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Abstract

**Background:** Studies on stability of genetic risk for depression have relied on self-reported symptoms rather than diagnoses and/or short follow-up time. Our aim is to determine to what degree genetic and environmental influences on clinically assessed major depressive disorder (MDD) are stable between age 18 and 45.

**Methods:** A population-based sample of 11,727 twins (6,875 women) born between 1967 and 1991 were followed from 2006 to 2015 in health registry data from primary care that included diagnoses provided by treating physicians. Individuals with schizophrenia or bipolar disorder (n=163) were excluded. We modelled genetic and environmental risk factors for MDD in an accelerated longitudinal design.

**Results:** The best-fitting model indicated that genetic influences on MDD were completely stable from ages 18 to 45 and explained 38% of the variance. At each age, environmental risk of MDD was determined by the risk at the preceding observation, plus new environmental risk, with an environmental correlation of +0.60 over two years. The model indicated no effects of shared environment and no environmental effects stable throughout the observational period. All long-term stability was therefore explained by genetic factors.

**Conclusions:** Different processes unfolded in the genetic and environmental risk for MDD. The genetic component is stable from later adolescence to middle adulthood and accounted for nearly all long-term stability. Therefore, molecular genetic studies can use age-heterogenous samples when investigating genetic risk variants of MDD. Environmental risk factors were stable over a short span of years with associations rapidly decreasing and no evidence of permanent environmental scarring.
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common and disabling disorder with an age at onset most typically from late adolescence to middle adult life (Ferrari et al., 2013). In multiple twin studies, lifetime MDD has been shown to have a heritability of approximately 40% with individual-specific environment contributing most of the remaining liability (Sullivan et al., 2000, Kendler et al., 2006). Polygenic studies have estimated that the sum of measured genetic variation explains 6-32% of the variance (SNP-h^2) in risk of MDD (Lubke et al., 2012, Lee et al., 2013, Hyde et al., 2016, Direk et al., 2017, Wray et al., 2018). However, most of the genetic risk has not been linked to specific polymorphisms (Ripke et al., 2013, Converge consortium, 2015, Geschwind and Flint, 2015, Van der Auwera et al., 2018). One of several factors contributing to this discrepancy could be age-related variation in risk factors (Korten et al., 2012, Power et al., 2017). Results from studies using diagnostic interviews of twins indicate completely stable genetic risk factors for MDD from the 20s to 30s (Torvik et al., 2017), and in MDD assessed two times 1.5 years apart (Kendler et al., 1993), and four times over a decade in adulthood (Kendler and Gardner, 2017). Studies on symptoms of depression and/or anxiety have found small or no changes in genetic risk factors during adulthood (Gillespie et al., 2004, Cerda et al., 2010, Nivard et al., 2015), but there seem to be genetic factors specific to childhood and adolescence (Kendler et al., 2008, Nivard et al., 2015, Waszczuk et al., 2016) and old age (Gillespie et al., 2004, Petkus et al., 2016).

Conflicting information exists about the temporal stability of the environmental risk factors for MDD. One view is that the effects of such risk factors rapidly decrease over time, disappearing in as short a time period as a single year (Kendler et al., 1993, Dunn et al., 2015), and that the environment is therefore not responsible for the longer-term stability of risk. In this view, the stability of MDD is entirely due to genetic factors, whereas environmental events produce variation around this ‘set point’. By contrast, a range of studies show that early severe adversities such as childhood sexual abuse can have enduring effects on the risk of MDD for decades (Hammen, 2005). Most such studies are genetically uninformative and therefore unable to determine to what extent
the environment contributes to stability. The major findings from twin studies concerning this has indicated no (Kendler et al., 1993, Torvik et al., 2017) or low (Kendler and Gardner, 2010, 2017) stability in environmental causes of MDD and symptoms of anxiety and depression in adulthood (Gillespie et al., 2004, Nivard et al., 2015, Waszczuk et al., 2016). These studies rely on self-reported symptoms, which include measurement error that can lead to underestimates of environmental stability. In addition, studies with long duration between follow-ups were not able to study short-term stability. The most informative study to date on this question (Kendler and Gardner, 2017) suggests that about 17% of the environmental influences on MDD in the last year in are stable over 8 years and the remainder is occasion-specific. Both clinical and molecular genetic work would benefit from a better understanding of the degree of stability of the genetic and environmental risk factors for MDD. This can be achieved if MDD is observed over a long-time window with assessments close in time.

