To cite: Amberntsson A.

Papadopoulou E. Winkvist A.

and BMI during pregnancy

in relation to child's growth

to 8 years: a large national

cohort study. BMJ Open

bmjopen-2021-048980

and weight status from birth

2021;11:e048980. doi:10.1136/

Prepublication history and

for this paper are available

online. To view these files,

(http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/

Received 12 January 2021

Accepted 20 September 2021

Check for updates

C Author(s) (or their

Published by BMJ.

employer(s)) 2021. Re-use

¹Institute of Medicine, University

²Norwegian Institute of Public

permitted under CC BY.

of Gothenburg, Goteborg,

Health, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to

Anna Amberntsson:

anna.amberntsson@gu.se

bmjopen-2021-048980).

please visit the journal online

additional supplemental material

et al. Maternal vitamin D intake

BMJ Open Maternal vitamin D intake and BMI during pregnancy in relation to child's growth and weight status from birth to 8 years: a large national cohort study

Anna Amberntsson ^(D),¹ Eleni Papadopoulou,² Anna Winkvist,¹ Lauren Lissner,¹ Helle Margrete Meltzer,² Anne Lise Brantsaeter ^(D),² Hanna Augustin¹

ABSTRACT

Objectives To examine the associations between maternal vitamin D intake and childhood growth and risk of overweight up to 8 years. We further examined the effect modification by maternal prepregnancy body mass index (BMI).

Design Prospective population-based pregnancy cohort study.

Setting The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study.

Participants In total, 58 724 mothers and 66 840 singleton children, with information on maternal vitamin D intake during the pregnancy and minimum one postnatal anthropometric measurement.

Outcome measures Predicted weight and height growth trajectories and velocities from 1 month to 8 years, rapid growth during infancy and toddlerhood, and risk of overweight in preschool and school age.

Results Overall, maternal vitamin D intake was associated with lower weight trajectory, lower odds of rapid weight growth and higher odds of childhood overweight. In children of mothers with prepregnancy normal weight, maternal vitamin D intake was negatively associated with weight trajectory and lower OR of a rapid weight growth during the first year, compared with reference (<5 µg/day). Children of mothers with normal weight, with maternal vitamin D intakes of 10-15 and >15 µg/day, also had 0.86 (95% Cl 0.77 to 0.97) and 0.88 (95% CI 0.79 to 0.99) lower odds for overweight at 3 years, compared with reference. In contrast, in children of mothers with prepregnancy overweight (BMI ≥25 kg/ m²), vitamin D intake was positively associated with weight trajectory. Children of mothers with overweight, with maternal vitamin D intake of 5-9.9 µg/day, also had (1.09 (95% CI 1.01 to 1.18) and 1.12 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.23)) higher odds for overweight at 5 years and 8 years, compared with reference.

Conclusions Maternal vitamin D intake affects postnatal growth and is inversely associated with childhood overweight in children of mothers with normal weight. Associations between maternal vitamin D intake and child growth and risk of overweight varied by prepregnancy BMI.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between maternal vitamin D intake during the pregnancy and postnatal growth.
- The large, nationwide study population is a major strength.
- Maternal vitamin D intake was estimated from both foods and supplements by a validated Food Frequency Questionnaire.
- A limitation is self-reported maternal data and parental-reported growth data of the child

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D has received much attention over the last few decades and its role in human physiology seems to extend beyond bone health.¹ The human skin can synthesise a precursor of the vitamin when exposed to ultra violet-B rays of wavelength 290–315 nm.² At northern latitudes, this only occurs from April to October. Therefore, intake of vitamin D from foods and supplements becomes important during winter months in many countries, including the Nordics.³ Vitamin D occurs naturally in a few foods, such as fatty fish, but is presently added to many fortified foods in the form of vitamin D_{a} ² The more uncommon form vitamin D_{a} is only present in some plants and mushrooms.⁴ Synthesised or ingested vitamin D (vitamin D_a and vitamin D_{0}) is hydroxylated in the liver to 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD), an established blood biomarker of vitamin D status. Further, 25OHD undergoes another hydroxylation primarily in the kidneys to form the physiologically active 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25OH_oD).⁴ The circulating concentration of 25OHD has shown to be inversely associated with body mass index (BMI), suggesting that individuals with obesity have a decreased bioavailability of vitamin D.⁵

BMJ

Sweden

Worldwide, the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency (here defined as 25OHD<50nmol/L) is high among pregnant women.⁶ In some regions, such as South-East Asia and Western Pacific, the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency were as high as 80%.⁶ In contrast, in Europe and Eastern Mediterranean, the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency were 57% and 46%, respectively.⁶ In the Nordic countries, up to 28% of the pregnant fair-skinned European women have vitamin D insufficiency, but among non-fair skinned European women living in the Nordic countries, the prevalence is rising between 69% and 82%.⁷⁻⁹ Therefore, on a population level, vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency in pregnancy are a relevant public health issue affecting the future generations. Pregnancy is a critical period where fetal exposure to poor conditions can affect later outcomes in the offspring.¹⁰¹¹ Maternal vitamin D status, assessed as serum or plasma 25OHD concentrations, has been suggested as a potential modifiable early-life risk factor for development of childhood obesity.¹² Early rapid growth can increase the risk for childhood obesity, and both conditions are associated with several comorbidities in both childhood and adulthood, and is likely to follow the child through life.^{13–16} In 2018, globally the prevalence of children with overweight and obesity under 5 years of age had risen to 40 million.¹⁷

Vitamin D contributes to the embryo implantation and is also important for placental function.¹⁸ The maternal 25OHD easily passes the placenta and is further hydroxylated into 1,25OH_aD by the fetal kidneys, providing the fetus with vitamin D.¹⁹ Vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy increases risk of developing rickets in the child.² Maternal vitamin D deficiency has also been associated with several other adverse neonatal outcomes, such as small for gestational age.²¹ Associations have been found between low maternal vitamin D status and an increased risk of the child being born small for gestational age and with a lower birth weight,²² as well as accelerated growth in weight and height during infancy.²³ Maternal vitamin D status has also been inversely associated with childhood adiposity at 4 and 6 years²⁴ and childhood insulin resistance at 9.5 years.²⁵ Further, associations of an increased risk of childhood overweight at 12 months of age was seen in children of mothers with low vitamin D status during the pregnancy.^{26 27} However, some studies have found opposite results, that is, that poor maternal vitamin D status was associated with reduced child growth,²⁸ while others have reported null associations with childhood adiposity^{25 29 30} and growth.^{26 29} Even though the vitamin D intake from food and supplements is a determinant of vitamin D status, the role of maternal vitamin D intake during pregnancy has been less studied and the limited evidence regarding fetal growth is discordant.^{31 32} Associations between maternal vitamin D intake in pregnancy and postnatal growth and overweight have not yet been explored. Since vitamin D intake affects vitamin D status,⁴ and due to the decreased bioavailability of vitamin D in obesity, it is possible that such an association could be modified by maternal prepregnancy BMI.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-048980 on 1 October 2021. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on December 14, 2021 at Helsebiblioteket gir deg tilgang til BMJ. Protected by copyright.

Our objectives were to assess the associations between maternal vitamin D intake during the pregnancy and childhood weight and height growth trajectories and velocities, rapid growth and risk of overweight up to 8 years, in a large, prospective pregnancy cohort study. Further, we aimed to examine maternal prepregnancy BMI as an effect modifier.

METHOD

Study population

Our study draws resources from the Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study (MoBa), a populationbased pregnancy cohort study conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH).³³ Participants were recruited from all over Norway during 1999-2008 and invited at the time of their first ultrasound scanning. The women consented to participation in 41% of the pregnancies. The study now includes 95 200 mothers, 75 200 fathers and 114 500 children. The current study is based on version 12 of the quality-assured data files released for research in January 2019. The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection was based on a license from the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and approval from The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics. The MoBa cohort is now based on regulations related to the Norwegian Health Registry Act.

