

Milk drinking and risk of hip fracture.

The Norwegian Epidemiologic Osteoporosis Studies (NOREPOS)

Kristin Holvik¹, Haakon E Meyer^{1,2}, Ida Laake³, Diane Feskanich⁴,

Tone K Omsland², Anne-Johanne Sjøgaard¹

Affiliations

¹ Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

² Department of Community Medicine and Global Health, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

³ Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Modelling, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

⁴ Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence: Kristin Holvik, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, P. O. Box 4404 Nydalen, 0403 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: Kristin.holvik@fhi.no. Telephone: +47-21 07 83 97

Keywords: Milk, hip fracture, bone health, cohort studies, Norway

Short title: Milk drinking and risk of hip fracture

Word count in main text (Introduction through Conclusion): 5230

Word count in Abstract: 224

Tables in main manuscript: 4

Supplementary Tables: 1

References: 31

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Milk provides energy and nutrients considered protective for bone. Meta-analyses of cohort studies
3 have found no clear association between milk drinking and risk of hip fracture, and results of recent
4 studies are contradictory. We studied the association between milk drinking and hip fracture in
5 Norway, which has a population characterised by high fracture incidence and a high calcium intake.
6 Baseline data from two population-based cohorts were used: The third wave of the Norwegian
7 Counties Study (1985-88) and the Five Counties Study (2000-2002). Diet and lifestyle variables
8 were self-reported through questionnaires. Height and weight were measured. Hip fractures were
9 identified by linkage to hospital data with follow-up through 2013. Of 35,114 participants in the
10 Norwegian Counties Study, 1,865 suffered a hip fracture during 613,018 person-years of follow-up.
11 In multivariable Cox regression, hazard ratios (HR) per daily glass of milk were 0.97 (95%
12 confidence interval (CI) 0.92, 1.03) in men and 1.02 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.07) in women. Of 23,259
13 participants in the Five Counties Study, 1,466 suffered a hip fracture during 252,996 person-years
14 of follow-up. HR for hip fractures per daily glass of milk in multivariable Cox regression were 0.99
15 (95% CI 0.92, 1.07) in men and 1.02 (95% CI 0.97, 1.08) in women. In conclusion, there was no
16 overall association between milk intake and risk of hip fracture in Norwegian men and women.

17 **INTRODUCTION**

18 Hip fractures are a serious public health problem in Western countries. Scandinavia has the world's
19 highest incidence rates of hip fractures⁽¹⁾. Traditionally the Northern European countries have had a
20 high dietary intake of cow's milk and high lactase persistence⁽²⁾. Cow's milk is a plentiful source of
21 substrates for bone and muscle (energy, protein, calcium and phosphorus) in addition to riboflavin,
22 vitamin B12, iodine, potassium and other minerals^(3; 4). Food-based dietary guidelines in many
23 countries, including Norway, the UK, the USA, Canada and Australia, recommend daily use of low-
24 fat milk and dairy products^(5; 6). Milk is promoted as a calcium source to osteoporosis patients⁽⁷⁾.
25 Although calcium sufficiency is a key component for skeletal integrity, a link between dietary
26 calcium intake or milk/dairy intake and fracture risk has been difficult to detect in epidemiologic
27 studies^(8; 9; 10; 11).

28 An earlier meta-analysis of seven cohort studies found no association between milk intake
29 and risk of hip fracture in women and a suggestive (non-significant) protective association in
30 men⁽¹²⁾. An updated meta-analysis published in 2018 with data from cohort studies found no
31 association between milk intake and risk of hip fracture in genders combined, with high
32 heterogeneity between studies⁽¹³⁾. Interestingly, a long-term follow-up of two large Swedish cohorts
33 (included in the most recent meta-analysis) identified a clear linear trend of higher risk of hip
34 fracture with higher milk consumption in 61,400 women, while soured milk and yogurt showed the
35 opposite pattern. No association was observed in 45,300 men⁽¹⁴⁾. An updated analysis of two US
36 cohorts of 80,600 women and 43,300 men followed for an average of 20.8 and 17.5 years,
37 respectively, found an overall reduced risk of hip fracture with increasing milk intake, and stratified
38 analyses revealed that the reduced risk was most evident in obese men and women⁽¹⁵⁾. Thus, the
39 evidence is conflicting and the role of milk in bone health remains unclear⁽¹⁶⁾.

40 The aim of the present study was to study the association between milk consumption and
41 risk of hip fracture in the Norwegian population.

42

43 **METHODS**

44 *Study population*

45 Data from regional population-based health studies across Norway were used. These were analysed
46 as two separate cohorts due to differences in periods of data collection, age range of participants,
47 format of questionnaire data including milk consumption and available covariates.

48 The data from the Norwegian Counties Study included the third wave of large
49 cardiovascular health screenings carried out in the west coast county of Sogn og Fjordane 1985-86,
50 the inland county of Oppland 1986-88 and the northernmost county of Finnmark 1987-88⁽¹⁷⁾. The
51 study population for analysis comprised those who attended the screening, responded to the
52 question about milk, had valid weight, height and smoking data, and were 50 years and older and
53 residing in Norway as of 1st January 1994 (start of follow-up).

54 The Five Counties Study consists of harmonised data from regional multi-purpose health
55 examination surveys in five counties, performed by the National Health Screening Service in 2000-
56 2003 and previously described elsewhere⁽¹⁸⁾. Counties included Oslo (the capital, urban south),
57 Oppland, Hedmark (towns and rural areas, south), Troms and Finnmark (towns and rural areas,
58 north). The study population for analysis comprised participants 50 years and older who attended
59 the screening, responded to the question about milk and had valid weight, height and smoking data.

61 *Data collected at screening*

62 In all health studies, the participants' height and weight were measured by standardised tools. Self-
63 reported information about lifestyle factors such as health and disease, smoking and physical
64 activity was collected through questionnaires.

66 *Milk consumption and diet*

67 In the Norwegian Counties Study, diet was assessed through a 60-item semi-quantitative food
68 frequency questionnaire (FFQ) which enabled calculation of nutrient intake. The FFQ was designed
69 to cover dietary risk factors for cardiovascular disease and had an emphasis on fat composition, but
70 is also suitable for studying other outcomes assumed to be related to dietary components covered by
71 the questionnaire. A validation of the FFQ against 24-hour recalls showed satisfactory agreement
72 for common foods that are used daily, such as milk⁽¹⁹⁾. The question about milk consumption was
73 posed as follows: "How many glasses of milk do you usually drink per day?" with seven response
74 categories ranging from "Do not drink milk or less than 1 glass per day" to "6 glasses or more per
75 day". These response categories were recoded into a discrete numeric variable with values ranging
76 from 0 to 6. For analyses with categories, the three highest response categories were recoded into
77 one category indicating "4 or more glasses per day". This was due to a low proportion responding to

78 the higher categories, and for comparability with the second cohort (see below) and with previous
79 studies. The milk question did not specify which type of milk to report (sweet or soured). A
80 separate question asked about what type of milk the respondent usually drank, separating milk types
81 according to fat content.

