Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study

Seung Won Lee ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Jinhee Lee, ² Sung Yong Moon, ¹ Hyun Young Jin, ¹ Jee Myung Yang, ³ Shuji Ogino, ^{4,5} Mingyang Song, ⁴ Sung Hwi Hong, ⁶ Ramy Abou Ghayda, ⁷ Andreas Kronbichler, ⁸ Ai Koyanagi, ^{9,10} Louis Jacob, ^{9,11,12} Elena Dragioti, ¹³ Lee Smith, ¹⁴ Edward Giovannucci, ^{4,15,16} I-Min Lee ⁽¹⁾, ^{4,17} Dong Hoon Lee, ¹⁶ Keum Hwa Lee, ¹⁸ Youn Ho Shin, ¹⁹ So Young Kim, ²⁰ Min Seo Kim ⁽¹⁾, ²¹ Hong-Hee Won, ²¹ Ulf Ekelund, ^{22,23} Jae II Shin, ¹⁸ Dong Keon Yon ⁽¹⁾, ²⁴

ABSTRACT

► Additional supplemental

material is published online

only. To view, please visit the

journal online (http://dx.doi.

org/10.1136/bjsports-2021-

For numbered affiliations see

Dr Dong Keon Yon, Department

of Pediatrics, Seoul National

National University College of

Medicine, Seoul 08826, Korea

Department of Data Science,

Sejong University College of

Software Convergence, Seoul

Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei

University College of Medicine,

03722, Korea (the Republic

of); lsw2920@gmail.com

and Professor Jae II Shin,

Seoul 03722, Korea (the

Republic of); shinji@yuhs.ac

SWL and DKY are joint first

Accepted 30 June 2021

© Author(s) (or their

To cite: Lee SW.

Lee J, Moon SY, et al.

employer(s)) 2021. No

commercial re-use. See rights

Br J Sports Med Epub ahead

of print: [please include Day

Month Year]. doi:10.1136/

bjsports-2021-104203

and permissions. Published

Check for updates

authors.

University Hospital, Seoul

(the Republic of);

yonkkang@gmail.com, Professor Seung Won Lee,

Correspondence to

104203).

end of article.

Purpose To determine the potential associations between physical activity and risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe illness from COVID-19 and COVID-19 related death using a nationwide cohort from South Korea.

Methods Data regarding 212 768 Korean adults (age ≥20 years), who tested for SARS-CoV-2, from 1 January 2020 to 30 May 2020, were obtained from the National Health Insurance Service of South Korea and further linked with the national general health examination from 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2019 to assess physical activity levels. SARS-CoV-2 positivity, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related death were the main outcomes. The observation period was between 1 January 2020 and 31 July 2020.

Results Out of 76 395 participants who completed the general health examination and were tested for SARS-CoV-2, 2295 (3.0%) were positive for SARS-CoV-2, 446 (0.58%) had severe illness from COVID-19 and 45 (0.059%) died from COVID-19. Adults who engaged in both aerobic and muscle strengthening activities according to the 2018 physical activity guidelines had a lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (2.6% vs 3.1%; adjusted relative risk (aRR), 0.85; 95% CI 0.72 to 0.96), severe COVID-19 illness (0.35% vs 0.66%; aRR 0.42; 95% CI 0.19 to 0.91) and COVID-19 related death (0.02% vs 0.08%; aRR 0.24; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.99) than those who engaged in insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening activities. Furthermore, the recommended range of metabolic equivalent task (MET; 500-1000 MET min/week) was associated with the maximum beneficial effect size for reduced risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.78; 95% CI 0.66 to 0.92), severe COVID-19 illness (aRR 0.62; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.90) and COVID-19 related death (aRR 0.17; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.98). Similar patterns of association were observed in different sensitivity analyses.

