statistics were expressed as n (%) and as means and SDs. For testing group differences, we used independent samples t test for continuous variables and χ² test for categorical variables.

For one analysis (figure 2), organic food consumption was modelled according to the four ordered alternative answer (never/rarely, sometimes, often, mostly) while...
for the remaining analyses it was modelled as a dichotomous variable with the two lowest frequencies combined into ‘low’ and the two highest frequencies combined into ‘high’ organic consumption.

We estimated relative risks as ORs by performing binary logistic regression with pre-eclampsia as the outcome and organic food consumption as the exposure.

We examined, as potential confounders, the following variables based on previous knowledge from the literature and our knowledge about characteristics associated with organic food consumption: maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, household income, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, exercise, total energy intake, overall food pattern and/or intake of main food groups and beverages (including sugar-sweetened beverages), intake of probiotic milk, and dietary supplement use. Covariates which were included in the final analysis were associated with both the exposure and the outcome (p<0.100): maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal pre-pregnant BMI, maternal age, educational attainment, gestational weight gain, cigarette smoking, total energy intake and overall food pattern. In addition, known risk factors were included (hypertension prior to pregnancy and maternal height). Maternal height, total energy intake, and BMI were used as continuous or categorical. Maternal age was, however, modelled as categories due to a non-linear association with organic food consumption.

To take into account that frequent consumers of organic food often report use of more than one of the six organic food groups, we additionally adjusted for ‘any consumption’ of organic food groups, defined by having a sum index above 6, which corresponds to having reported eating organic food ‘often’ for at least one of the six food categories. This will, to some degree, overadjust the model, as consumption of organic vegetables is also included in the sum index. We tested for interaction between reported consumption of organic food and the confounders. Participants with missing data on potential confounding variables were categorised in a ‘missing’ category. In total, 1216 (4.3%) had missing data on education and income, and including these in the adjusted models did not substantially change the results. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software PASW statistics V.17 (SPSS Inc, IBM Company, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

Participant characteristics and organic food consumption

Among the 28 192 women in this study, the majority (14 566) reported that they never/rarely ate organic food, 11 133 (39.8%) had a combined scores reflecting use of at least one organic food ‘sometimes’, 1987 (7%) had scores reflecting use of at least one organic food ‘often’ and 506 (1.8%) had scores reflecting use of any organic food ‘mostly’. Women who reported higher frequency of organic food differed from those who reported low consumption. The frequent organic users were younger, had lower BMI and reported higher energy intake than women with low organic consumption. There were also differences with regard to smoking and education, but the most significant difference was seen for higher adherence to a healthy food pattern (table 1).
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**Figure 2**  Associations (ORs and 95% CIs) between reported consumption of organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia among 28 192 pregnant women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study 2002–2008.

### Table 1 Maternal characteristics associated with consumption of organic food among 28 192 pregnant women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study 2002–2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumption of any organic food group, (sum index)</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Frequent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>25 699 (91.2)</td>
<td>2493 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age, years</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.6±4.3</td>
<td>27.6±4.9**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal body mass index</td>
<td>23.8±4.1</td>
<td>23.3±3.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational weight gain, kg</td>
<td>15.2±6.0</td>
<td>15.5±6.5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake, MJ/day</td>
<td>9.5±2.5</td>
<td>10.0±2.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education ≤12 years</td>
<td>Per cent</td>
<td>Per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>37.7**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education &gt;12 years</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>28.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking in pregnancy‡</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>11.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High household income§</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>23.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol in pregnancy (yes/no)</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension prior to pregnancy (yes/no)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High score ‘healthy’ food pattern¶ (yes/no)</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>50.2**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent samples t test (continuous variables), χ² (categorical variables): *p<0.05, **p<0.001, †p<0.05>p<0.01.
‡Comprise occasional and daily smoking.
§High income denotes that both participant and her partner have annual income ≥300 000 NOK.
¶High score denotes upper third on pattern scores. The food pattern is described in detail in Torjusen et al.33
Consumption of organic food and risk of pre-eclampsia