82 In the Five Counties Study, only a few selected questions about diet were included, which
83 did not allow energy and nutrient calculations. Information about milk consumption was obtained
84 through three questions discriminating between types of milk according to fat content. Sweet and
85 soured milk, kefir, and yogurt were combined in the same questions and could not be separated. The
86 questions asked for number of glasses consumed per day, with the following five frequency
87 categories: “Seldom/never”, “1-6 gl/wk”, “1 gl/day”, “2-3 gl/day” and “4 glasses or more per day”.
88 This was recoded into a numeric variable indicating frequency with the values 0, 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 4
89 glasses per day, respectively. When summing up the three milk questions, the resulting values
90 ranged from 0 to 12 glasses per day. For analyses with categories, this summed variable was
91 recoded into five categories ranging from “0” to “4 or more glasses per day”. The volume of a glass
92 of milk was not specified in either cohort, but the standard volume of a glass of milk at the time of
93 the data collections was 1.50 dl (150 g milk)⁽²⁰⁾. Thus, the highest category may be considered to
94 represent an intake level of ≥ 6 dl per day.

95

96 *Hip fracture outcome*

97 Incident hip fractures were identified by linkage to the NOREPOS hip fracture database (NORHip).
98 This database includes information on all proximal femur fractures (femoral neck, trochanter and
99 sub-trochanter) treated in hospitals in Norway 1994-2013, retrieved from the hospitals’ patient
100 administrative systems (until 2008) and from the Norwegian Patient Register (2008-2013)^(21; 22).
101 Data on hospital admissions with hip fracture before 1994 were not available as this was the first
102 year electronic patient administrative systems were used in all hospitals.

103

104 *Demographic variables*

105 The National Registry provided dates for deaths and emigration. Data on marital status and attained
106 education level were obtained from Statistics Norway. Education level from the 1990 Norwegian
107 Population and Housing Census was used for participants in the Norwegian Counties Study, while
108 education level from the 2001 Census was used for participants in the Five Counties Study.

109

110 *Follow-up*

111 For participants in the Norwegian Counties Study, follow-up started 1st January 1994, while for
112 participants in the Five Counties Study, follow-up started at the date of participation. The subjects

113 were followed until the date of their first incident hip fracture, death, emigration or 31st December
114 2013, whichever came first.

115

116 *Statistical analysis*

117 Statistical analyses were performed in R⁽²³⁾ for Windows, version 3.4.3. Baseline characteristics
118 across levels of milk consumption were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous
119 variables and chi square test for categorical variables. Cox proportional hazards regression using
120 attained age as time scale^(24; 25) was performed to estimate hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence
121 intervals (CI) for hip fracture according to levels of milk consumption. Plots and tests of Schoenfeld
122 residuals against time⁽²⁶⁾ indicated that the proportional hazards assumption was met for milk
123 consumption. To investigate a potential linear association with hip fracture, milk consumption was
124 entered as number of glasses of milk per day on a continuous scale. To investigate a potential
125 nonlinear association between milk consumption and hip fracture, predefined analyses were also
126 performed using penalised splines of milk consumption as the explanatory variable, and using
127 categories of milk consumption ranging from <1 glass per day to 4 or more glasses per day, with 1
128 glass per day as reference category. Analyses were performed in genders combined and separately
129 for men and women. Tests were considered statistically significant at the 0.05 level. For both
130 cohorts, three models with increasing statistical adjustment were constructed. The basic model
131 (model 1) included adjustment for county (and gender in gender-combined analyses). Age was not
132 entered as a covariate, as attained age defined the time scale in the Cox models, but including
133 adjustment for age at baseline participation (continuous) produced virtually identical results (data
134 not shown). The intermediate model (model 2) included additional adjustment for BMI (kg/m²,
135 continuous) and cigarette smoking (five categories: Never-smoker, ex-smoker, currently smoking
136 less than 15 cigarettes per day, currently smoking 15 or more cigarettes per day, and currently
137 smoking with number of cigarettes per day not reported). The fully adjusted model (model 3) also
138 included the following additional covariates: Regular use of any vitamin supplement or cod liver
139 oil, respectively (yes/no), comorbidity (mean number of self-reported chronic diseases among the
140 following options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension), body
141 height (cm, continuous), physical activity during leisure time (four response categories
142 dichotomised into sedentary vs. moderately active/ active/ very active), marital status (dichotomised
143 into married vs. unmarried/ widowed/ divorced/ separated), education level (nine levels recoded
144 into five levels ranging from primary school or shorter to postgraduate education). Energy intake
145 estimated from the FFQ in kJ/day (continuous) was available in the Norwegian Counties Study
146 only, while use of acid suppressing drugs including proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor
147 antagonists (yes/no) and self-rated health in four response categories ranging from poor to very

148 good were available in the Five Counties Study only. In the Norwegian Counties Study some
149 participants had missing data for education (1.1%), energy intake (0.3%), physical activity (0.08%),
150 and marital status (0.04%). In the Five Counties study some participants had missing data for
151 physical activity (3.1%), self-rated health (1.5%), education (1.3%), and marital status (0.4%). For
152 these covariates, missing values were treated as a separate category in the fully adjusted Cox
153 regression analyses.

154 Statistical interaction was tested in the fully adjusted models by including interaction terms
155 for milk consumption as continuous exposure and each of the respective variables gender, county
156 and BMI. Subgroup analyses were performed in strata of BMI and gender, based on the previous
157 finding of an interaction between BMI and milk in the Nurses' Health Study⁽¹⁵⁾. For these subgroup
158 analyses, BMI was divided in three categories using the cutoffs 24 and 27 kg/m², which
159 corresponded closely to the tertile limits of BMI in the Norwegian Counties Study.

160 In the Five Counties Study, sensitivity analyses were performed for follow-up time <6 years
161 and ≥6 years, corresponding to the 10-percentile of follow-up time, to investigate whether any
162 potential influence of milk consumption may be more relevant for fractures occurring closer in time
163 to the measurement of dietary exposure. In addition, we performed a sensitivity analysis limited to
164 participants aged 75 years and older at participation in the health study. In the Norwegian Counties
165 Study, the age of participants was too low and the follow-up time for the majority of participants
166 too long to obtain meaningful results from such analyses.

167

168 *Ethical approvals*

169 The study and the data linkages have been approved by the Norwegian Data Protection Authority,
170 the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics, the Directorate of Health,
171 Statistics Norway, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

172

173 **RESULTS**

174 *Baseline characteristics, milk consumption and incident hip fractures*

175 Of the 35,165 eligible participants in the Norwegian Counties Study, the study population for
176 analysis constituted 35,114 individuals (99.9% of participants) with valid height, weight and
177 smoking data (51% women). Median age at screening was 50 years (interquartile range (IQR) 46-53
178 years) and mean BMI was 25.7 kg/m². Thirty-six percent were daily smokers and 18% were
179 sedentary during leisure time. Mean (standard deviation (SD)) number of glasses of milk consumed
180 per day was 2.6 (1.5) corresponding to 390 g milk/day in men and 1.7 (1.1) corresponding to 255 g
181 milk/day in women. In both genders, those reporting the highest milk consumption had the highest
182 energy intake, a lower proportion were married, a lower proportion had completed secondary
183 education, and a higher proportion were smokers (Table 1). Among men, there was a slightly higher
184 proportion of sedentary among those consuming 0 or less than 1 glass of milk per day, whereas
185 among women, the high-consumers of milk were equally sedentary as the low-consumers. In men,
186 603 incident hip fractures occurred during 291,335 person-years of follow-up while in women
187 1,262 incident hip fractures occurred during 321,683 person-years of follow-up. Median age at hip
188 fracture was 72 years (IQR 67-77 years).