The purpose of this study is to examine to what degree genetic and environmental influences on clinically assessed MDD are stable between age 18 and 45 by using a population based twin sample with continuously updated registry data from primary care.  

Methods

Sample

The data consist of registry based information on 11,727 Norwegian twins born between 1967 and 1991 who were recorded in the Norwegian Twin Registry. In total, 21,517 twins identified through the mandatory Norwegian Medical Birth Registry were invited to be part of the twin registry. Among these, 433 (2.0%) had unknown address, whereas 11,608 (53.9%) gave consent. In addition, 116 twins consented to registry linking without being permanent members of the registry, and 3 twins born abroad self-recruited. Individuals with possible schizophrenia or bipolar disorder (n=163) were excluded from the analyses. The analyzed sample thus consisted of 11,564 individuals (59.4% women). Zygosity was determined by a combination of questionnaire items and genotyping of a subsample. There were 1860 complete MZ and 2190 complete DZ twin pairs as well as 3445 single
twins with known zygosity. Using unique person-identification numbers assigned at birth, we linked the twin registry to demographic registries and treatment data from governmentally funded primary care for the years 2006-2015. As consent was gathered in 2016, there was no attrition. The twins were on average 27.7 years old in the beginning of 2006 (range 14-38), and 37.7 at the end of 2015.

**Ethics**

The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Measures**

**Primary care data.** All individuals who legally reside in Norway are members of the National Insurance Scheme and assigned a general practitioner. General practitioners and other health service providers, such as emergency rooms, send billing information to The Norwegian Health Economics Administration (Helfo) along with a diagnosis or reason for the visit in order to receive reimbursements. Due to economic incentives, it is unlikely that health visits go unreported.

Diagnostic information is coded according to the International Classification of Primary Care (ICPC-2) (World Organization of National Colleges Academies, 2005) and registered in the database Control and Payment of Health Reimbursements operated by the Norwegian Directorate of Health. The ICPC-2 contains both diagnoses and complaints. In this study, we analyze visits registered with the diagnosis ‘P76 - Depressive disorder’ as MDD. We have previously demonstrated that this diagnosis is strongly phenotypically and nearly fully genetically correlated both with diagnoses given in specialist care (F32 and F33) and with diagnoses from structured interviews (Torvik et al., 2018). Being registered at least once with either ‘P72 – Schizophrenia’ or ‘P73 Affective disorder’ (n=163) was used as exclusion criterion.

**Demographic data.** The data were linked to demographic information on educational attainment from The Norwegian Educational Database and information on income and marital status from The Tax Database, both databases operated by Statistics Norway. At the end of the
observational period (in 2015), 18.1% had master’s degree or equivalent, 40.4% had bachelor’s
degree or equivalent, 33.5% had completed high school, and 8.0% had primary education only.

**Statistical analyses**

We first described the associations of MDD with sex, age and educational attainment in
multiple logistic regression models, and then tested the association with income, marriage and
divorce adjusted for these variables. We did this in order to describe the sample and to test whether
MDD measured in the registries related to known characteristics of individuals with MDD.

We applied an accelerated longitudinal twin design to study the development of depression
from ages 18 to 45. In this design, each individual is followed for a limited amount of time, here 10
years, and where variation in individuals’ age across the sample permits an examination of
development over a longer period. In the current analyses, we analyzed the occurrence of MDD in
two-year windows from ages 18 to 45. As shown in Table S1, this resulted in 14 time intervals which
were scored ‘0’ if there were no MDD entries in the registry for that period or ‘1’ if there were one or
more MDD entries. We did not model MDD prior to age 18 or above age 45, due to the low number
of observations and differences relating to organization of child mental health services.