Questionnaires were sent out to the participating women in pregnancy week 15, week 22 and week 30. The questionnaires in week 15 and 30 requested background information, such as education and parity, whereas the questionnaire in week 22 was a Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). After delivery, follow-up of the participants by questionnaires was conducted at six different time points; 6 months, 18 months, 36 months, 5 years, 7 years and 8 years.^{33 34} Participants in MoBa received all questionnaires in Norwegian, and lack of language skills was the only exclusion criterion. However, the questionnaires are now available in English translation on the NIPH website.³⁵ The MoBa database is linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway, which is a national health registry containing information about all births in Norway.³⁶

Exclusion criteria for this study were stillbirth, multiple gestation, malformation and chromosomal abnormalities. Of the eligible 105 344 mother–child pairs, further exclusion was done due to no available data on vitamin D intake during pregnancy, child's gender and birth weight and length, and implausible maternal energy intakes (<1075 kcal and >4780 kcal per day).³⁷ The final study population included 66 840 mother-child pairs (58 724 mothers and 66 840 children) with available information on all covariates and at least one postnatal measurement on weight and length/height. There are more children than mothers because 22 039 of the children were siblings. The retention rate of our study population by follow-up is presented in online supplemental table 1.

Patient and public involvement

Neither patients nor the public were involved in the design and conduct of this study. The cohort is ongoing and information about research projects and results from MoBa are available to participants, researchers and the public on the NIPH website.³⁸

Maternal vitamin D intake

Maternal diet during pregnancy was assessed by a validated semiquantitative FFQ, during gestational week 22. This FFQ was used in the MoBa study from the year 2002 and onwards.³⁷ Pregnant women were asked to report how often they consumed 225 different food and beverage items and their supplement use since becoming pregnant. The FFQ was tailored to capture dietary habits and supplement use during the first half of pregnancy. Of all the participating women in MoBa, 93% answered the FFQ.³⁷ A validation study in a subset of 119 MoBa participants demonstrated satisfactory validity for FFQ intakes and fair ability of the FFQ to rank individuals according to food, energy and nutrient intakes using a 4-day weighed food dairy and biological markers of food intake as reference methods.^{39 40} The results showed that vitamin D supplement use was the dominant predictor of plasma 25OHD, whereas vitamin D from foods was not associated with 25OHD. The majority of participants were recruited in the months with low sun exposure (October to April), but even within this range seasonal variation in plasma 25OHD could be observed.⁴⁰ The Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between maternal vitamin D intake and plasma 25OHD concentration was r=0.32 (p<0.01) for all participants in the validation study (n=119) and r=0.45 (p<0.01) when only individuals recruited during October to April (n=81) were included.⁴⁰ Total vitamin D (from foods and supplements), vitamin D from foods alone and vitamin D from supplements alone were estimated from the FFQ and used in our study.

Maternal vitamin D intake was categorised according to national recommended intakes at the time of MoBa recruitment as well as according to current recommendations.⁴¹ Total vitamin D intake (from foods and supplements), and vitamin D intake from supplements alone, were categorised into; $<5 \mu g/day$, 5–9.9 $\mu g/day$, 10–15 $\mu g/day$ and $>15 \mu g/day$. The categories for vitamin D intake from foods alone were: $<2.5 \mu g/day$, 2.5–4.9 $\mu g/day$, 5.0–7.5 $\mu g/day$ and $>7.5 \mu g/day$.

Childhood anthropometry

Measurements of weight and height and the dates of the measurements of the children were obtained at eleven ages (6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 8 months and 1 year, 1.5 years, 2 years, 3 years, 5 years, 7 years and 8 years) by six questionnaires. During the first 18 months postpartum, the mothers were asked to report the child's documented anthropometric measures and dates from the health card, but no specification was made for measurements onwards. There were on average seven reported measurements of weight and height,

respectively, per child. From the available reported growth measurements, individual growth trajectories for weight and height were predicted using the Jenss-Bayley growth curve model^{42 43} with the Saemix algorithm⁴³ applied. Hence, the predicted measures of weight and height were obtained on the dates of the reported anthropometrics. Implausible values of the reported anthropometrics were identified and removed if they deviated \geq 3 SD from the predicted value, derived from the growth curve model. About 3% (n=50 331) of the weight and height values were excluded as implausible.

Outcomes

After exclusion of implausible reported anthropometric values, individual growth trajectories of weight and height, as well as weight growth velocity (g/month) and height growth velocity (cm/month) were predicted at nine different age points (1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years, 2 years, 3 years, 5 years and 8 years). The trajectories and velocities were predicted at the exact age points (the exact day the child turned 1 month, 3 months, etc), separately for girls and boys, in order to compensate for the loss to follow-up of anthropometric measures. By not including birth weight and length in the models of growth, the effect of maternal vitamin D intake on growth could be assessed independently of its potential effect on birth size, ^{31 32} as birth size might influence the estimated growth trajectories.

Rapid weight and height growth in infancy and toddlerhood (up to 2 years) were evaluated using conditional growth modelling, an approach to obtain growth measures of weight and height independent of their prior measurements.⁴⁴ Reported anthropometrics were used for the calculation of conditional weight and height at 12 months and at 2 years. Standardised residuals were calculated by a regression of the anthropometric measure of interest, adjusting for its prior measurements. Rapid weight or height growth were defined as the residual deviating >1 SD from the expected value. All other children were defined as non-rapid growers and were used as the reference.

Children's BMI values were calculated at preschool age (3 years and 5 years) and school age (8 years) using the predicted values from the growth model. By using the extended International Obesity Task Force gender-specific cut offs,⁴⁵ overweight and obesity were defined. The outcome childhood overweight includes obesity (further denoted as overweight). The BMI cut-offs used for categorisation at the different ages are presented in online supplemental table 2.

Statistical analysis

Differences in characteristics of the study population by categories of maternal vitamin D intake were investigated using χ^2 test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. The association between maternal vitamin D intake and predicted weight and height growth trajectories and velocities at the nine time

points were examined by multilevel mixed effects linear regression model, with a random intercept by child and random slope for age. The covariates were included as fixed in the mixed effect models. Logistic regression was used to investigate the association between total maternal vitamin D intake and the risk to experience rapid growth in infancy and toddlerhood, and risk of overweight in preschool and school ages. The lowest categories of maternal vitamin D intake and for supplementary intake) <5 μ g/day, and for food intake <2.5 μ g/day. Our primary exposure was total maternal vitamin D intake and in secondary analyses, we examined intakes from food and supplements separately.

By drawing a directed acyclic graph, hypotheses about the causal paths were set and potential confounders identified (online supplemental figure 1). Based on the pathways in the graph, models were adjusted for maternal education as a proxy for socioeconomic position, parity, maternal milk and yoghurt intake, maternal fibre intake, maternal prepregnancy BMI and child's gender. Maternal age, maternal energy intake and birth weight were also considered but not included in the final models, as these variables did not change the estimated effect. Since some mothers participated in more than one motherchild pair, all regression models were also adjusted for random effects of sibling clusters. Potential interaction variables tested were maternal prepregnancy BMI (in kg/ m²)^{46 47} and birth weight.^{32 48} Interaction were considered if p<0.100 for the included interaction variable. The only significant interaction variable was prepregnancy BMI (p<0.001). Therefore, results are presented for all mothers, and separately for children of mothers with prepregnancy BMI $18.5-24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (further denoted as mothers with normal weight), and for children of mothers with prepregnancy BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (further denoted as mothers with overweight). Maternal age was divided into four categories (<20, 20–29, 30–39, ≥40 years). Maternal education was divided into three categories (<13, 13-16 and >16 years). Parity was categorised as primiparous or multiparous, for women without and with children from previous than the index pregnancies, respectively. Maternal prepregnancy BMI was divided into four categories (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9 and \geq 30 kg/m²), according to WHO.⁴⁹ Smoking during pregnancy was categorised as never and ever.