189 Of the 23,415 eligible participants in the Five Counties Study, the study population for
190 analysis constituted 23,298 individuals (99.5% of participants) with valid height, weight and
191 smoking data (54% women). Median age at screening was 62 years (IQR 60-75 years) and mean
192 BMI was 27.0 kg/m². Twenty-six percent were daily smokers and 16% were sedentary during
193 leisure time. Mean (SD) number of glasses of milk consumed per day was 1.5 (1.2) corresponding
194 to 225 g milk/day in men and 1.2 (1.1) corresponding to 180 g milk/day in women. In both genders,
195 those reporting the highest milk consumption had a higher prevalence of daily smokers, a lower
196 proportion were married, and a lower proportion had completed secondary education. Among
197 women, those with the highest milk consumption were also older and more sedentary (Table 2). In
198 men, 473 incident hip fractures occurred during 114,876 person-years of follow-up while in women,
199 993 incident hip fractures occurred during 138,120 person-years of follow-up. Median age at hip
200 fracture was 81 years (IQR 76-85 years).

201

202 *Milk consumption and hip fracture: The Norwegian Counties Study*

203 In the Norwegian Counties study, overall HR for hip fracture per daily glass of milk (type not
204 specified) in the fully adjusted model was 0.99 (95% CI 0.96, 1.04) and it was not statistically
205 significant in either gender: HR 0.97 (95% CI 0.92, 1.03) in men and HR 1.02 (95% CI 0.96, 1.07)
206 in women (Table 3). Fully adjusted Cox regression with splines of milk consumption was not

207 significant in men ($p=0.55$ for linear and $p=0.27$ for nonlinear association) nor in women ($p=0.36$
208 for linear and $p=0.55$ for nonlinear association). Compared with those drinking 1 glass of milk per
209 day, HR was non-significantly increased in those drinking 0 or <1 glass per day in both genders,
210 HR 1.33 (95% CI 0.91, 1.93) in men and HR 1.14 (95% CI 0.92, 1.42) in women (Table 3). In men
211 drinking 4 or more glasses per day there was no tendency, while in women there was a suggested
212 increased risk among the high-milk-consumers which was attenuated after adjustment for
213 confounders; HR 1.15 (95% CI 0.92, 1.43) (Table 3).

214

215 *Milk consumption and hip fracture: The Five Counties Study*

216 In the Five Counties Study, overall HR for hip fractures per daily glass of milk (including sweet and
217 soured milk, kefir, and yogurt) in the fully adjusted model (model 3) was 1.02 (95% CI 0.97, 1.06)
218 and it was not statistically significant in either gender: HR 0.99 (95% CI 0.92, 1.07) in men, and HR
219 1.02 (95% CI 0.97, 1.08) in women (Table 4). Fully adjusted Cox regression with splines of milk
220 consumption was not significant in men ($p=0.65$ for linear and $p=0.70$ for nonlinear association) nor
221 in women ($p=0.30$ for linear and $p=0.66$ for nonlinear association). For categories of milk intake
222 with 1 glass per day as the reference category, there was no association except a non-significant
223 23% risk increase in women who reported 4 glasses or more per day (Table 4).

224

225 *Statistical interaction and subgroup analysis*

226 The interaction term for milk and gender approached statistical significance in the Norwegian
227 Counties Study ($p=0.08$), while there was no interaction with gender in the Five Counties Study
228 ($p=0.49$). There was no statistical interaction between milk and county in men or women in either
229 cohort. Concerning BMI, it showed no interaction with milk consumption in men in either cohort
230 ($p=0.80$ and $p=0.49$, respectively). In women, there was a marginally significant interaction
231 between milk and BMI among women in the Five Counties Study ($p=0.052$), and stratified analyses
232 within three categories of BMI suggested an elevated risk of hip fracture per daily glass of milk for
233 those with $BMI < 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (HR 1.09, 95% CI 0.99, 1.19) but not for those with $BMI \geq 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$
234 (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.86, 1.05) (Supplementary Table 1). In subgroup analyses with 1 glass per day
235 as the reference category, women in the Norwegian Counties Study with $BMI < 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$ who
236 reported a consumption of 4 or more glasses of milk per day had a statistically significant HR of
237 1.38 (95% CI 1.02, 1.88) for hip fracture. In the Five Counties Study, the increased HR in the low-
238 BMI high-milk consuming women was not statically significant (HR 1.60, 95% CI 0.94, 2.73 in the
239 fully adjusted model). The subgroup of women with $BMI < 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$ who reported a milk intake of 4
240 glasses or more per day constituted 3.2% of women in the Norwegian Counties Study and 0.7% of

241 women in the Five Counties Study. This group was also characterised by a higher smoking
242 prevalence, a higher proportion being sedentary during leisure time, and poorer self-rated health.

243

244 *Sensitivity analyses*

245 Cox regression confined to participants aged 75 years and older at baseline in the Five Counties
246 Study yielded similar results as in the full cohort. In separate analyses according to length of
247 follow-up in the Five Counties Study, an increased risk of hip fracture in high milk consuming
248 women was observed only in the shorter term (<6 years). While there was not a significant linear
249 trend, women reporting 4 or more glasses of milk per day (299 women, 16 hip fractures) had HR
250 1.78 (95% CI 1.05, 3.01) for hip fracture compared with the reference category (4,577 women, 124
251 hip fractures) in the fully adjusted model. There was no association for follow-up > 6 years, neither
252 a linear trend nor in categories of milk consumption. In men, separate Cox regression according to
253 follow-up time did not yield any substantially different results.

254

255 **DISCUSSION**

256 In this prospective study using two different cohorts linked with incident hip fractures from patient
257 administrative systems over a 20-year period, we found no clear association between milk
258 consumption and risk of hip fracture.

259 A previous follow-up to the first wave of the Norwegian Counties Study with 210 incident
260 hip fractures (154 in women and 56 in men) identified in medical records during average follow-up
261 13.8 years, found a reduced risk of hip fracture in men with higher milk consumption and no
262 association in women⁽²⁷⁾. In men, multivariable adjusted RR of hip fracture was 0.46 (95% CI 0.22,
263 0.98) in those drinking 4 glasses of milk per day or more (11 hip fractures) compared with those
264 drinking one glass per day or less. The corresponding RR in women was 0.83 (95% CI 0.44, 1.56),
265 also with 11 hip fractures in the highest milk consumption category. There was no linear trend
266 through increasing milk consumption. Although we do not have a clear explanation for the
267 discrepant findings of that study and the current results, it should be noted that the previous analysis
268 was performed in the cohort participating in the first wave during the late 1970s, with short follow-
269 up, few fractures and a younger study population (mean age at hip fracture 57 years in women and
270 55 years in men).