We modelled the genetic and environmental sources of individual differences in risk of MDD
within and across time by using multivariate twin analyses for binary data with different prevalences
(thresholds) for men and women at each age. Monozygotic (MZ) twins share all their genes and
dizygotic (DZ) twins share on average half of the genes that vary in the population. Utilizing this
difference, stability and change in depression can be ascribed to varying combinations of additive
genetic factors (A), shared environmental factors (C), and individual-specific or non-shared
environmental factors, which includes measurement error (E). For illustration, we consider a twin
pair where one member has MDD. If the stability between time-points is due to E factors alone, the
depressed twin will have an elevated risk of MDD at the next observation, but not the co-twin. If the
stability is due to C factors alone, both twins, regardless of their genetic relatedness, will have the
same elevated risk of future MDD as the initially depressed twin, and this is true for MZ and DZ twin
pairs alike. If, however, the stability is due to A factors alone, MZ co-twins are equally likely to be depressed at the next point in time, whereas DZ co-twins will have a less elevated risk due to sharing only half their genes.

We used the Cholesky decomposition to freely estimates of the correlations between genetic influences on MDD at the different ages, and similarly for the environmental influences (Neale and Cardon, 1992). We then applied a model that includes two processes: i) stable components of A, C, and E that influence all time points; and ii) auto-regressive components of A, C, and E, which make each observation in part dependent on the genetic and environmental factors active at the previous observation plus new variation. Thus, we can separate enduring individual set-point from temporary stability in each of the three biometric components. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the model and the Figure legend for a more detailed explanation. We compared this model to the Cholesky decomposition to test if it adequately represented the data. Simpler, more restricted variants of the model were then tested by removing specific paths from the model or setting several paths to equal. We restricted paths between adjacent time points to be equal in order to test whether the stability of MDD varied between life-phases. We then tested the presence of new genetic or shared environmental influences during the observational period by setting the effects of these to zero, and tested whether there were any auto-regression by setting the genetic and environmental path between adjacent time-points to zero. Finally, we tested the risk factors by setting these to zero. The models were fitted to raw, ordinal data using the OpenMx 2.7.16 package for R. The raw data method utilizes all data, from both complete and incomplete pairs, and allows estimating effects for the full age range, although each individual is observed for only 10 years. We used a threshold-liability model, which models ordinal categories as arising from estimated thresholds on an underlying normal distribution (Falconer, 1965). The twins in incomplete pairs are useful in estimating stability and change, but do not contribute towards the estimation of genetic and environmental factors. We determined goodness of fit using likelihood ratio chi-square tests and by
comparing the sample-size adjusted Bayesian information criterion (sBIC). By the principle of parsimony, models with the lowest sBIC were preferred (Sclove, 1987).

Results

In an average year, 1.8% of men and 4.2% of women were registered at least once with MDD, although as depicted in Figure 2, this varied by age. During the observational period of 10 years, 366 men (7.8%) and 1210 women (17.6%) were registered with at least one episode of MDD. We ran a series of multiple logistic regression analyses in order to test the associations between MDD and demographic characteristics. All of these analyses are adjusted for age, sex, and educational attainment. MDD was more common among women with an odds ratio (OR) of 2.71 (95% CI 2.39, 3.07), individuals with higher age with an OR of 1.02 (95% CI 1.01, 1.02) per year, and less common among individuals with higher educational attainment with an OR of 0.63 (95% CI 0.59, 0.67) per level of education. Being registered at least once with MDD was associated with an annual income loss of 75,000 Norwegian kroner (95% CI 63,000, 88,000) at the end of the observational period, which corresponds to 16.8% of the median income in the sample. MDD was also associated with a lower probability of being ever married (OR=0.76, 95% CI 0.67, 0.86) and a higher probability of divorce among those who married (OR=2.52, 95% CI 2.07, 3.06). Year of birth was not statistically significantly associated with MDD after adjustment for age and sex (OR = 1.02, 95% CI 0.99, 1.05). A demographic breakdown of the sample by zygosity is provided in supplemental Table S2.

The analyses of stability and change were based on two-year prevalence windows. The average phenotypic tetrachoric correlation of registered MDD between adjacent two-year prevalence windows was +0.75. Correspondingly, over 4, 6 and 8 years, the average correlation was respectively +0.60, +0.47, and +0.47. Thus, observations close in time have higher correlations than distant observations, but after some time, they seem to stabilize. A full phenotypic correlation matrix is provided in supplemental Table S3.