Three sensitivity analyses were performed: (1) using the reported measures of weight and length/height instead of the predicted anthropometrics, (2) after excluding mothers with total vitamin D intakes >90th percentile (18.8 μ g/day), (3) adjustment for birth weight in the childhood overweight models.

As an approach to estimate causal effects, discordant sibling analysis was conducted, with the purpose to control for unmeasured familial confounding.^{50 51} In total, there were 22 039 siblings identified in the study subpopulation. For all women who participated with more than one pregnancy, mean maternal total vitamin D intake by

family was calculated, as well as each sibling's deviation from its family mean. A cut-off at $5 \mu g/day$ was used, as this corresponds to the range of the maternal vitamin D categories. Discordant siblings were defined as having a maternal vitamin D intake deviating more than, or less than the cut-off from its family mean. Finally, weight and height growth trajectories, and the risk of overweight at 3, 5 and 8 years, were compared between siblings categorised as discordant (n=2346). The sibling in the lower vitamin D category was used as a reference.

The main analyses were performed using Stata V.16 statistical software (Stata), and RStudio V.1.2.5042 was used for the growth models.

RESULTS

Maternal characteristics and vitamin D intake

For mothers taking a vitamin D supplement, the supplement contributed overall with 68% of the total vitamin D intake. Total maternal vitamin D intake was positively associated with maternal age, education, vitamin D supplement use in pregnancy, gestational weight gain and maternal energy intake and negatively associated with parity, prepregnancy BMI and maternal smoking in pregnancy (table 1). In 31% of the pregnancies, the mother had a BMI \geq 25 kg/m². The median (25th-75th centiles) total vitamin D intake from foods and supplements was $7.2 \,\mu\text{g/day}$ (4.2–12.1 $\mu\text{g/day}$) for the included participants and $6.7 \mu g/day$ (3.9–11.7 $\mu g/day$) for the excluded group (n=13 826, p<0.001) (data not shown). The median vitamin D intake from foods alone was $3.1 \,\mu\text{g/day}$ (2.0–4.4 $\mu\text{g/day}$) for the included participants and $3.1 \mu g/day$ (2–4.5 $\mu g/day$) for the excluded group, with available information on vitamin D intake (n=16 872 mothers, p=0.589).

Total maternal vitamin D intake during pregnancy and childhood growth

Weight and height growth trajectories and velocities from 1 month to 8 years

Overall, total maternal vitamin D intake was negatively associated with child weight growth from 1 month to 8 years for intakes $\geq 10 \,\mu g/day$, compared with low intakes ($<5\mu g/day$, reference group) (tables 2 and 3). Prepregnancy BMI modified the association between the exposure and the outcome (p value for interaction <0.001). More specifically, among mothers with normal prepregnancy BMI, we found that higher maternal vitamin D intake was negatively associated with child's weight, especially during the first 3 months, compared with low intakes (tables 2 and 3). The negative association with child's weight persisted for intakes of $10-15 \,\mu\text{g/day}$ and for up to 2 years, while no further reduction in weight was observed for higher intakes and after 3 months (> $15 \mu g/day$). Similar negative associations with weight from 3 months to 2 years were found when we examined maternal vitamin D intake from supplements only (online supplemental tables 3 and 4). In addition, maternal vitamin D intakes of $10-15 \mu g/day$

N=21 748 N	Vitamin D intake <5µg/day	Vitamin D inta lay 5–9.9µg/day	ntake y	Vitamin D intake 10–15 µg/day	ake	Vitamin D intake >15 µg/day	take	AII		
I	748	I I		N=11 143		N=11 231		N=66 840		
	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	- P value*
iniaternal age (years)										<0.001
<20 15	194 0.9	135	0.6	83	0.8	82	0.7	494	0.7	
20–29 9566	36 44	9740	42.9	4684	42.0	4415	39.3	28 405	42.5	
30–39 11 622	2 53.4	12 449	54.9	6120	54.9	6429	57.3	36 620	54.8	
≥40 36	366 1.7	394	2.3	256	2.3	305	2.7	1321	2.0	
Maternal education (years)										
<13 7480	30 34.4	6371	28.1	2923	26.2	2822	25.1	19 596	29.3	<0.001
13–16 9238	38 42.5	10 138	44.6	4954	44.5	4899	43.6	29 229	43.7	
>16 5030	30 23.1	6209	27.3	3266	29.3	3510	31.3	18 015	27.0	
Parity										<0.001
Primiparous 8733	33 40.2	10 546	46.4	5469	49.1	5677	50.6	30 425	45.5	
Multiparious 13 015	5 59.8	12 172	53.6	5674	50.9	5554	49.4	36 415	54.5	
Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)										<0.001
<18.5 50	534 2.5	685	S	336	с	358	3.2	1913	2.9	
18.5–24.9 13 320	0 61.2	15 087	66.4	7599	68.2	7974	71	43 980	65.8	
25–29.9 5385	35 24.8	4870	21.4	2273	20.4	2152	19.2	14 680	22	
≥30 2509	11.5	2076	9.1	935	8.4	747	6.7	6267	9.4	
Maternal smoking in pregnancy										<0.001
Never 17 759	9 90.7	19 278	92.7	9643	93.8	9707	93.8	56 387	92.4	
Ever 1826	26 9.3	1525	7.3	640	6.2	648	6.2	4628	7.6	
Maternal vitamin D supplement 9134 use	34 42	20 446	06	11 031	66	11 175	99.5	51 667	77.3	<0.001
Child's gender										0.198
Girls 10 711	1 49.3	11 122	49	5385	48.3	5412	48.2	32 630	48.8	
Boys 11 037	7 50.7	11 596	51	5758	51.7	5819	51.8	34 210	51.2	
Median	an Range†	Median	Range†	Median	Range†	Median	Range†	Median	Range†	
Total maternal vitamin D intake (µg/day)	3.3 1.1–4.8	7.1	5.2-9.7	12.0	10.2–14.6	19.8	15.4–33.6	7.2	1.8–23.0	<0.001
Gestational age (weeks)	40.1 37.3-42.1	40.1	37.3–42.1	40.3	37.3–42.1	40.3	37.3-42.1	40.1	37.3-42.1	0.224
Gestational weight gain (kg)	14.2 5–25	14.5	6–25	14.4	6–25	15.0	6–25	14.5	6–25	<0.001
Birth weight (g) 3630	30 2780-4480	3620	2780-4470	3620	2800-4430	3620	2780-4460	3620	2780-4464	<0.001
Maternal energy intake (kcal) 2092	32 1384–3166	3 2261	1491–3462	2321	1521–3558	2324	1527–3631	2222	1457–3436	<0.001