271 Findings from other countries have been conflicting. In 2011 a meta-analysis⁽¹²⁾ summed up
272 the results of cohort studies investigating the association between milk intake and hip fracture.
273 Based on six studies with 195,102 women and 3574 incident hip fractures, pooled RR per glass of
274 milk per day in women was 0.99 (95% CI 0.96, 1.02), with low heterogeneity. In men, based on
275 three studies with 75,149 men and 195 hip fractures, pooled RR per daily glass of milk was 0.91
276 (95% CI 0.81, 1.01). The authors concluded that there was no overall association between milk
277 intake and risk of hip fracture in women, but that more data were needed in men.

278 However, interestingly, a different conclusion was arrived at in analyses from the Swedish
279 Mammography Cohort (SMC) with n=61,433 women followed for an average of 20 years resulting
280 in 4,259 hip fractures, and the Cohort of Swedish Men with n=45,339 men followed for an average
281 of 11 years resulting in 1,166 hip fractures⁽¹⁴⁾. While no association was found between milk
282 consumption and risk of hip fracture in men, a higher milk consumption was associated with
283 increased risk of hip fracture in women, with HR 1.09 (95% CI 1.05, 1.13) per glass of milk per
284 day. Hazard ratio for three or more glasses per day vs. one glass or less was 1.60 (95% CI 1.39,
285 1.84) while there was an increased risk even at 1-2 glasses per day with HR 1.19 (95% CI 1.11,
286 1.28). The volume of a glass was defined as 200 g milk. These findings pertained to sweet milk,
287 while a higher intake of soured milk and yogurt showed the opposite pattern and was associated
288 with 8% reduced risk per 200 g higher consumption per day. In an updated analysis in the SMC,
289 these risk patterns persisted after stratification for fruit and vegetable intake⁽²⁸⁾. Moreover, the

290 associations did not differ across two BMI strata (divided at 25 kg/m²), however BMI was based on
291 self-reported height and weight⁽²⁸⁾. The SMC is comparable to the cohort of women participating in
292 the Norwegian Counties Study with regard to the time of baseline data collection (1987-90), age
293 distribution, magnitude of milk consumption (mean 240 g/day), and also in Sweden the smoking
294 prevalence was higher among the women with the highest milk consumption. In addition, there was
295 more comorbidity in the highest milk consumption category in the SMC⁽¹⁴⁾. Results from the two
296 Swedish cohorts were included in a meta-analysis published in 2018 that covered data from ten
297 cohorts. The meta-analysis found no association between milk intake and risk of hip fracture in
298 genders combined⁽¹³⁾. There was high heterogeneity between studies, which is a general
299 shortcoming when performing meta-analyses of cohort studies in nutritional research.

300 Results from an updated follow-up to the Nurses' Health Study and the Health
301 Professionals' Follow-up Study in US women and men was published in 2017⁽¹⁵⁾ and were not
302 included in the abovementioned meta-analysis. The data included 2,138 hip fractures in 80,600
303 women and 694 hip fractures in 43,300 men during an average follow-up of 20.8 years and 17.5
304 years, respectively. RR 0.92 (95% CI 0.87, 0.97) per daily glass of milk consumed was found in
305 genders combined. The hip fracture outcome was based on self-report. There was interaction
306 between milk drinking and BMI (based on self-reported weight and height), and the reduced risk
307 was most evident at BMI 30 kg/m² or higher in both men and women.

308 The possible causes for the conflicting findings between different cohorts are not
309 understood, but have been intensely debated⁽²⁹⁾. Studies have predominantly been performed in
310 Caucasian populations living in Europe and the US. Milk drinking may represent different
311 exposures across populations due to e.g. differences in fortification practices. Unlike the
312 Scandinavian countries, the US has a long history of vitamin D fortification of milk⁽³⁰⁾. Different
313 findings may also result from methodologic challenges. Participants' age, proximity in time
314 between measurement of exposure and outcome, exposure range for milk consumption, mode of
315 fracture identification (registry linkage vs. self-report), data collection method for height and weight
316 (measured vs. self-reported), and the available confounders differ between studies.

317 In the present study, subgroup analyses in women with low BMI at baseline (< 24 kg/m²)
318 showed that hazard ratios for hip fracture in those drinking 4 or more glasses per day were
319 increased compared with the reference category reporting 1 glass per day in both cohorts, while
320 there was no trend through increasing milk consumption. The associations were attenuated, but not
321 eliminated, by adjustment for confounders. The low-BMI high-milk consumers constituted a low
322 proportion of the population; 3.2% and 0.7% of women in the two respective cohorts. They were to
323 a higher degree characterised by behaviour related to increased fracture risk, including high
324 prevalence of cigarette smoking, physical inactivity, and poor self-rated health. We cannot rule out

325 that our results are influenced by residual confounding introducing a spurious positive association
326 between milk consumption and hip fracture, and that a high milk intake may be an indicator of poor
327 health in this subgroup of women rather than representing a causal risk factor for hip fracture. For
328 example, it could be speculated that the increased risk associated with a high milk intake in the low-
329 weight women could be related to illness associated with gastrointestinal complaints. Attempts were
330 made to capture the potential influence of such illness by including information on use of acid-
331 suppressing drugs, but this did not affect our associations.

332 Also, sensitivity analyses in the Five Counties study population suggested that an increased
333 risk in high milk consuming women was confined to shorter-term follow-up. This may suggest that
334 self-reported milk consumption represents a more valid estimate of exposure the closer to event it is
335 measured. However, it may also reflect that an effect is more detectable in the older and frailer
336 segment of the population, and that the characteristics of the population at risk changes during
337 follow-up due to selection. The subcohort who were still alive and had not fractured within six
338 years after baseline examination had slightly lower average age, higher average BMI, better self-
339 rated health and lower smoking prevalence at participation when compared with the full cohort.

340

341 *Range of exposure and portion sizes*

342 Exposure classification was based on questionnaire data indicating number of glasses of milk
343 usually consumed. The volume of a glass was not specified in the milk question in either study.
344 Although standard portion sizes have changed over time⁽³¹⁾, a common standard portion of a glass
345 of milk at the time of the data collections was 1.50 dl (150 g milk)⁽²⁰⁾. The highest category of 4 or
346 more glasses per day is thus comparable to the highest consumption category in the analysis of the
347 Swedish cohorts⁽¹⁴⁾, corresponding to 6 dl or more per day. In the SMC, mean daily milk
348 consumption at baseline in 1987-90 was 240 g/day, which is similar to the mean daily milk
349 consumption of women in the Norwegian Counties Study 1985-88 (estimated to 255 g/day). In the
350 US cohorts, the average intake in 1986 was slightly lower than that in the Norwegian Counties
351 Study, with mean milk consumption reported to be 6.3 servings à 240 ml per week, corresponding
352 to an average of 216 ml per day⁽¹⁵⁾.