Conclusion Adults who engaged in the recommended levels of physical activity were associated with a decreased likelihood of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related death. Our findings suggest that engaging in physical activity has substantial public health value and demonstrates potential benefits to combat COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 caused by the novel SARS-CoV-2 has spread rapidly across the globe.¹⁻³ Despite dedicated efforts to end the pandemic including vaccination and antiviral therapies based on blood products and antibody, the emergence of more than 5000 mutations and the continued second or more waves of infection have made the prevailing situation extremely complicated.⁴ Baseline characteristics of patients, including old age, obesity, heavy smoking status and underlying comorbidities, such as hypertension, respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease and cancer have been shown to be associated with increased risk of COVID-19 and COVID-19 related death.^{1–3 5 6} There is rapidly accumulating evidence on the risk factors for COVID-19 that are a function of interactions between the pathogen, host and environment. However, there is limited information about the impact of an individual's level of physical activity, an important modifiable factor, on the potential infectivity and prognosis of COVID-19.

Physical activity is one of the leading determinants of health, and the lack of adequate physical activity is estimated to be responsible for 6%–10% of the global burden of major chronic diseases and 9% of premature deaths.7 Sufficient physical activity is known to be associated with substantially reduced risk for all-cause and disease-specific mortality,⁸ multiple chronic diseases (such as metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes),⁷ cancer⁷ and cardiovascular disease9 and improved physical function, cognition and quality of life.¹⁰ It is well established that regular and sustained participation in physical activity is beneficial for almost every facet of health, and the supporting evidence continues to grow.¹⁰ Moreover, previous studies have suggested that physical activity has a protective effect against infectivity and severity of respiratory infection due to its immunological benefits.^{11–13} The recently published Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, second edition, which was originally proposed for preventing chronic disease-related mortality, provides a clear guidance on the types (such as aerobic and muscle-strengthening activity) and

by BMJ.



1

amount (eg, 150–300 min a week of moderate intensity of physical activity for adults) of physical activity that provides substantial health benefits.¹⁰

During the ongoing pandemic, measures taken by governments globally to control the transmission of COVID-19 include 'lock downs' and social distancing.^{14–16} This has substantially decreased people's daily behaviours, routine and population levels of physical activity,¹⁷ which may lead to an unhealthy lifestyle.¹⁰ Previous studies had investigated the potential association between physical activity and COVID-19 with inconsistent results; no association with SARS-CoV-2 infectivity¹⁸ and COVID-19 severity,¹⁸ and beneficial association with COVID-19 severity^{19–21} have been found by various studies. Thus, the impact of physical activity on COVID-19 infectivity and clinical outcomes remains unclear.

Previous studies had described the health benefits of physical activity including those on the immune system.²² We established the hypothesis that this association will also apply to COVID-19, an infectious respiratory disease. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the hypothesis that sufficient physical activity may reduce the risk of COVID-19 infectivity, severity and its related mortality among patients who underwent SARS-CoV-2 testing or decrease the length of hospital stay among patients confirmed with COVID-19. We used a large-scale, population-based, nationwide claim-based cohort data that included all patients who underwent laboratory testing for SARS-CoV-2 in South Korea.

METHODS Data source

We performed a high-quality, population-based, nationwide study using the data from the Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) that includes data of all individuals who underwent SARS-CoV-2 testing in South Korea through services facilitated by the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency (KDCA) and Ministry of Health and Welfare, South Korea, between 1 January 2020 and 30 May 2020. The following link can be used to access the Korean NHIS site: https://nhissnhisorkr/bd/ab/bdaba000engdo/. The dataset links and consists of general health examination results, national COVID-19 related registers, including COVID-19 related outcomes and death records, and health insurance data for the past 5 years, including insurance eligibility data, outpatient and inpatient healthcare records, and pharmaceutical visits. The cohort dataset has the following characteristics: (1) the Korean government provided complimentary and mandatory health services and insurance to all Korean patients with COVID-19^{1-3 5}; (2) COVID-19 related death and outcome records were obtained by national COVID-19 related registers generated by the KDCA; and (3) all patient-related data were anonymised to ensure confidentiality from the Korean government.