The number of participants diagnosed with pre-eclampsia was 1491 (5.3%). We found no associations with pre-eclampsia for high intake of organic fruit, cereals, milk/dairy, eggs or milk, or the combined index reflecting total organic consumption. However, lower risk was indicated for high intake of organic vegetables (table 2). Adjusting for any consumption of the organic food groups (sum index low/frequent) strengthened the association between organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia, in spite of organic vegetables also being included in the ‘any organic’ variable (table 2). The association between consumption of organic food and pre-eclampsia did not reach statistical significance when examined according to the four alternative answers, but a dose–response relationship was indicated (p trend 0.010; figure 2). However, when the ‘often’ and ‘mostly’ groups were combined into ‘high’ and the ‘never/rarely’ and ‘sometimes’ groups were combined into ‘low’ consumption of organic vegetables, the association with pre-eclampsia reached statistical significance (adjusted OR=0.75, 95% CI 0.60 to 0.95; model 1, table 3). Higher scores on the ‘healthy’ food pattern was also associated with lower risk of pre-eclampsia (OR tertile 2 vs tertile 1 0.80, 95% CI 0.70 to 0.91, and OR tertile 3 vs tertile 1 0.73, 95% CI 0.64 to 0.84; model 1, table 3). Because women who report use of organic food also have higher adherence to the ‘healthy’ diet, the ‘healthy’ food scores were included among the confounding variables (model 2, table 3). This resulted in wider CIs for both organic vegetables and the ‘healthy’ diet, but the associations remained statistically significant for organic vegetable consumption, with OR=0.79 (95% CI 0.62 to 0.99), and for the food pattern, with OR tertile 3 vs tertile 1 0.74 (95% CI 0.64 to 0.85). Additional adjustment for any consumption of the organic food groups (sum index low/frequent) strengthened the association between organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia (data not shown). As previously reported, women who consumed organic vegetables had higher intakes of seafood, milk, iodine, calcium and several other foods and nutrients than those with no or low organic vegetable consumption.

However, no food or nutrient intake attenuated the association of interest. Adjusting for single food groups, e.g. fish or milk, or for specific nutrients, e.g. iodine or calcium, did not change the association between consumption of organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia. This supports that adjusting for the overall dietary pattern accounts for the observed differences in food and nutrient intakes between women with high and low intake of organic vegetables.

No interactions were observed between use of organic vegetables and the food pattern, maternal age, education, BMI or smoking. Rerunning the association between consumption of organic vegetable and pre-eclampsia (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic food group</th>
<th>Total n</th>
<th>Pre-eclampsia n (%)</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted for consumption of any organic food group, (sum index low/frequent) OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic consumption in any food group (sum index)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>25 689</td>
<td>1368 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td>2493</td>
<td>123 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.76 to 1.12)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.50 to 0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26 241</td>
<td>1410 (5.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>81 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.61 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.50 to 0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26 416</td>
<td>1404 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1776</td>
<td>87 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.73 to 1.15)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.70 to 1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26 403</td>
<td>1395 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>96 (5.4)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.82 to 1.26)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.87 to 1.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk/dairy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26 155</td>
<td>1383 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>109 (5.4)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.83 to 1.24)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.87 to 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>25 602</td>
<td>1363 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td>128 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.77 to 1.11)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.76 to 1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>27 037</td>
<td>1425 (5.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>66 (5.7)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.84 to 1.40)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.92 to 1.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
eclampsia separately in each stratum (tertiles) of food pattern scores resulted in risk estimates of 0.87, 0.77 and 0.79 for tertiles 1, 2 and 3. Likewise, when stratifying women by BMI ≥25 and <25 the risk estimates were 0.76 and 0.82, respectively.

When dividing pre-eclampsia in subgroups by time of onset or severity, the risk estimates were lower than 1 for all, but borderline significantly lower risk was indicated for use of organic vegetables only for the subtypes late-onset pre-eclampsia and mild pre-eclampsia, which were the subgroups with the highest number of cases (table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of this study was that women who reported eating organically grown vegetables ‘often’ or ‘mostly’ had lower risk of developing pre-eclampsia than women who reported not consuming organic vegetables or to do so less frequently. This association was observed