353

354 *Strengths and limitations*

355 The population-based design is a strength of the present study. Attendance rates were high in the
356 third wave of the Norwegian Counties Study: 78%, 86% and 87% in the different counties.
357 Attendance rates in the more recent health studies in five counties were somewhat lower and varied
358 from 50% in women aged 75-76 in Oslo to 75% in women aged 60 in Troms and Oppland.
359 Questionnaires were standardised and data were harmonised across studies. Of particular interest,

360 the height and weight measurements were standardised and performed in the same way across all
361 health studies included in both cohorts. Another important strength is the objective outcome
362 measure obtained from patient administrative databases in all hospitals in Norway, that have been
363 carefully quality assured^(21; 22).

364 A limitation of both cohorts is the small variation in reported daily milk intake. The
365 participants were homogenous with regard to milk: The large majority reported around 1 glass per
366 day in women, while the proportion who reported to drink 4 or more glasses of milk per day was
367 very low. As milk consumption in Norway as well as other countries have decreased steadily over
368 time, more recent assessments of effects of variations in milk intake on health outcomes will be
369 hampered by a narrow exposure range, making it more difficult to detect potential associations.
370 Also, we were not able to separate fracture risk in individuals who never drank milk from that in
371 individuals who drank milk infrequently, since the lowest response category in the two
372 questionnaires was defined as “Do not drink milk or less than 1 glass per day” and “Seldom/never”.

373 Another limitation in both cohorts was that sweet and soured milk could not be studied
374 separately, as the wording of the questionnaire combined these types of milk (in addition to yogurt
375 in the Five Counties study) into the same questions. In the SMC, a higher intake of sweet milk
376 entailed increased risk of hip fracture, while a higher intake of soured milk and yogurt showed the
377 opposite pattern with fracture risk^(14; 28). We could not disentangle an effect of soured milk in our
378 data. However, sweet milk is the predominant type of milk consumed in Norway. At the time of the
379 data collections (1985-88 and 2000-02), soured milk constituted only 6 to 7% of milk consumption
380 in Norway (personal communication, Norwegian Dairy Council).

381 In the Norwegian Counties study, limitations also included a relatively young population at
382 baseline, with a long average time period from baseline measurements to occurrence of hip
383 fractures. Most hip fractures occurred towards the end of the follow-up period, with median age 72
384 years at hip fracture. Dietary habits and other lifestyle factors and behaviour may have changed
385 during the long follow-up period and thus contributed to dilute associations. Another limitation is
386 that follow-up with regard to hip fracture did not commence until 1 January 1994, which was the
387 first year all hospitals nationwide used electronic patient administrative systems. Any hip fractures
388 occurring in the period from screening until start of follow-up (median 7, maximum 9 years) have
389 not been captured and these participants will have been misclassified unless they suffered a second
390 hip fracture during the subsequent years. However, we expect few hip fractures to have occurred in
391 this period due to the low average age (median 50 years at participation), and we do not believe that
392 this has influenced the results. Regardless of limitations, the results are supported by the similar
393 results in the Five Counties Study, performed more recently and with an older age distribution
394 (median age 62 years at participation and 81 years at hip fracture).

395 In the Five Counties Study, a limitation is the lack of data about energy intake since the
396 questionnaires included only a few selected dietary questions. The semi-quantitative FFQ in the
397 Norwegian Counties study did not cover the entire diet, but yielded meaningful results concerning a
398 positive association between milk consumption and calculated energy intake. Adjustment for energy
399 intake in multivariable Cox regression had a small but not unimportant influence on the estimates
400 for the milk-hip fracture association: In women, the hazard ratio changed from 1.20 (95% CI 0.97,
401 1.48) to 1.15 (95% CI 0.92, 1.43) for 4+ vs. 1 glass/day when including adjustment for energy
402 intake.

403

404 *Conclusions and implications*

405 Results from our two cohorts of large population-based regional health studies in Norway did not
406 support a clear protective nor risk-increasing association between milk consumption in adult life
407 and later hip fractures. Milk and dairy represents an important source of energy, protein, calcium
408 and a number of other important nutrients, and based on current knowledge it should not be
409 discouraged to the at-risk population.

410

411 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

412 Data from the Norwegian Patient Register has been used in this publication. The interpretation and
413 reporting of these data are the sole responsibility of the authors, and no endorsement by the
414 Norwegian Directorate of Health is intended nor should be inferred. Staff in the Norwegian Institute
415 of Public Health (previously the National Health Screening Service) and Statistics Norway are
416 acknowledged for data collection and linkage to hip fracture data. We thank Jon Marius Grasto
417 Wickmann at the Norwegian Institute of Public Health for his valuable contribution in data
418 management and quality assurance.

419

420 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

421 None.

422

423 **AUTHORSHIP**

424 KH reviewed the literature, performed the data analyses and drafted the manuscript. KH, HEM,
425 TKO and AJS contributed in the acquisition and quality assurance of hip fracture data. IL
426 performed the calculations of energy and nutrient intakes in the Norwegian Counties Study. HEM,
427 IL, DF, TKO and AJS critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All co-authors have
428 approved the final version of the manuscript and take responsibility for its integrity.