We first applied an unrestricted full correlational model (Cholesky decomposition) to estimate freely how A, C, and E contributed to MDD at each two-year prevalence window and the
correlations between MDD across age. Figure 3 shows the proportion of variance explained by A, C, and E factors in each two-year prevalence window. Averaged across all ages, genetic factors (A) accounted for 37.5% of the variation in MDD, shared environmental (C) factors for 8.4%, and individual-specific (E) environmental factors for 54.1%. All fit indices for the biometric modelling is provided in Table S4. Compared to the fully saturated Cholesky, the longitudinal model (Figure 1) had a better fit in terms of sBIC (ΔsBIC = -1314.55). We tested whether MDD was more stable in some life-phases than in others by testing if the paths between adjacent time points could be set to be constant across age for A, C and E, instead of estimating each path separately. This improved the model parsimony (ΔsBIC = -185.23). Next, we tested whether the genetic effects present at age 18 could explain the genetic risk at all subsequent observational windows, and similarly for shared environmental risk. A model without either novel genetic influences (‘genetic innovation’) or novel shared environmental effects provided the better fit (ΔsBIC=-129.18). We further tested if the influences of for A, C, and E were enduring and affected MDD at subsequent prevalence windows via the auto-regression. This process would describe A, C, or E effects that are still active over the next observational period, but not throughout the entire observational window. Such autoregressive models would be favored if influences on observations close in time were more strongly correlated than influences on distant observations. We found that removing the genetic effects between adjacent time points improved the model (ΔsBIC = -11.32), as did removal of the shared-environmental effects between adjacent time points (ΔsBIC = -10.24). However, removing the individual-specific effects between adjacent time points caused model fit to deteriorate (ΔsBIC = +219.62). In subsequent models the individual-specific environment is dependent on previous observations, whereas additive genetic and shared environmental effects are stable throughout the observational period. Finally, we tested whether there were stable risk factors for A, C, and E by setting each of these to zero. A stable genetic risk factor could not be removed from the model (ΔsBIC = +13.68), but the two stable environmental risk factors (C, E, and both) could be removed with a slight improvement in fit (ΔsBIC = -5.62, ΔsBIC = -5.73, and ΔsBIC = -11.48, respectively). This
implies that there are no influences of shared environment present in the model and that the shared
environmental influences in Figure 3 are not significant.

In the best fitting model, shown in Figure 4, the genetic factors are stable across time,
whereas the environment is individual-specific and changing at a constant rate. In this model, genetic
factors explain 38.0% of the variance in MDD at each time-point and account for all long-term
stability. Environmental factors correlate +0.60 over two years and +0.36 (0.60²) over four years.
New individual-specific environmental influences explain 39.5% of the variation in MDD at any given
point in time, whereas 22.5% of the variance is due to environmental influences from earlier time-
points.

Discussion

We examined a population-based twin sample with longitudinal information on clinically
assessed depression, and found that a simple developmental model best explained the genetic and
environmental structure of clinically assessed MDD from age 18 to 45. The model entails three
notable features: i) complete stability of genetic risk factors, ii) high stability of the individual-specific
environment over short periods of time, but minimal long-term environmental stability, and iii) no
significant effects of shared environment.

We found stable genetic influences in MDD between ages 18 and 45. Although previous
studies have not investigated genetic continuity in clinically assessed MDD over this long age span,
the findings are consistent with previous research on MDD over shorter time-periods (Kendler et al.,
1993, Kendler and Gardner, 2017, Torvik et al., 2017), and with research on symptoms of anxiety and
depression (Gillespie et al., 2004, Cerda et al., 2010, Nivard et al., 2015). This finding is important for
molecular genetic studies of MDD because they suggest that there are no age-related heterogeneity
from early to middle adulthood. One may therefore use heterogenous samples without worrying that
they might be identifying distinct genetic risk variants acting at different ages. This is unlike for
instance for alcohol use disorder, where changing genetic influences has been found during
adulthood (Long et al., 2017, Torvik et al., 2017). There are, however, indications that the genetic
effects for MDD could be different in childhood, early adolescence and old age (Gillespie et al., 2004, Nivard et al., 2015, Petkus et al., 2016, Waszczuk et al., 2016). Whereas we did not specifically study age at first onset, our results may seem to deviate from a molecular genetic study finding a locus associated with age at onset (Power et al., 2017). This potential discrepancy may be explained by our exclusion of individuals who developed schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, which were related to early onset MDD in the aforementioned study.