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total n</th>
<th>Pre-eclampsia N (%)</th>
<th>Crude model OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted model 1* OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted model 2† OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organic vegetables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>26 241</td>
<td>1410 (5.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76 (0.61 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>81 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.67 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.70 to 0.91)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.81 (0.71 to 0.92)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scores on a ‘healthy’ food pattern‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 1</td>
<td>8369</td>
<td>556 (6.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64 (0.56 to 0.72)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 2</td>
<td>9320</td>
<td>480 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.67 to 0.87)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.70 to 0.91)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.81 (0.71 to 0.92)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertile 3</td>
<td>10 503</td>
<td>455 (4.3)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.56 to 0.72)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.64 to 0.84)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.74 (0.64 to 0.85)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model adjusted for hypertension prior to pregnancy, pre-pregnant body mass index, maternal height, maternal age, maternal education, household income, maternal smoking in pregnancy, total energy intake and gestational weight gain.
†Model adjusted for all of the above and mutual adjustment for organic vegetable consumption and ‘healthy’ food scores.
‡Food pattern described in detail in Torjusen et al.33

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtypes by time of onset</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>High consumption, organic vegetables (%)</th>
<th>Adjusted model* OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted model† OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early-onset pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.69 (0.32 to 1.48)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.75 (0.35 to 1.62)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 701</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late-onset pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.76 (0.60 to 0.97)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.79 (0.62 to 1.01)</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 701</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtypes by clinical severity</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>High consumption, organic vegetables (%)</th>
<th>Adjusted model* OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted model† OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.70 (0.51 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.73 (0.53 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 701</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe pre-eclampsia§</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.91 (0.61 to 1.34)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.97 (0.65 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 701</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.66 (0.35 to 1.24)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.67 (0.35 to 1.27)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26 701</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Model adjusted for hypertension prior to pregnancy, pre-pregnant body mass index, maternal height, maternal age, maternal education, household income, maternal smoking in pregnancy, total energy intake and gestational weight gain.
†Model adjusted for all of the above and additional adjustment for ‘healthy’ food scores.
‡Diagnosed before 34 weeks.
§Severe pre-eclampsia including eclampsia and HELLP syndrome (haemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelet count).
independently of a healthy food pattern including a generally higher vegetable intake.

**Strengths**

The major strengths of this study are the large sample of women from all regions of Norway, the prospective design and the detailed information on a wide range of potential confounding factors. All age and socio-economic groups are represented in the study group. Validated pre-eclampsia diagnosis was obtained from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.37 Women were unaware of the outcome of pregnancy when dietary data and information about consumption of organic food was collected, which reduces the likelihood of misreporting as a consequence of the disease.

The food frequency questionnaire used in our study has been extensively validated and shown to be a valuable tool for ranking pregnant women according to high and low intakes of energy, nutrients and foods.36 38–40 The smoking variable, as used in the present study (non-smokers, occasional smokers and daily smokers), has been validated against plasma cotinine in a sub-sample of 2997 women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study.41 A study of potential self-selection bias in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study found no significant differences between the eight evaluated exposure–outcome associations in the cohort and the total pregnant population in Norway during the same period,42 nor does it appear to compromise validity of exposure–outcome associations related to autism in a study of the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study.43 Our findings are likely to be generalisable outside of Norway, because although consumption of organic food is embedded in specific structural and cultural features of the food system in any particular country, there are similarities in characteristics and motivations among consumers of organic food across Europe.31

**Limitations**

The exposure variable is based on 6 questions about specified organic food groups, with four alternative frequency categories given as the answer options. These frequency categories may have been interpreted differently among participants as the distinction between ‘sometimes’ and ‘often’ or between ‘often’ and ‘mostly’ might not be clear. A potential misclassification resulting from this should however be equally distributed among women who did and did not develop pre-eclampsia. Furthermore, imprecision in the exposure variable is likely to cause attenuation in the risk estimation, in this case attenuation towards the null of the estimated associations between organic food practices and pre-eclampsia.44

Although the food frequency questionnaire of the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study has been validated, the question about use of organic food was not evaluated. It would have strengthened the study if we had had access to biological material and could have assessed pesticide and secondary plant metabolite levels in the participants’ urine.

In spite of a large study population, the number of frequent organic vegetable consumers (1951) was relatively low (6.9%), which was a limitation in the analysis of pre-eclampsia subgroups, e.g. late vs early onset. The risk estimates in subgroup analyses were indicative of lower risk, but the low numbers resulted in wide CIs. A further limitation of this study is that the data available do not allow the assessment of impact of pre-pregnancy nutritional status, which may be a confounder of the relationship shown.