2

Ope<u>n access</u>

Maternal vitamin D Imonth 3 intake Beta (95% CI) Beta All mothers n=66 840 Beta (95% CI) Beta Weight (g) 59.9 (g) 99.3 $5 - 9.9 g$ -9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2) -9.3 $5 - 9.9 g$ -9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2) -9.3 $5 - 9.9 g$ -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) $-15.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) $-15.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) $-15.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04) -0.0 $10 - 15 \mu g$ -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.07) -0.0 $10 - 15 \mu g$ -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03) -0.0 $10 - 15 \mu g$ -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03) -0.0 $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) $-15.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) $-16.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) $-16.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.5 (-28.3 to 2.1) $-16.$ $10 - 15 \mu g$ -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) $-16.$	3 months Beta (95% CI) -9.2 (-19.9 to 0.6) -9.3 (-21.3 to 2.8) -15.4 (-27.6 to -3.3) -15.4 (-27.6 to -3.3) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03)	6 months Beta (95% Cl) -8.8 (-19.2 to 1.5) -11.7 (-24.5 to 1.0) -16.6 (-29.5 to -3.8) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	12 months Beta (95% CI) -8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	18 months Beta (95% CI) -7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	2 years Beta (95% CI) -6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -2.3.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.02 (-0.11 to 0.01)
Beta (95% Cl) s n=66 840 $-9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2)$ $-7.6 (-19.5 to 4.3)$ $-7.6 (-19.5 to 4.3)$ $-14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7)$ $-14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7)$ $-0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04)$ $-0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01)$ $-0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03)$ $-11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6)$ $-14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2)$ $-14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.003)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.003)$ $-0.05 (-0.12 to 0.02)$	a (95% CI) 2 (-19.9 to 0.6) 3 (-21.3 to 2.8) 3 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		Beta (95% CI) -8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -19.0 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	Beta (95% CI) -7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -21.1 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	Beta (95% CI) -6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
s n=66 840) -9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2) -7.6 (-19.5 to 4.3) -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) 0.001 (-0.07 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03) 11h normal weight* n=41 970 -11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6) -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03) 11h overweight† n=20 080	2 (-19.9 to 0.6) 3 (-21.3 to 2.8) .4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -21.4 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
 9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2) -9.4 (-19.5 to 4.3) -7.6 (-19.5 to 4.3) -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.03) th normal weight* n=41 970 11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6) -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04) -0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03) -0.05 (-0.12 to 0.02) th overweight† n=20 080 	2 (-19.9 to 0.6) 3 (-21.3 to 2.8) .4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
) -9.4 (-19.1 to 0.2) -7.6 (-19.5 to 4.3) -14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03) ith normal weight* n=41 970 -11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6) -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -15.2 (-0.08 to 0.04) -0.07 (-0.14 to 0.003) ith overweight† n=20 080	2 (-19.9 to 0.6) 3 (-21.3 to 2.8) .4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
$\begin{array}{c} -9.4 \ (-19.1 \ to \ 0.2) \\ -7.6 \ (-19.5 \ to \ 4.3) \\ -14.7 \ (-26.7 \ to \ -2.7) \\ -14.7 \ (-26.7 \ to \ -2.7) \\ \end{array}$	2 (-19.9 to 0.6) 3 (-21.3 to 2.8) 3.4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-8.1 (-20.7 to 4.4) -16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-7.4 (-23.1 to 8.3) -21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-6.7 (-26.0 to 12.5) -26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
$-7.6 (-19.5 \text{ to } 4.3) \\ -14.7 (-26.7 \text{ to } -2.7) \\ -14.7 (-26.7 \text{ to } -2.7) \\ -0.01 (-0.07 \text{ to } 0.04) \\ -0.04 (-0.11 \text{ to } 0.01) \\ -0.04 (-0.10 \text{ to } 0.03) \\ -0.04 (-0.10 \text{ to } 0.03) \\ -11.5 (-27.4 \text{ to } 0.6) \\ -14.5 (-27.7 \text{ to } 1.2) \\ -14.5 (-28.3 \text{ to } 2.1) \\ -15.2 (-28.3 \text{ to } 2.1) \\ -0.02 (-0.08 \text{ to } 0.04) \\ -0.07 (-0.14 \text{ to } 0.003) \\ -0.05 (-0.12 \text{ to } 0.02) \\ \end{array}$	3 (-21.3 to 2.8) .4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-16.7 (-32.2 to -1.2) -19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-21.6 (-40.9 to -2.3) -21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-26.6 (-50.3 to -2.9) -23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
-14.7 (-26.7 to -2.7) $-0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04)$ $-0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01)$ $-0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03)$ $-0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03)$ $-11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6)$ $-11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6)$ $-14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1)$ $-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03)$ $-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.03)$ $-0.05 (-0.12 to 0.02)$.4 (-27.6 to -3.3) 01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-19.0 (-34.5 to -3.5) -0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-21.4 (-40.7 to -2.1) -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-23.7 (-47.4 to 0.1) -0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
) -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.04 (-0.10 to 0.03) ith normal weight* n=41 970 -11.5 (-22.4 to 0.6) -14.5 (-27.7 to 1.2) -14.5 (-28.3 to 2.1) -15.2 (-28.3 to 2.1) -15.2 (-0.08 to 0.04) -0.07 (-0.14 to 0.003) -0.07 (-0.12 to 0.02) ith overweight† n=20 080	01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
07 to 0.04) 11 to 0.01) 10 to 0.03) 70 2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
07 to 0.04) 11 to 0.01) 10 to 0.03) 770 2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	01 (-0.06 to 0.04) 05 (-0.11 to 0.01) 04 (-0.10 to 0.03)		-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04) -0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-0.01 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-0.001 (-0.05 to 0.04) -0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
11 to 0.01) 10 to 0.03) 70 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)			-0.05 (-0.11 to 0.003) -0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-0.06 (-0.11 to 0.002) -0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01) -0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
10 to 0.03) 70 2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)			-0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)	-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.03)	-0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)
70 2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
2.4 to 0.6) 7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
7.7 to 1.2) 3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	-10.9 (-22.0 to 0.14)	-10.1 (-21.8 to 1.6)	-8.4 (-22.5 to 5.8)	-6.7 (-24.3 to 10.9)	-5.0 (-26.6 to 16.6)
3.3 to 2.1) 08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	–15.7 (–29.1 to 2.3)	-17.5 (-31.7 to 3.3)	–21.1 (–38.2 to 4.0)	–24.7 (–46.0 to 3.5)	–28.4 (–54.5 to 2.3)
08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	–14.5 (–27.8 to 1.2)	-13.5 (-27.5 to 0.6)	-11.4 (-28.3 to 5.5)	-9.3 (-30.4 to 11.7)	-7.3 (-33.1 to 18.5)
08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
08 to 0.04) 14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)					
14 to 0.003) 12 to 0.02)	-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04)	-0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)	-0.02 (-0.07 to 0.04)	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04)	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.04)
12 to 0.02)	-0.07 (-0.14 to 0.005)	-0.08 (-0.14 to 0.01)	-0.08 (-0.15 to 0.02)	-0.09 (-0.15 to 0.03)	-0.09 (-0.15 to 0.03)
Aothers with overweight† n=20 080	-0.05 (-0.12 to 0.02)	-0.05 (-0.11 to 0.02)	-0.04 (-0.11 to 0.02)	-0.04 (-0.09 to 0.02)	-0.03 (-0.09 to 0.03)
Weight (g)					
<5 µg (ref)					
5–9.9µg –5.5 (–19.8 to 8.8) –1.4	-1.4 (-15.9 to 13.1)	4.7 (–10.6 to 20.0)	16.9 (–1.6 to 35.4)	29.2 (6.1 to 52.2)	41.4 (13.1 to 69.7)
10–15µg 10.3 (–8.0 to 28.6) 14.4	14.4 (-4.1 to 33.0)	20.6 (1.0 to 40.2)	33.1 (9.3 to 56.8)	45.5 (15.9 to 75.0)	57.9 (21.7 to 94.1)
>15µg –15.6 (–34.8 to 3.7) –9.9	–9.9 (–29.5 to 9.6)	-1.5 (-22.1 to 19.1)	15.4 (–9.5 to 40.3)	32.3 (1.2 to 63.3)	49.2 (11.1 to 87.3)
Height (cm)					
<5 µg (ref)					