A fundamentally different mechanism emerged in the individual-specific environment, which had no stable component, but rather was explained by a combination of previous plus new or emergent environmental risks. This implies that events that increase risk for MDD at one point persist over time with their effects decreasing at an approximately the same rate throughout adulthood. Whereas the association is rather strong across short time-spans, and theoretically never fully disappears, it dissipates quickly, so that environmental factors relevant at one time point explain 62% of the variation at that time point, but only 10% of the total variation in MDD risk after 3.5 years, and only 1% after 8 years. These results are commensurate first with studies finding that depressive episodes predict future depressive episodes (Monroe and Harkness, 2005), even within MZ twin pairs (Kendler and Gardner, 2010), and second with findings of no or very low environmental stability after substantial time periods (Kendler et al., 1993, Kendler and Gardner, 2010, Torvik et al., 2017). Our evidence of stability in MDD is also in agreement with results from a large longitudinal, but not genetically informative Finnish cohort (Rosenstrom et al., 2013). The present study is in partial disagreement with a previous study finding 17% stability in environmental risk of MDD over 8 years (Kendler and Gardner, 2017), whereas our model implies an environmental stability of only 2% over a similar length of time. The reason for this discrepancy is not apparent, but we note that the present study had a larger sample size, covered a wider age-span, and included both men and women. In any case, these studies and others agree that the stability of risk of MDD over adult life is largely of genetic origin (Burcusa and Iacono, 2007). Our estimate could be interpreted as an average of the durability of life-events, some inducing a risk over shorter and some
over longer time spans. We did not detect effects of permanent environmental scarring from severe
events, modelled as environmental effects operating throughout the observational period.

Environmental factors shared between twins did not have any significant occasion specific or
long-term effects. Behavioral genetic studies have previously found shared environmental influences
on depression in childhood, but these become less relevant in adulthood (Bergen et al., 2007, Lamb
et al., 2010). Whereas we cannot rule out that long-term environmental effects exist and are relevant
for certain individuals with particularly severe life-events, whether shared or individual-specific, they
were not especially important in explaining adult MDD in our sample. As a rule, environmental
exposures does not seem to change permanently a person’s risk of depression. The findings
underline the importance of helping depressed individuals improve their current and future
environment. In clinical settings, psychotherapy emphasizing modification of the current
environment could be more effective than approaches aimed at understanding past events.

Limitations

The present study has several notable advantages, such as a large, genetically informative,
population-based twin sample, with longitudinal clinical data from primary care. Nevertheless, some
limitations are noteworthy: First, the sample was based on voluntary participation, and thus subject
to nonresponse and possibly associated biases. However, we did not have any attrition after
baseline. Second, we only had available data on cases of MDD clinically diagnosed in primary care.
Therefore, we could not study sub-clinical levels of depression, individual symptoms, or other
conceptualizations of depression. Third, we relied on registry data with diagnostic information based
on reimbursement claims from treating physicians in primary care. This implies that in order to be
registered, individuals must have sought treatment and received the diagnosis of MDD. Previous
research indicate that approximately half of depressed individuals receive treatment in high-income
countries (Thornicroft et al., 2017). One could therefore fear that the health registries are likely to
miss many true cases and that the results are not generalizable to depression in general. However,
we have previously shown that MDD registered in primary care has a genetic correlation of around
0.80 with both MDD in specialist care and with MDD assessed with structured diagnostic interviews (Torvik et al., 2018). In addition, we found a prevalence similar to major international (Kessler et al., 2005, de Graaf et al., 2012, Hasin and Grant, 2015) and previous Norwegian epidemiological studies (Kringlen et al., 2001, 2006), a narrow-sense heritability close to the one reported in a meta-analysis (Sullivan et al., 2000), and that MDD was associated with expected demographic characteristics (female sex, lower education, lower income, divorce, and single marital status). These observations provide strong indications that the results are representative for individuals with depression. Fourth, it was not feasible to longitudinally model sex differences other than in prevalence, however, univariate analyses on MDD across all time-points suggest no genetic sex differences in our data ($\Delta$-2LL = 2.64, $\Delta$df = 3, $p = 0.451$).