429 **REFERENCES**

- 430 1. Cauley JA, Chalhoub D, Kassem AM *et al.* (2014) Geographic and ethnic disparities in
431 osteoporotic fractures. *Nature Rev Endocrinol* **10**, 338-351.
- 432 2. Swallow DM (2003) Genetics of lactase persistence and lactose intolerance. *Annu Rev Genet* **37**,
433 197-219.
- 434 3. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2013) *Milk and dairy products*
435 *in human nutrition* [E Muehlhoff, A Bennett and D McMahon, editors]. Rome: FAO.
- 436 4. Gaucheron F (2011) Milk and dairy products: a unique micronutrient combination. *J Am Coll*
437 *Nutr* **30**, 400s-409s.
- 438 5. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2016) Food-based dietary
439 guidelines. <http://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/home/en/> (accessed July
440 2018)
- 441 6. Weaver CM (2014) How sound is the science behind the dietary recommendations for dairy? *Am*
442 *J Clin Nutr* **99**, 1217s-1222s.
- 443 7. International Osteoporosis Foundation (2015) Fact sheet: Milk and dairy products are good for
444 bone health. [https://www.iofbonehealth.org/data-publications/fact-sheets/milk-and-dairy-products-](https://www.iofbonehealth.org/data-publications/fact-sheets/milk-and-dairy-products-are-good-bone-health)
445 [are-good-bone-health](https://www.iofbonehealth.org/data-publications/fact-sheets/milk-and-dairy-products-are-good-bone-health) (accessed July 2018)
- 446 8. Xu L, McElduff P, D'Este C *et al.* (2004) Does dietary calcium have a protective effect on bone
447 fractures in women? A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Br J Nutr* **91**, 625-634.
- 448 9. Meyer HE (2004) Calcium and osteoporotic fractures. *Br J Nutr* **91**, 505-506.
- 449 10. Bolland MJ, Leung W, Tai V *et al.* (2015) Calcium intake and risk of fracture: systematic
450 review. *BMJ* **351**, h4580.
- 451 11. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Baron JA *et al.* (2007) Calcium intake and hip fracture
452 risk in men and women: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled
453 trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **86**, 1780-1790.
- 454 12. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Baron JA *et al.* (2011) Milk intake and risk of hip
455 fracture in men and women: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *J Bone Miner Res* **26**,
456 833-839.
- 457 13. Bian S, Hu J, Zhang K *et al.* (2018) Dairy product consumption and risk of hip fracture: a
458 systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* **18**, 165.
- 459 14. Michaëlsson K, Wolk A, Langenskiöld S *et al.* (2014) Milk intake and risk of mortality and
460 fractures in women and men: cohort studies. *BMJ* **349**, g6015.
- 461 15. Feskanich D, Meyer HE, Fung TT *et al.* (2018) Milk and other dairy foods and risk of hip
462 fracture in men and women. *Osteoporosis Int* **29**, 385-396.
- 463 16. Fardellone P, Sejourne A, Blain H *et al.* (2017) Osteoporosis: Is milk a kindness or a curse?
464 *Joint Bone Spine* **84**, 275-281.
- 465 17. Bjartveit K, Foss OP, Gjervig T *et al.* (1979) The cardiovascular disease study in Norwegian
466 counties. Background and organization. *Acta Med Scand Suppl* **634**, 1-70.
- 467 18. Forsén L, Bjørnelv GMW, Bentzen H *et al.* (2012) Functional ability, physical activity and self-
468 rated health in old age. A cross-sectional population-based study in Norway. *Open Public Health*
469 *Journal* **5**, 40-51.
- 470 19. Løken EB, Solvoll K (1997) Can dietary data from the cardiovascular screenings in Finnmark,
471 Sogn og Fjordane and Oppland be used to analyse risk for other diseases than cardiovascular
472 disease? *Nor J Epidemiol* **7**, 191-200.
- 473 20. Blaker B, Aarsland M (1995) *Measure and weight for foods*, 2nd ed. Oslo: National Society for
474 Diet and Health.
- 475 21. Omsland TK, Holvik K, Meyer HE *et al.* (2012) Hip fractures in Norway 1999-2008: time
476 trends in total incidence and second hip fracture rates: a NOREPOS study. *Eur J Epidemiol* **27**, 807-
477 814.
- 478 22. Sjøgaard AJ, Holvik K, Meyer HE *et al.* (2016) Continued decline in hip fracture incidence in
479 Norway: a NOREPOS study. *Osteoporosis Int* **27**, 2217-2222.

- 480 23. R Core Team. The R Project for Statistical Computing. <http://www.R-project.org>
- 481 24. Korn EL, Graubard BI, Midthune D (1997) Time-to-event analysis of longitudinal follow-up of
482 a survey: choice of the time-scale. *Am J Epidemiol* **145**, 72-80.
- 483 25. Thiebaut AC, Benichou J (2004) Choice of time-scale in Cox's model analysis of epidemiologic
484 cohort data: a simulation study. *Stat Med* **23**, 3803-3820.
- 485 26. Grambsch PM, Therneau TM (1994) Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on
486 weighted residuals. *Biometrika* **81**, 515-526.
- 487 27. Meyer HE, Pedersen JI, Løken EB *et al.* (1997) Dietary factors and the incidence of hip fracture
488 in middle-aged Norwegians. A prospective study. *Am J Epidemiol* **145**, 117-123.
- 489 28. Michaëlsson K, Wolk A, Lemming EW *et al.* (2018) Intake of Milk or Fermented Milk
490 Combined With Fruit and Vegetable Consumption in Relation to Hip Fracture Rates: A Cohort
491 Study of Swedish Women. *J Bone Miner Res* **33**, 449-457.
- 492 29. Byberg L, Michaëlsson K (2018) Comments on Feskanich *et al.*: Milk and other dairy foods and
493 risk of hip fracture in men and women. *Osteoporos Int* **29**, 1221-1222.
- 494 30. Quick JA, Murphy EW (1982) The fortification of foods: A review. In *USDA Agricultural*
495 *Handbook No 598*. Washington DC: United States Department of Agriculture.
- 496 31. Norwegian Food Safety Authority, University of Oslo, Norwegian Directorate of Health (2015)
497 *Weights, measures and portion sizes for foods*. Report IS-2286.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics across glasses of milk* consumed per day in the study population from the third wave of the Norwegian Counties Study 1985-88

	Glasses of milk per day				
	0 or <1	1	2	3	4+
Men (n=17,175)					
% of sample	4.3	23.0	24.4	21.2	27.1
Age at participation, (years), mean (SD)	50.1 (4.9)	50.2 (4.7)	50.3 (4.9)	50.0 (4.8)	49.6 (4.8)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	25.8 (3.5)	25.8 (3.2)	25.7 (3.1)	25.8 (3.2)	26.0 (3.2)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	175.6 (6.8)	175.7 (6.5)	175.7 (6.4)	175.9 (6.5)	176.1 (6.7)
No. self-reported diseases, mean (SD) †	0.2 (0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	0.1 (0.4)
Estimated energy intake (MJ), mean (SD)	6.8 (2.3)	6.9 (2.1)	7.3 (2.0)	7.9 (2.1)	8.9 (2.3)
Regular use of any vitamin supplement (%)	20	22	25	24	22
Regular use of cod liver oil (%)	9	12	16	16	16
Daily smokers (%)	41	37	38	40	43
Sedentary during leisure time (%)	22	17	16	17	17
Married (%)	85	84	82	82	79
Higher education (%) ‡	33	35	34	32	29
From Finnmark county (%)	20	20	21	24	24
Women (n=17,939)					
% of sample	7.9	41.0	29.8	14.1	7.2
Age at participation, (years), mean (SD)	49.3 (4.7)	49.8 (4.7)	50.4 (4.8)	50.2 (5.0)	50.3 (5.2)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	25.2 (4.5)	25.4 (4.2)	25.6 (4.3)	25.5 (4.5)	25.3 (4.5)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	163.4 (5.9)	163.2 (5.8)	163.1 (6.0)	163.0 (6.4)	162.9 (6.2)
No. self-reported diseases, mean (SD) †	0.2 (0.4)	0.1 (0.4)	0.2 (0.5)	0.1 (0.4)	0.1 (0.4)
Estimated energy intake (MJ), mean (SD)	4.6 (1.5)	4.9 (1.4)	5.4 (1.4)	5.9 (1.5)	6.7 (1.8)
Regular use of any vitamin supplement (%)	36	41	45	47	46
Regular use of cod liver oil (%)	6	11	15	18	18
Daily smokers (%)	33	30	32	37	45
Sedentary during leisure time (%)	21	18	17	17	22
Married (%)	85	85	83	81	78
Higher education (%) ‡	17	17	16	16	14
From Finnmark county (%)	16	19	22	27	32

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; MJ, megajoules.

All p values <0.05 except height in women (p=0.11). Continuous variables were compared using ANOVA and categorical variables were compared using chi square test.