6

Table 2 Continued						
	Infancy			Toddlerhood		
Maternal vitamin D	1 month	3 months	6 months	12 months	18 months	2 years
intake	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)
5-9.9µg	-0.02 (-0.09 to 0.06)	-0.02 (-0.09 to 0.06) -0.02 (-0.09 to 0.06) -0.01 (-0.08 to 0.06) -0.005 (-0.07 to 0.06) 0.002 (-0.06 to 0.07) 0.009 (-0.06 to 0.07)	-0.01 (-0.08 to 0.06)	-0.005 (-0.07 to 0.06)	0.002 (-0.06 to 0.07)	0.009 (-0.06 to 0.07)
10-15µg	-0.02 (-0.11 to 0.08)	-0.02 (-0.11 to 0.08)	-0.01 (-0.10 to 0.08)	-0.003 (-0.09 to 0.09)	-0.02 (-0.11 to 0.08) -0.01 (-0.10 to 0.08) -0.003 (-0.09 to 0.09) 0.005 (-0.08 to 0.09) 0.01 (-0.07 to 0.10)	0.01 (-0.07 to 0.10)
>15µg	-0.04 (-0.14 to 0.07)	-0.03 (-0.13 to 0.07)	-0.03 (-0.12 to 0.07)	-0.01 (-0.11 to 0.08)	-0.03 (-0.13 to 0.07) -0.03 (-0.12 to 0.07) -0.01 (-0.11 to 0.08) -0.001 (-0.09 to 0.09) 0.01 (-0.08 to 0.10)	0.01 (-0.08 to 0.10)
Effect estimates derive from multilevel mixed effects linear regression model, adjusted for maternal education, parity, maternal milk and yoghurt intake, maternal fibre intake, birth weight and child's gender. The model with all mothers was also adjusted for maternal prepregnancy BMI. *Mothers with prepregnancy BMI 18.5–24.9 kg/m ² .	m multilevel mixed effect hild's gender. The model ' icy BMI 18.5–24.9kg/m ² .	ts linear regression mode with all mothers was also	el, adjusted for maternal o adjusted for maternal I	education, parity, matei orepregnancy BMI.	nal milk and yoghurt int	ake, maternal fibre

Mothers with prepregnancy DWI ≥25 kg/m².

BMI, body mass index.

were associated with a lower height trajectory, compared with lower intakes, while no other intake level was associated with the child's height. No association was found between maternal vitamin D intake and child's weight or height growth velocity from 1 month to 8 years of age, in children of mothers with normal weight (online supplemental tables 8 and 9).

Among children of mothers with overweight prepregnancy BMI, any maternal vitamin D intake was positively associated with weight trajectory from 12 months onwards, compared with lower intakes (tables 2 and 3). Nevertheless, for intakes between $10-15 \mu g/day$, the positive association with child's weight growth was already observed from 6 months and onwards. Similar positive associations with child weight after 3 years were found when we examined the intake from food and supplements separately, but for different intake levels (online supplemental tables 3 and 4). For height growth trajectory, the only significant difference from the reference group was a positive association in children prenatally exposed to vitamin D intake of $>15 \mu g/day$ at 7 and 8 years of age, but the effect estimate was small. No association was found between maternal vitamin D intake and weight or height growth velocity from 1 month to 8 years of age, in children of mothers with overweight (online supplemental tables 8 and 9).

The sensitivity analyses on the association between maternal vitamin D intake and reported anthropometrics, gave similar results as the main models, in both children of mothers with normal weight and children of mothers with overweight (results not shown). Also, when excluding the highest 90th percentile of total maternal vitamin D intake, the associations seen in the main models on child's weights and heights remained.

Risk of rapid weight and height growth in infancy and toddlerhood Overall, maternal vitamin D intakes of 5–9.9 and >15 μ g/ day was associated with lower odds of having a rapid weight gain at 12 months of age, both crude and adjusted, compared with low intakes (<5 μ g/day) (table 4).

When exploring the association by maternal prepregnancy BMI, children of mothers with normal prepregnancy weight, with total maternal vitamin D intakes of 5–9.9, 10–15 and >15 µg/day during pregnancy, had lower odds of having a rapid weight gain during the first year of life, both crude and adjusted compared with the reference group (table 4). Similar lower OR were found for the risk of rapid height gain from birth to 12 months, but the CI included 1. The associations with rapid weight and height gain from birth to 2 years were weaker.

For children of mothers with prepregnancy overweight, we found higher OR for rapid weight and height gain at 12 months and 2 years, both crude and adjusted, but these associations were weak and the confidence intervals included 1 (table 4).

In the sensitivity analyses, when excluding the 90th percentile of maternal vitamin D intakes, similar results were obtained as in the main models, for both children of
 Table 3
 Associations between total maternal vitamin D intake and childhood weight and height trajectories from 3 years to 8 years, using predicted anthropometrics

	Preschool age		School age	
	3 years	5 years	8 years	
Maternal vitamin D intake	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	Beta (95% CI)	
All mothers n=66 840				
Weight (g)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	-5.3 (-32.4 to 21.8)	-2.5 (-46.1 to 41.2)	1.8 (-67.3 to 70.9)	
10–15µg	-36.5 (-69.7 to -3.2)	-56.3 (-110 to -2.7)	–86.0 (–171 to -1.1)	
>15µg	-28.5 (-61.7 to 4.8)	–37.9 (–91.4 to 15.5)	–52.1 (–137 to 32.5)	
Height (cm)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.05)	0.02 (-0.03 to 0.07)	0.04 (-0.03 to 0.11)	
10–15µg	-0.06 (-0.11 to 0.01)	-0.07 (-0.13 to 0.01)	-0.09 (-0.17 to 0.003)	
>15µg	-0.01 (-0.06 to 0.05)	0.02 (-0.04 to 0.08)	0.05 (-0.04 to 0.14)	
Mothers with normal weight* r	1=41 970			
Weight (g)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	-1.6 (-31.9 to 28.7)	5.2 (-43.6 to 54.0)	15.3 (-62.0 to 92.6)	
10–15µg	-35.6 (-72.3 to 1.0)	-50.1 (-109 to 8.9)	-71.8 (-165 to 21.6)	
>15µg	-3.1 (-39.3 to 33.0)	5.1 (-53.0 to 63.3)	17.6 (–74.6 to 110)	
Height (cm)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	-0.004 (-0.05 to 0.05)	0.008 (-0.05 to 0.06)	0.03 (-0.06 to 0.11)	
10–15µg	-0.1 (-0.16 to 0.04)	-0.1 (-0.19 to 0.05)	-0.1 (-0.25 to 0.05)	
>15µg	-0.02 (-0.08 to 0.04)	-0.003 (-0.07 to 0.07)	0.03 (-0.07 to 0.12)	
Mothers with overweight† n=2	0 080			
Weight (g)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	65.8 (26.1 to 106)	115 (50.8 to 179)	188 (86.8 to 290)	
10–15µg	82.7 (31.9 to 134)	132 (50.5 to 214)	207 (77.2 to 337)	
>15µg	82.9 (29.5 to 136)	151 (64.4 to 237)	252 (116 to 388)	
Height (cm)				
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	0.02 (-0.04 to 0.09)	0.05 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.09 to (-0.01 to 0.20)	
10–15µg	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.11)	0.06 (-0.03 to 0.16)	0.1 (-0.02 to 0.25)	
>15µg	0.04 (-0.05 to 0.12)	0.09 (-0.01 to 0.19)	0.2 (0.02 to 0.31)	

Effect estimates derive from multilevel mixed effects linear regression model, adjusted for maternal education, parity, maternal milk and yoghurt intake, maternal fibre intake, birth weight and child's gender. The model with all mothers was also adjusted for maternal prepregnancy BMI.