Since the study is observational, no causal implications can be drawn, and although confounding by other variables was carefully considered, residual confounding cannot be excluded.

**Possible explanations for findings**

We will, in the following, outline some properties of organic vegetables that could possibly contribute to explain our findings of a reduced risk of pre-eclampsia, emphasising that these are purely hypothetical suggestions since we only have associations from epidemiological data. Our suggestions of possible explanations are based on the following characteristics of a diet including organically produced vegetables rather than conventional vegetables: (1) lower dietary pesticide exposure; (2) higher intake of secondary plant metabolites and (3) possibly a different microflora on organic vegetables, which could affect human (maternal) intestinal microbiota in a beneficial way. Furthermore, pesticides, or the absence of them, might impact the composition of the gut microbiota.

**Lower pesticide exposure**

Although the most persistent pesticides have been banned in most countries, they may still be present at trace levels in foods due to their long environmental and biological half-lives. It is well established that a diet consisting of predominantly organically produced foods significantly reduces the exposure to organophosphorous pesticides.26 45 Chlorpyrifos (CPF) has been shown to increase permeability of the intestine in an in vitro model based on an enterocyte cell line.46 Increased permeability of the intestine (‘leaky gut’) may induce inflammation.47

Some recent studies have reported an association between pesticide exposure or residues in the body and obesity and type 2 diabetes.48–50 In a prospective study, exposure to organochlorine pesticides was shown to increase the risk of obesity, dyslipidaemia and insulin resistance among participants without diabetes.49 Organochlorine pesticides, particularly chlordane, predicted incidents of type 2 diabetes in a nested case-control study.51 Chemicals, such as organochlorine pesticides, may cause obesity by altering homeostatic metabolic set points, disrupting appetite controls, perturbing...
lipid homeostasis to promote adipocyte hypertrophy or stimulating adipogenic pathways that enhance adipocyte hyperplasia during development or in adults.\textsuperscript{47} \textsuperscript{52} \textsuperscript{53} Since obesity and dyslipidaemia (hypertriglyceridaemia) are also associated with the development of pre-eclampsia,\textsuperscript{54} lower exposure of pesticide residues may provide a possible explanation of lower risk of pre-eclampsia with the inclusion of organically produced vegetables in the diet.

Furthermore, a diet with lower levels of pesticide residues may reduce proneness to inflammations by affecting the composition of the gut microbiota in a beneficial way. In a model of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem, as well as in rat studies, it has been shown that chronic exposure of CPF selectively altered the intestinal microflora.\textsuperscript{55} Proliferation of the total intestinal flora was observed, mainly due to an increase in certain subpopulations such as the Enterococcus spp and Bacteroides spp, while there was a decrease in the numbers of beneficial bacteria such as bifidobacteria and lactobacilli.\textsuperscript{55}

### Higher intake of secondary plant metabolites

A majority of studies comparing the contents of secondary plant metabolites in organically vs conventionally grown fruits and vegetables report higher contents of these compounds in organic products.\textsuperscript{28} \textsuperscript{56} \textsuperscript{57} Brandt et al\textsuperscript{28} reported substantially higher contents of defence-related secondary metabolites, represented by phenolic acids; other defence compounds (tannins, alkaloids, chalcones, stilbenes, flavanones and flavanols, hop acids, coumarins and aurones), and total phenolics. The authors estimate, based on a meta-analysis of 65 papers, that if a person changes from consuming exclusively conventional fruit and vegetables to consuming the organic alternatives of the same products in the same amounts, the intake of all secondary metabolites would increase by approximately 12%, and the intake of more specifically defence-related secondary metabolites would increase by approximately 16%.\textsuperscript{28} Whether such a difference has health-related implications remains to be shown. Baxter et al\textsuperscript{57} showed that soups based on organically grown plants had higher content of salicylic acid than those made from non-organic plants.