* Type of milk was not specified in the question

† Among the options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension

‡ Completed secondary education (baccalauréat) or higher vs. first year of high school or lower, according to data from the Norwegian Population and Housing Census 1990 (Statistics Norway)

Table 2. Baseline characteristics across glasses of milk* consumed per day in the study population from regional health studies in five counties in 2000-2002

	Glasses of milk per day				
	<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4+
Men (n=10,802)					
% of sample	30.7	31.6	24.6	7.8	5.4
Age at participation (years), mean (SD)	65 (7)	67 (8)	66 (8)	66 (8)	65 (7)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	27.1 (3.8)	26.9 (3.6)	27.2 (3.7)	27.5 (3.6)	27.6 (3.9)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	174.8 (6.6)	175.0 (6.7)	174.9 (6.5)	175.0 (6.8)	175.1 (6.6)
No. self-reported diseases, mean (SD) †	0.7 (0.9)	0.6 (0.9)	0.6 (0.9)	0.6 (0.9)	0.6 (0.9)
Poor or not very good self-rated health (%)	36	33	35	35	40
Daily use of any vitamin or mineral supplement (%)	26	27	25	24	23
Daily use of cod liver oil (%)	39	44	42	45	33
Use of acid suppressing drugs (%) ‡	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.2	3.1
Daily smoker (%)	27	24	29	23	31
Sedentary during leisure time (%)	16	14	14	12	17
Married (%)	73	75	72	73	64
Higher education (%) §	39	42	35	37	29
From Finnmark county (%)	28	20	22	20	22
Women (n=12,457)					
% of sample	37.0	36.7	18.4	5.5	2.4
Age at participation (years), mean (SD)	65 (7)	67 (8)	67 (8)	67 (8)	68 (8)
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	27.0 (4.8)	26.8 (4.7)	26.9 (4.7)	27.4 (4.8)	26.9 (4.8)
Height (cm), mean (SD)	161.9 (6.3)	161.7 (6.4)	161.4 (6.4)	162.0 (6.1)	161.1 (6.5)
No. self-reported diseases, mean (SD) †	0.5 (0.8)	0.5 (0.8)	0.5 (0.8)	0.4 (0.7)	0.5 (0.8)
Poor or not very good self-rated health (%)	45	42	42	43	46
Daily use of any vitamin or mineral supplement (%)	45	48	45	49	45
Daily use of cod liver oil (%)	47	53	52	56	54
Use of acid suppressing drugs (%) ‡	4.7	4.2	3.4	4.3	5.4
Daily smoker (%)	28	22	24	23	30
Sedentary during leisure time (%)	19	17	20	18	24
Married (%)	59	56	56	55	48
Higher education (%) §	23	25	21	23	19
From Finnmark county (%)	25	19	18	17	21

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index

All p values <0.05 except height in men (p=0.71), BMI in women (p=0.08), self-reported chronic diseases in women (p=0.13), self-rated health in women (p=0.14), vitamin/mineral supplements (p=0.22 in men, p=0.07 in women) and acid suppressing drugs (p=0.51 in men, p=0.11 in women). Continuous variables were compared using ANOVA and categorical variables were compared using chi square test.

* The milk questions included sweet and soured milk, kefir, and yogurt. Frequencies were summed from three questions according to the following frequency definitions: seldom/never=0; 1-6 gl/wk=0.5; 1 gl/day =1; 2-3 gl/day=2.5; 4 or more gl/day=4.

512 † Among the options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension

513 ‡ Self-reported use of histamine-2 receptor antagonists and proton pump inhibitors

514 § Completed secondary education (baccalauréat) or higher vs. first year of high school or lower, according to data from the Norwegian Population and Housing Census 2001 (Statistics Norway)

515

Accepted manuscript

516
517

Table 3. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for hip fracture according to glasses of milk* consumed per day in the study population from the Norwegian Counties Study 1985-88

	N	n hip fractures	Person-years of follow-up	Model 1 †		Model 2 ‡		Model 3 §	
				HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p
All									
0 or <1	2,155	137	37,872	1.21 (1.01, 1.46)	0.040	1.19 (0.99, 1.44)	0.06	1.19 (0.99, 1.43)	0.07
1	11,308	627	200,668	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2	9,529	545	166,487	1.06 (0.95, 1.19)	0.31	1.07 (0.95, 1.20)	0.28	1.04 (0.93, 1.17)	0.46
3	6,175	297	106,366	1.05 (0.91, 1.21)	0.50	1.03 (0.90, 1.19)	0.66	1.01 (0.87, 1.17)	0.89
4+	5,947	259	101,624	1.14 (0.98, 1.33)	0.09	1.10 (0.94, 1.28)	0.22	1.07 (0.91, 1.26)	0.40
Per glass	35,114	1,865	613,018	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.59	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.90	0.99 (0.96, 1.04)	0.78
Men									
0 or <1	745	34	12,504	1.36 (0.93, 1.98)	0.11	1.35 (0.93, 1.97)	0.11	1.33 (0.91, 1.93)	0.14
1	3,953	140	67,384	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2	4,184	165	70,869	1.13 (0.90, 1.41)	0.30	1.13 (0.90, 1.41)	0.30	1.12 (0.89, 1.40)	0.34
3	3,645	113	61,578	0.93 (0.73, 1.20)	0.60	0.93 (0.73, 1.19)	0.57	0.94 (0.73, 1.20)	0.61
4+	4,648	151	79,000	1.04 (0.82, 1.31)	0.77	1.02 (0.80, 1.28)	0.90	1.03 (0.81, 1.32)	0.80
Per glass	17,175	603	291,335	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	0.35	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	0.27	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)	0.39
Women									
0 or <1	1,410	103	25,368	1.17 (0.95, 1.45)	0.14	1.15 (0.93, 1.42)	0.20	1.14 (0.92, 1.42)	0.22
1	7,355	487	133,284	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2	5,345	380	95,618	1.03 (0.90, 1.18)	0.69	1.04 (0.91, 1.19)	0.60	1.01 (0.88, 1.16)	0.88
3	2,530	184	44,788	1.12 (0.94, 1.33)	0.19	1.10 (0.92, 1.30)	0.29	1.05 (0.88, 1.26)	0.56
4+	1,299	108	22,624	1.30 (1.06, 1.61)	0.014	1.22 (0.99, 1.50)	0.07	1.15 (0.92, 1.43)	0.21
Per glass	17,939	1,262	321,683	1.04 (0.99, 1.10)	0.09	1.03 (0.98, 1.08)	0.23	1.02 (0.96, 1.07)	0.58

* Type of milk was not specified in the question

† Adjusted for gender and county

‡ Adjusted for gender, county, body mass index (continuous) and smoking (five categories)

§ Adjusted for gender, county, body mass index (continuous), smoking (five categories), body height (continuous), number of self-reported chronic diseases among the options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension (continuous), regular use of vitamin supplement (yes/no), regular use of cod liver oil supplement (yes/no), physical inactivity (inactive, active, missing), marital status (married, single, missing), energy intake (increasing quartiles and one missing category), attained educational level in 1990 (five increasing categories and one missing category)

|| Discrete numeric variable 0 through 6 glasses per day entered as a continuous exposure variable