*Mothers with prepregnancy BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m².

†Mothers with prepregnancy BMI \ge 25 kg/m².

BMI, body mass index.

 Table 4
 Associations (OR and 95% CI) between total maternal vitamin D intake and rapid growth from birth to 12 months and from birth to 2 years, using reported anthropometrics

	Weight growth from k	birth	Height growth fron	n birth
Maternal vitamin D	12 months	2 years	12 months	2 years
intake	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
All mothers	n=39 296, 59%*	n=18 360, 27%	n=31 640, 47%	n=14 946, 22%
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	0.91 (0.85 to 0.97)	1.03 (0.93 to 1.15)	1.05 (0.97 to 1.13)	1.01 (0.90 to 1.14)
10–15µg	0.93 (0.86 to 1.02)	1.02 (0.90 to 1.16)	1.02 (0.93 to 1.12)	0.95 (0.83 to 1.09)
>15µg	0.90 (0.83 to 0.98)	0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)	1.00 (0.91 to 1.10)	1.04 (0.90 to 1.19)
Mothers with normal weight†	n=25 982, 62%	n=12 043, 29%	n=20 658, 49%	n=9700, 23%
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	0.88 (0.81 to 0.96)	1.04 (0.91 to 1.18)	1.01 (0.91 to 1.11)	1.05 (0.91 to 1.21)
10–15µg	0.85 (0.76 to 0.95)	1.03 (0.89 to 1.21)	0.94 (0.83 to 1.06)	0.98 (0.82 to 1.17)
>15µg	0.86 (0.77 to 0.95)	0.97 (0.84 to 1.13)	0.94 (0.84 to 1.06)	1.01 (0.85 to 1.20)
Mothers with overweight‡	n=12 240, 61%	N=5838, 29%	N=10 118, 15%	N=4851, 24%
<5 µg (ref)				
5–9.9µg	0.96 (0.86 to 1.08)	1.08 (0.90 to 1.29)	1.12 (0.99 to 1.28)	1.00 (0.82 to 1.21)
10–15µg	1.10 (0.95 to 1.27)	1.01 (0.81 to 1.27)	1.14 (0.97 to 1.34)	0.87 (0.68 to 1.12)
>15µg	1.01 (0.87 to 1.18)	0.91 (0.72 to 1.16)	1.08 (0.91 to 1.28)	1.08 (0.84 to 1.38)

Effect estimates derived by logistic regression, adjusted for maternal education, parity, maternal milk and yoghurt intake, maternal fibre intake and child's gender. The model with all mothers was also adjusted for maternal prepregnancy BMI.

*Proportions of the eligible pregnancies with the reported anthropometrics available from birth to the given age.

†Mothers with prepregnancy BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m².

 \pm Mothers with prepregnancy BMI \geq 25 kg/m².

BMI, body mass index.

mothers with normal weight, and for children of mothers with overweight.

Risk of childhood overweight in preschool and school age

Overall, the prevalence of childhood overweight was 8.9% at 3 years, 18.2% at 5 years and 6.3% at 8 years of age. Maternal vitamin D intakes of 5–9.9 and $>15 \,\mu\text{g}/$ day were associated with a higher risk of overweight at 8 years of age, compared with the reference group (table 5). However, in children of mothers with normal weight, with total vitamin D intakes of 10-15 and >15µg/day during pregnancy, 0.86 (95% CI 0.77 to 0.97) and 0.88 (95% CI 0.79 to 0.99) lower odds for overweight at 3 years of age were found, both crude and adjusted, compared with the reference group (table 5). At 5 years, children of mothers with vitamin D intakes of 10-15µg/day during pregnancy, had 0.91 (95% CI 0.84 to 0.99) lower adjusted odds of being overweight, compared with the reference group. At 8 years, there was no association found for any intake group. For children of mothers with overweight, we found an increased risk of overweight at 5 years (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.18) and at 8 years (OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.23) for children of mothers with vitamin D intakes of $5-9.9 \,\mu\text{g/day}$,

compared with the reference group (table 5). However, no association between maternal vitamin D intake and risk of overweight was found for children of mothers with intakes of 10–15 or >15 μ g/day at 3 years, 5 years or 8 years of age.

In the sensitivity analyses on childhood overweight using the reported weights and heights, the results for the children of mothers with normal weight, with vitamin D intake >15 µg/day persisted (OR=0.87, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.98) (online supplemental table 10). Also, for children of mothers with overweight, with total vitamin D intake of 5–9.9 µg/day, a significant odds ratio (OR 1.22, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.40) was obtained. After exclusion of the highest 90th percentile of maternal vitamin D intakes, similar results as in the main models were obtained, both in children of mothers with normal weight and with overweight. Adjusting for birth weight did not change the direction of the associations, neither in children of mothers with normal weight, nor in children of mothers with overweight.

Siblings with discordant total maternal vitamin D intake

When comparing weight and height growth trajectories of discordant siblings, the analyses showed similar

 Table 5
 Associations (OR and 95% CI) between total maternal vitamin D intake and risk of childhood overweight at 3, 5 and 8 years, using predicted anthropometrics

yeare, doing prodictod antinopornotiloe			
	3 years	5 years	8 years
Maternal vitamin D intake	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
All mothers n=66 840			
<5µg (ref)			
5–9.9µg	0.99 (0.93 to 1.06)	1.03 (0.98 to 1.08)	1.10 (1.03 to 1.17)
10–15µg	0.93 (0.86 to 1.01)	0.97 (0.91 to 1.04)	1.02 (0.94 to 1.11)
>15µg	0.97 (0.89 to 1.06)	1.00 (0.94 to 1.06)	1.09 (1.01 to 1.19)
P value for trend*	0.222	0.553	0.098
Mothers with normal weight† n=41 970			
<5 µg (ref)			
5–9.9µg	0.92 (0.84 to 1.01)	0.97 (0.91 to 1.04)	1.07 (0.98 to 1.17)
10–15µg	0.86 (0.77 to 0.97)	0.91 (0.84 to 0.99)	0.98 (0.87 to 1.09)
>15µg	0.88 (0.79 to 0.99)	0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)	1.05 (0.94 to 1.17)
P value for trend*	0.015	0.039	0.920
Mothers with overweight‡ n=20 080			
<5 µg (ref)			
5–9.9µg	1.07 (0.97 to 1.18)	1.09 (1.01 to 1.18)	1.12 (1.02 to 1.23)
10–15µg	1.01 (0.89 to 1.14)	1.05 (0.95 to 1.16)	1.06 (0.94 to 1.20)
>15µg	1.06 (0.93 to 1.20)	1.03 (0.93 to 1.14)	1.11 (0.98 to 1.26)
P value for trend*	0.513	0.443	0.156

Effect estimates derived by logistic regression, adjusted for maternal education, parity, maternal milk and yoghurt intake, maternal fibre intake and child's gender. The model with all mothers was also adjusted for maternal prepregnancy BMI.

*P value for linear trend, obtained by regression with maternal vitamin D intake in categories as continuous variable.

†Mothers with prepregnancy BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m².

 \pm Mothers with prepregnancy BMI \geq 25 kg/m².

BMI, body mass index.

estimates as the main models, but non-significant differences (online supplemental tables 5 and 6). The growth trajectories did not differ between siblings with discordant maternal vitamin D intake. When comparing the risk of childhood overweight at 3 years, 5 years and 8 years in discordant siblings, the same effect estimates as for the main models were obtained, however, not significant (online supplemental table 7).