In terms of human health, many of these compounds, such as salicylic acid and polyphenols, have anti-inflammatory properties.\textsuperscript{58} Furthermore, polyphenols are a class of dietary substances that act as a ‘prebiotic’, influencing the intestinal microflora in a beneficial way.\textsuperscript{59}

In our study, adjusting for single foods or nutrients did not attenuate the association between consumption of organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia. Furthermore, the association between consumption of organic vegetables and pre-eclampsia was independent of the reduced risk also indicated by an overall healthy diet, supporting the hypothesis that organic vegetables may provide higher amount or different composition of non-nutrients. By providing higher dietary intakes of secondary plant metabolites, organically grown vegetables may contribute to a less inflammation-prone milieu in the maternal gut, as well as an improved antioxidant status, thereby possibly reducing the risk of pre-eclampsia.

### Different microflora on organically grown vegetables compared with conventionally grown

The gut is a major immune organ, and the gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune response during health and disease.\textsuperscript{59}–\textsuperscript{61} It has been shown that the human gut microbiome can rapidly respond to altered diet,\textsuperscript{62} and it is becoming increasingly clear that the effect of the microbial ecology of the gut goes beyond the local gut immune system and is implicated in immune-related disorders, such as type 2 diabetes and obesity.\textsuperscript{63}–\textsuperscript{65}

It has been reported that children growing up in families with an anthroposophical lifestyle, including consumption of organic/biodynamic food and fermented vegetables, have lower risk of developing atopic diseases, and this is associated with development of a more beneficial intestinal microflora compared with children not living in families with anthroposophical lifestyles.\textsuperscript{66}–\textsuperscript{68} It is, however, unclear whether these observed effects are related to the consumption of organic food as such, or the additional consumption of fermented vegetables. It may be hypothesised that organic farming practices not only enhance a richer, more diverse microflora in the soil but also on fresh produce such as vegetables and that this in turn may influence the dietary intake of probiotic substances.\textsuperscript{29} \textsuperscript{69} \textsuperscript{70} A possible explanation why the results in this study showed an association between organic vegetables but not with organic fruits and pre-eclampsia might be that people are more likely to peel fruit, resulting in lower exposure to microbes than for raw vegetables.

The relevance of the integrity of the intestinal microflora for the development of pre-eclampsia is supported by the evidence from studies finding protective effects of probiotics,\textsuperscript{14} \textsuperscript{71} and evidence supporting the hypothesis that plant foods may influence pre-eclampsia through intestinal anti-inflammatory mechanisms.\textsuperscript{72} Brantsæter and colleagues found that probiotic milk (containing Lactobacillus bacteria) is associated with reduced risk of pre-eclampsia, and it is hypothesised that the Lactobacillus probiotics in this study may have suppressed the Gram-negative bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) expression to reduce inflammation.\textsuperscript{13} This mechanism would be in agreement with other studies which found that lactobacilli influenced the LPS response to reduce overall systemic inflammation levels.\textsuperscript{73}

### Comparison with other studies

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating a potential association between consumption of organically grown food during pregnancy and lower risk of pre-eclampsia. To date, only a limited number of studies have examined possible human health outcomes.
associated with consumption of organic foods. A case-control study from Denmark examined maternal use of organic food and hypospadias in male neonates. They found a higher likelihood of hypospadias in the offspring among women who never used organic high fat dairy foods compared with those who often used organic high fat dairy products. Reduced risk of allergic (IgE) sensitisation in infancy, lower prevalences of atopic diseases, differences in the intestinal microbiota and lower levels of salivary cortisol have been shown in children living in families with an anthroposophic lifestyle, in which consumption of organic/biodynamic food is one of several characteristics. Kummeling et al. found that children who consumed dairy products of which more than 90% were organically produced had a lower risk of eczema at age 2 than children who consumed dairy products of which less than 50% were organically produced.

CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS
Large knowledge gaps remain in our understanding of how the consumption of organic foods and related lifestyle practices influence the health of mother and child. It is important that questions about organic food choices are incorporated into large new and ongoing studies. The present study suggests a lower risk of preeclampsia in pregnant Norwegian women who reported frequent consumption of organically produced vegetables compared with those with no or low consumption of such foods. Increased consumption of plant food, including vegetables, is recommended to all pregnant women, and this study shows that choosing organically grown vegetables may yield additional benefits. Future studies need to confirm the observed association and if so further address possible causal relationships.
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