Conclusion

The genetic and the environmental components of clinically assessed MDD exhibit fundamentally different structures. The genetic component is stable over almost 30 years from ages 18 to 45. Therefore, molecular genetic studies may use variable adult age samples to identify genetic risk variants of MDD without introducing genetic heterogeneity in their analyses. The environmental risk factors for MDD were stable over a short span of years with effects rapidly decreasing. We did not detect effects of permanent environmental scarring, as virtually all long-term stability was due to genetic factors. Long-term environmental effects therefore do not seem to be important in explaining MDD at the population level.
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Figure 1. The longitudinal model of major depressive disorder (MDD) in primary care from age 18 to 45 in two year prevalence windows. The environmental variation in risk of MDD (upper part) consists of three parts: i) a latent factor common to all time points \( (L_e) \), ii) new variation \( (e_t) \), and iii) effects from previous time points transmitted via the auto-regression \( (b_{e_{t-1},t}) \). The genetic variation in risk of MDD (lower part) has the same structure. Parallel structures were also modelled for shared environmental influences, for simplicity not shown in this figure.
Figure 2. One-year prevalence of major depressive disorder (MDD) in primary care among women (red), men (blue), and total (black) in %, by age. Grey line represents the relative amount of available data at each age.
Figure 3. Relative contributions of genetic (A; red), shared environmental (C; green) and individual-specific environment (E; blue) to MDD in primary care by age. Results from Cholesky decomposition.

As the data were binary, the variance is fixed to unity.
Figure 4. Best fitting longitudinal model of MDD in primary care.
Continuity of genetic and environmental influences on clinically assessed major depression from ages 18 to 45


Table S1. Number of observations in each two-year age bin by birth year, excluding individuals with at least one registered entry of bipolar disorder or schizophrenia.

Table S2. Description of the sample by zygosity.

Table S3. Phenotypic tetrachoric pairwise correlations by age.

Table S4. Results from biometric structural equation model fitting.
Table S1. Number of observations in each two-year age bin by birth year, excluding individuals with at least one registered entry of bipolar disorder or schizophrenia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>2692</td>
<td>3564</td>
<td>3975</td>
<td>3821</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>3505</td>
<td>3569</td>
<td>3804</td>
<td>4191</td>
<td>4715</td>
<td>4501</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>1204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Description of the sample by zygosity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monozygotic</th>
<th>Dizygotic</th>
<th>Dizygotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1845</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2795</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1708</td>
<td>2288</td>
<td>1301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1616</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: MDD = Major depressive disorder. Educational attainment is coded according to the following categories: 1 = primary education only; 2 = completed high school; 3 = bachelor’s degree or equivalent; 4 = master’s degree or equivalent.
### Table S3. Phenotypic tetrachoric pairwise correlations by age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>26.02</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.04</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>36.01</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>38.03</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40.02</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>42.03</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>44.04</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S4. Results from biometric structural equation model fitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>ep</th>
<th>Δ-2LL</th>
<th>Δdf</th>
<th>sBIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Full correlational Cholesky</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17495.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Full longitudinal model*</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>42.36</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>16181.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>All beta A equal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16116.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>All beta C equal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16118.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>All beta E equal</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16121.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>All beta A, C, and E equal</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21.56</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15995.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No A innovation</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15928.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No C innovation</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15924.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No A or C innovation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15866.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>No A auto-regression</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15855.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>No C auto-regression</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15856.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>No E auto-regression</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>225.37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16086.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>No A or C auto-regression*</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15849.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>No time-invariant A</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15862.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>No time-invariant C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15843.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>No time-invariant E</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15843.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>No time-invariant C or E**</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15837.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All models compared to best model in previous step. * best fitting model in step. ** overall best fitting model. ep = estimates parameters