DISCUSSION

In this longitudinal study of the associations between maternal vitamin D intake and child growth, we identified prepregnancy BMI as a major modifying factor. Among mothers with normal prepregnancy BMI, a maternal vitamin D intake $\geq 10 \,\mu\text{g/day}$ was associated with lower weight and height growth trajectories starting in infancy and persisting in toddlerhood. Higher maternal vitamin D intake was also associated with lower odds of a rapid weight gain during the first year of life and of child overweight in preschool years. The results indicated associations in opposing directions in children of mothers with prepregnancy overweight. The discordant sibling analysis revealed similar but weaker associations, possibly due to small sample size and supports the hypothesis. Our findings suggest that in children of normal weight mothers, vitamin D intake during pregnancy has a protective effect against rapid growth and overweight, perhaps due to a more favourable weight growth trajectory in infancy.

The main strengths of this study are the longitudinal design and the large, nationwide³³ study sample. The FFQ, used to capture the dietary vitamin D intake, was customised for assessing diet in the first half of pregnancy. Validation studies on the FFQ concluded the questionnaire to provide adequate estimates of vitamin D intakes from foods and supplements.^{39 40} Another strength was the use of a growth curve model, which is an approach to compensate for the lost to follow-up, by predicting weights and heights for all children. The similar estimates found in the sensitivity analyses of the reported weights and heights of the children bring some validity in the predicted anthropometrics of growth trajectories and childhood overweight. In addition, the drawing of a directed acyclic graph reduced the risk of confounding bias, since the models were adjusted for relevant covariates. Our study also has several weaknesses. First, maternal anthropometrics, child anthropometrics

and food intake measures are self-reported and prone to misreport.^{39 52 53} Although the women were not aware of the outcome of interest, maternal weight status and other characteristic are likely to influence the accuracy of self-reported information. It is known that overweight and obese women misreport their bodyweight and food intake to a larger degree than normal weight women, pertaining also to pregnant women.⁵² Although selfreported weight and height encompass inaccuracy, selfreport is a cost-effective alternative in large cohorts and the inaccuracy is not likely to largely bias the results.⁵⁴ It is also possible that the association we found could be explained by another food, nutrient or behaviour related to the maternal vitamin D intake that was not taken into account, or residual confounding.

The protective effect of maternal vitamin D in pregnancy on the risk of overweight in children from mothers with prepregnancy normal weight is consistent with previous findings from a Dutch²³ and a Spanish study.²⁶ However, previous studies investigating the association between maternal vitamin D status and childhood growth or overweight, have explored the association by adjusting for prepregnancy BMI. To simply adjust for prepregnancy BMI could potentially fail to capture the variation in maternal body size and thereby the magnitude of the distribution and dilution of vitamin D in the body.⁵ This may explain the somewhat opposing results found in previous studies on the association on maternal vitamin D status and child's growth, anthropometrics and risk of overweight.^{23 24 26-30}

Several possible pathways behind the association between maternal vitamin D intake, growth and risk of overweight in childhood have been suggested. Some studies have for example found associations between vitamin D deficiency and increased maternal insulin resistance in pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus.^{55–57} It is suggested that if the fetus is exposed to hyperglycaemic in utero, the fetal insulin production may be altered and the risk of type 2 diabetes later in life increased.⁵⁸ Also, children born by mothers affected by gestational diabetes mellitus are more likely to be overweight.⁵⁹ If low vitamin D intake in pregnancy increases maternal insulin resistance, this may be one mechanism programming the fetus towards increased susceptibility of metabolic diseases including overweight in childhood.

Our results underscore the importance of adequate vitamin D intake for the pregnant woman on growth in childhood. Moreover, our results highlight the significant contribution of supplements to reach the recommended vitamin D intake in a population with relatively low intake from foods. These findings suggest that maternal vitamin D intake is a potential modifiable factor for prevention of rapid postnatal growth in children of normal weight mothers. Since there is a lack of previous studies investigating the association between maternal vitamin D intake and childhood growth and overweight, further studies will need to replicate our results before the relevance can be fully determined.

CONCLUSION

Our findings supports that vitamin D intake during pregnancy affects offspring postnatal growth, and that a higher vitamin D intake during the pregnancy has a protective effect against the risk of childhood overweight but only in children of mothers with normal prepregnancy weight. In pregnancy, achieving the recommended vitamin D intake may reduce the risks of overweight in children of mothers with normal weight.

Acknowledgements The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. The authors thank the MoBa cohort funders and all the participating families in Norway for their valuable contribution.

Contributors HA initiated the study, all authors contributed with planning the study, ALB is responsible for data protection and access, AA conducted the statistical analyses and wrote the first version of the manuscript, EP assisted with the statistical analyses. All authors (HA, AA, EP, ALB, LL, AW and HMM) were involved in interpretation of the results and writing of the final manuscript.

Funding The current study was funded by the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (grant number 2018-00441) and the Sahlgrenska Academy (U2018/162). EP was funded by the Research Council of Norway, under the MILJØFORSK programme (project number 268465).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

Ethics approval The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics. 2019/770 REK Nord.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Anna Amberntsson http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3510-9789 Anne Lise Brantsaeter http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6315-7134

REFERENCES

- Theodoratou E, Tzoulaki I, Zgaga L, et al. Vitamin D and multiple health outcomes: umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies and randomised trials. *BMJ* 2014;348:g2035.
- 2 Hossein-nezhad A, Holick MF. Optimize dietary intake of vitamin D: an epigenetic perspective. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2012;15:567–79.
- 3 O'Neill CM, Kazantzidis A, Ryan MJ, et al. Seasonal changes in vitamin D-Effective UVB availability in Europe and associations with population serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Nutrients 2016;8:533.
- 4 Hossein-nezhad A, Holick MF. Vitamin D for health: a global perspective. Mayo Clin Proc 2013;88:720–55.
- 5 Walsh JS, Bowles S, Evans AL. Vitamin D in obesity. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2017;24:389–94.