518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525

526
527

Table 4. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for hip fracture according to glasses of milk* consumed per day in the study population from the Five Counties Study 2000-02

				Model 1 †		Model 2 ‡		Model 3 §	
	N	n hip fractures	Person-years of follow-up	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p	HR (95% CI)	p
All									
<1	7,924	432	87,385	1.00 (0.88, 1.13)	0.95	0.97 (0.86, 1.10)	0.68	0.94 (0.83, 1.06)	0.32
1-<2 (reference)	7,986	564	86,803	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2-<3	4,949	309	52,965	0.97 (0.85, 1.12)	0.70	0.98 (0.85, 1.13)	0.81	0.96 (0.84, 1.11)	0.61
3-<4	1,521	105	16,569	1.00 (0.81, 1.23)	0.98	1.02 (0.83, 1.26)	0.87	1.02 (0.83, 1.26)	0.85
4+	879	56	9,272	1.10 (0.83, 1.45)	0.50	1.12 (0.85, 1.47)	0.43	1.06 (0.80, 1.39)	0.70
Per glass	23,259	1,466	252,996	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)	0.95	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	0.57	1.02 (0.97, 1.06)	0.51
Men									
<1	3,311	127	35,491	0.95 (0.76, 1.20)	0.67	0.93 (0.74, 1.18)	0.56	0.88 (0.70, 1.12)	0.30
1-<2 (reference)	3,409	173	36,005	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2-<3	2,660	110	28,181	0.90 (0.71, 1.14)	0.38	0.89 (0.70, 1.14)	0.36	0.85 (0.67, 1.08)	0.19
3-<4	842	41	9,103	0.96 (0.68, 1.35)	0.81	0.99 (0.71, 1.40)	0.97	0.98 (0.69, 1.38)	0.90
4+	580	22	6,093	0.89 (0.57, 1.38)	0.59	0.93 (0.60, 1.45)	0.75	0.81 (0.52, 1.26)	0.35
Per glass	10,802	473	114,876	0.99 (0.91, 1.06)	0.71	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	1.00	0.99 (0.92, 1.07)	0.80
Women									
<1	4,613	305	51,893	1.02 (0.87, 1.18)	0.84	0.99 (0.85, 1.15)	0.91	0.96 (0.83, 1.12)	0.62
1-<2 (reference)	4,577	391	50,797	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-	1.00 (ref.)	-
2-<3	2,289	199	24,784	1.01 (0.85, 1.20)	0.93	1.02 (0.86, 1.21)	0.83	1.01 (0.85, 1.20)	0.87
3-<4	679	64	7,466	1.01 (0.77, 1.31)	0.96	1.02 (0.78, 1.33)	0.87	1.03 (0.79, 1.35)	0.82
4+	299	34	3,178	1.24 (0.87, 1.76)	0.23	1.24 (0.87, 1.77)	0.23	1.23 (0.86, 1.75)	0.25
Per glass	12,457	993	138,120	1.01 (0.95, 1.07)	0.81	1.02 (0.96, 1.08)	0.54	1.02 (0.97, 1.08)	0.39

* The milk questions included sweet and soured milk, kefir, and yogurt. Frequencies were summed from three questions according to the following frequency definitions: seldom/never=0; 1-6 gl/wk=0.5; 1 gl/day=1; 2-3 gl/day=2.5; 4 or more gl/day=4.

† Adjusted for gender and county

‡ Adjusted for gender, county, body mass index (continuous) and smoking (5 categories)

§ Adjusted for gender, county, body mass index (continuous), smoking (5 categories), body height (continuous), number of self-reported diseases among the options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension (continuous), daily use of any vitamin or mineral supplement (yes/no), daily use of cod liver oil supplement (yes/no), use of acid suppressing drugs (yes/no), marital status (married/single/missing), self-rated health (poor /not very good /good /very good /missing), physical inactivity (active/inactive/missing), attained educational level in 2001 (5 increasing categories and one missing category)

|| 0 through 12 glasses per day entered as a continuous exposure variable

528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535

536
537
538

Supplementary Table 1. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for hip fracture per daily glass of milk across BMI categories in the study populations from the Norwegian Counties Study (1985-88) and the Five Counties Study (2000-02)

		Per 1 glass milk/day							
		BMI, kg/m ²	N	n hip fractures	Person-years of follow-up	HR (95% CI) *	p *	HR (95% CI) †	p †
Norwegian Counties Study	Men	<24	4,951	196	83,927	0.98 (0.89, 1.08)	0.70	1.03 (0.88, 1.08)	0.61
		24-27	6,663	223	115,092	0.94 (0.86, 1.04)	0.22	0.95 (0.85, 1.05)	0.28
		≥27	5,561	184	92,315	0.99 (0.89, 1.09)	0.79	1.01 (0.90, 1.12)	0.94
		P _{interaction} ‡				0.74		0.80	
	Women	<24	7,501	551	135,240	1.08 (1.00, 1.16)	0.043	1.05 (0.97, 1.13)	0.21
		24-27	5,085	357	92,254	1.00 (0.91, 1.11)	0.96	1.00 (0.90, 1.11)	0.96
		≥27	5,353	354	94,189	0.98 (0.88, 1.08)	0.62	0.96 (0.87, 1.07)	0.47
		P _{interaction} ‡				0.13		0.19	
Five Counties Study	Men	<24	2,015	157	20,162	0.97 (0.84, 1.11)	0.65	0.96 (0.83, 1.10)	0.54
		24-27	3,618	160	38,893	1.05 (0.92, 1.19)	0.49	1.05 (0.92, 1.19)	0.46
		≥27	5,169	156	55,821	0.98 (0.86, 1.12)	0.80	0.98 (0.86, 1.12)	0.78
		P _{interaction} ‡				0.48		0.49	
	Women	<24	3,466	379	37,821	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.07	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.07
		24-27	3,450	263	38,615	1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.76	1.03 (0.92, 1.15)	0.59
		≥27	5,541	351	61,685	0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.31	0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.31
		P _{interaction} ‡				0.060		0.052	

539
540
541
542
543
544
545

* Adjusted for county, body mass index (continuous) and smoking (five categories)

† Adjusted for county, body mass index (continuous), smoking (five categories), body height (continuous), number of self-reported chronic diseases among the options: myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, diabetes, treated hypertension (continuous), regular use of vitamin supplement (yes/no), regular use of cod liver oil supplement (yes/no), physical inactivity (inactive, active, missing), marital status (married, single, missing), energy intake (increasing quartiles and one missing category, Norwegian Counties study only), use of acid suppressing drugs (yes/no, Five Counties Study only), self-rated health (poor /not very good /good /very good /missing, Five Counties only), attained educational level (five increasing categories and one missing category, education level in 1990 in the Norwegian Counties study; education level in 2001 in the Five Counties Study)

‡ Interacton term for glasses of milk per day and BMI (continuous) in Cox regression