Open access

- 6 Saraf R, Morton SMB, Camargo CA, *et al.* Global summary of maternal and newborn vitamin D status a systematic review. *Matern Child Nutr* 2016;12:647–68.
- 7 Eggemoen Åse R, Jenum AK, Mdala I, et al. Vitamin D levels during pregnancy and associations with birth weight and body composition of the newborn: a longitudinal multiethnic population-based study. Br J Nutr 2017;117:985–93.
- 8 Bärebring L, Schoenmakers I, Glantz A, et al. Vitamin D status during pregnancy in a multi-ethnic Population-Representative Swedish cohort. Nutrients 2016;8:655.
- 9 Itkonen ST, Andersen R, Björk AK, et al. Vitamin D status and current policies to achieve adequate vitamin D intake in the Nordic countries. Scand J Public Health 2020:1403494819896878.
- 10 Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Buklijas T. A conceptual framework for the developmental origins of health and disease. *J Dev Orig Health Dis* 2010;1:6–18.
- 11 Kwon EJ, Kim YJ. What is fetal programming?: a lifetime health is under the control of in utero health. Obstet Gynecol Sci 2017;60:506–19.
- 12 Robinson SM, Crozier SR, Harvey NC, et al. Modifiable early-life risk factors for childhood adiposity and overweight: an analysis of their combined impact and potential for prevention. Am J Clin Nutr 2015;101:368–75.
- 13 Ong KK, Loos RJF. Rapid infancy weight gain and subsequent obesity: systematic reviews and hopeful suggestions. *Acta Paediatr* 2006;95:904–8.
- 14 Woo Baidal JA, Locks LM, Cheng ER, et al. Risk factors for childhood obesity in the first 1,000 days: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2016;50:761–79.
- 15 Karaolis-Danckert N, Buyken AE, Bolzenius K, et al. Rapid growth among term children whose birth weight was appropriate for gestational age has a longer lasting effect on body fat percentage than on body mass index. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;84:1449–55.
- 16 WHO. Commission on ending childhood obesity. Report of the Commission on ending childhood obesity, 2016.
- 17 UNICEF, WHO, World Bank. Global and regional trends by UN Regions, 1990-2030. Overweight: 1990-2018, 2015.
- 18 Shin JS, Choi MY, Longtine MS, et al. Vitamin D effects on pregnancy and the placenta. *Placenta* 2010;31:1027–34.
- 19 von Websky K, Hasan AA, Reichetzeder C, et al. Impact of vitamin D on pregnancy-related disorders and on offspring outcome. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2018;180:51–64.
- 20 Holick MF, Chen TC. Vitamin D deficiency: a worldwide problem with health consequences. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87:1080S–6.
- 21 Tao R-X, Meng D-H, Li J-J, et al. Current recommended vitamin D prenatal supplementation and fetal growth: results from the China-Anhui birth cohort study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2018;103:244–52.
- 22 Aghajafari F, Nagulesapillai T, Ronksley PE, et al. Association between maternal serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and pregnancy and neonatal outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. BMJ 2013;346:f1169.
- 23 Leffelaar ER, Vrijkotte TGM, van Eijsden M. Maternal early pregnancy vitamin D status in relation to fetal and neonatal growth: results of the multi-ethnic Amsterdam born children and their development cohort. *Br J Nutr* 2010;104:108–17.
- 24 Crozier SR, Harvey NC, Inskip HM, et al. Maternal vitamin D status in pregnancy is associated with adiposity in the offspring: findings from the Southampton women's survey. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96:57–63.
- 25 Krishnaveni GV, Veena SR, Winder NR, et al. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and body composition and cardiovascular risk markers in Indian children: the Mysore Parthenon study. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;93:628–35.
- 26 Morales E, Rodriguez A, Valvi D, *et al*. Deficit of vitamin D in pregnancy and growth and overweight in the offspring. *Int J Obes* 2015;39:61–8.
- 27 Daraki V, Roumeliotaki T, Chalkiadaki G, et al. Low maternal vitamin D status in pregnancy increases the risk of childhood obesity. *Pediatr Obes* 2018;13:467–75.
- 28 Eckhardt CL, Gernand AD, Roth DE, et al. Maternal vitamin D status and infant anthropometry in a US multi-centre cohort study. Ann Hum Biol 2015;42:217–24.
- 29 Ong YL, Quah PL, Tint MT, et al. The association of maternal vitamin D status with infant birth outcomes, postnatal growth and adiposity in the first 2 years of life in a multi-ethnic Asian population: the growing up in Singapore towards healthy outcomes (GUSTO) cohort study. Br J Nutr 2016;116:621–31.
- 30 Gale CR, Robinson SM, Harvey NC, et al. Maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy and child outcomes. Eur J Clin Nutr 2008;62:68–77.

- 31 Horan MK, McGowan CA, Gibney ER, et al. The association between maternal dietary micronutrient intake and neonatal anthropometry secondary analysis from the ROLO study. Nutr J 2015;14:105.
- 32 Scholl TO, Chen X. Vitamin D intake during pregnancy: association with maternal characteristics and infant birth weight. *Early Hum Dev* 2009;85:231–4.
- 33 Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, et al. Cohort profile update: the Norwegian mother and child cohortohort study (MobA). Int J Epidemiol 2016;45:382–8.
- 34 Magnus P, Irgens LM, Haug K, et al. Cohort profile: the Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MobA). Int J Epidemiol 2006;35:1146–50.
- 35 Questionnaires from MobA. Available: https://www.fhi.no/en/studies/ moba/for-forskere-artikler/questionnaires-from-moba/ [Accessed 04 Aug 2021].
- 36 Irgens LM. The medical birth registry of Norway. epidemiological research and surveillance throughout 30 years. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2000;79:435–9.
- 37 Meltzer HM, Brantsaeter AL, Ydersbond TA, et al. Methodological challenges when monitoring the diet of pregnant women in a large study: experiences from the Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MobA). Matern Child Nutr 2008;4:14–27.
- 38 What is the Norwegian mother, father and child cohort study? Available: https://www.fhi.no/en/studies/moba/what-is-thenorwegian-mother-and-child-cohort-study/ [Accessed 18 Aug 2021].
- 39 Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Alexander J, et al. Validity of a new food frequency questionnaire for pregnant women in the Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MobA). *Matern Child Nutr* 2008;4:28–43.
- 40 Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Hagve T-A, et al. Self-reported dietary supplement use is confirmed by biological markers in the Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MobA). Ann Nutr Metab 2007;51:146–54.
- 41 Nordic Council of Ministers, N.C.o.M.S. Nordic nutrition recommendations 2012: integrating nutrition and physical activity, 2014.
- 42 Jenss RM BN. A mathematical method for studying the growth of a child. *Human Biology* 1937;9:556–63.
- 43 Chirwa ED, Griffiths PL, Maleta K, et al. Multi-Level modelling of longitudinal child growth data from the Birth-to-Twenty cohort: a comparison of growth models. Ann Hum Biol 2014;41:168–79.
- 44 Keijzer-Veen MG, Euser AM, van Montfoort N, et al. A regression model with unexplained residuals was preferred in the analysis of the fetal origins of adult diseases hypothesis. J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58:1320–4.
- 45 Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended International (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. *Pediatr Obes* 2012;7:284–94.
- 46 Patro Golab B, Santos S, Voerman E, et al. Influence of maternal obesity on the association between common pregnancy complications and risk of childhood obesity: an individual participant data meta-analysis. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2018;2:812–21.
- 47 Drincic AT, Armas LAG, Van Diest EE, *et al.* Volumetric dilution, rather than sequestration best explains the low vitamin D status of obesity. *Obesity* 2012;20:1444–8.
- 48 Rito AÍ, Buoncristiano M, Spinelli A, et al. Association between Characteristics at Birth, Breastfeeding and Obesity in 22 Countries: The WHO European Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative - COSI 2015/2017. Obes Facts 2019;12:226–43.
- 49 WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a who consultation on obesity 2000:1–253.
- 50 Petersen AH, Lange T. What is the causal interpretation of sibling comparison designs? *Epidemiology* 2020;31:75–81.
- 51 Susser E, Eide MG, Begg M. Invited commentary: the use of sibship studies to detect familial confounding. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;172:537–9.
- 52 Engstrom JL, Paterson SA, Doherty A, et al. Accuracy of selfreported height and weight in women: an integrative review of the literature. J Midwifery Womens Health 2003;48:338–45.
- 53 Olafsdottir AS, Thorsdottir I, Gunnarsdottir I, *et al.* Comparison of women's diet assessed by FFQs and 24-hour recalls with and without underreporters: associations with biomarkers. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2006;50:450–60.
- 54 Headen I, Cohen AK, Mujahid M, et al. The accuracy of selfreported pregnancy-related weight: a systematic review. Obes Rev 2017;18:350–69.
- 55 Chen X, Chu C, Doebis C, et al. Sex-Dependent association of vitamin D with insulin resistance in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2021;106:e3739–47.
- 56 Zaki M, Kamal S, Basha WA, *et al*. Association of vitamin D receptor gene polymorphism (VDR) with vitamin D deficiency, metabolic

Open access

and inflammatory markers in Egyptian obese women. *Genes Dis* 2017;4:176–82.

- 57 Senti J, Thiele DK, Anderson CM. Maternal vitamin D status as a critical determinant in gestational diabetes. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2012;41:328–38.
- 58 Tian M, Reichetzeder C, Li J, et al. Low birth weight, a risk factor for diseases in later life, is a surrogate of insulin resistance at birth. J Hypertens 2019;37:2123–34.
- 59 Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman S, Berkey CS, et al. Maternal gestational diabetes, birth weight, and adolescent obesity. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:e221–6.