Study population

The study population comprised all Korean individuals aged ≥ 20 years who underwent the SARS-CoV-2 testing between 1 January 2020 and 15 May 2020 by medical or KDCA referral (excluding self-referral (self-referred patients were tested anonymously for their privacy); n=212 768). Subsequently, we linked their national general health examination data between 1 January 2018 and 31 December 2019 to obtain the assessment on their level of physical activity. If the participants had multiple health examination data, the most recent was used. The total observational period was from 1 January 2015 to 30 July 2020,

and individual index data were the data of the first SARS-CoV-2 testing for each patient. SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by nasal and pharyngeal swabs using the laboratory real-time reverse transcriptase-PCR assay, which was authorised by the KDCA.^{1-3 5}

Age, gender, household income and region of residence were obtained from insurance eligibility data. Smoking habits, frequency of alcohol consumption, physician-diagnosed history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, stroke, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and previous use of medication for hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus and cardiovas-cular disease were obtained from general health examination, including self-reported questionnaires and personal medical interview. Body mass index and systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured. Blood glucose and creatinine for estimating glomerular filtration rate and total cholesterol were obtained from fasting serum samples during general health examination. The Charlson comorbidity index was considered as reported previously.²³

Exposures and outcomes

The leisure time physical activities of each participant was estimated during the general health examination and personal medical interview.²³ Participants were asked to report the frequency of physical activity weekly in two categories: vigorous intensity physical activity is defined as intense exercise that causes severe shortness of breath (ie, running) and moderate intensity physical activity is defined as exercise that causes mild shortness of breath (such as brisk walking and bicycling). We calculated the time of physical activity in min/week by multiplying the frequency (times/week) and duration (min/time). Muscle strengthening was considered by frequency (times/week) using the following question according to physical activity guide-lines¹⁰: 'How often do you do muscle strengthening activities in a week, such as lifting weights or push-ups (times/week)'.

Aerobic physical activity was divided into two categories: recommended aerobic physical activity (≥150 min/week of moderate intensity activity or ≥ 75 min/week of vigorous intensity activity or greater than an equivalent combination) and insufficient aerobic physical activity (<150 min/week of moderate intensity activity, <75 min/week of vigorous intensity activity and less than an equivalent combination). Equivalent combination was calculated using the general rule that 2 min of moderate-intensity activity counts the same as 1 min of vigorous intensity activity.¹⁰ Muscle strengthening activity was divided into two categories: recommended muscle strengthening activity (≥ 2 times/week) and insufficient muscle strengthening activity (<2 times/week). Using these categories for aerobic and muscle strengthening physical activity, the level of physical activity was categorised into four: (1) insufficient aerobic activity and muscle strengthening; (2) muscle strengthening only (insufficient aerobic activity and recommended muscle strengthening activity); (3) aerobic only (recommended aerobic activity and insufficient muscle strengthening activity); and (4) aerobic and muscle strengthening (both recommended activity).

In addition, we categorised physical activity according to metabolic equivalent task (MET) score on the energy cost. Each category of activity was assigned a MET score on the energy cost, and the weighted MET-minutes per week was calculated by multiplying the standard MET score, duration and frequency per week.^{24 25} Ratings of 4.0 and 8.0 METs were assigned for moderate and vigorous intensity activity, respectively.^{24 25} The level of physical activity was categorised into four: (1) inactive



Figure 1 Participant profile in all cohort.

(0 MET min/week), (2) insufficiently active (0-<500 MET min/week), (3) active (500-<1000 MET min/week) and (4) highly active (more than 1000 MET min/week).

We linked the study participants to the national COVID-19 related registers generated by KDCA. The outcomes examined were SARS-CoV-2 infection (positive laboratory SARS-CoV-2 testing result), severe clinical outcomes of COVID-19 (ICU admission, administration of invasive ventilation or COVID-19 related death),^{1–3 5 26} COVID-19 related death and/or length of hospital stay.

Eight cohorts studied

We generated the eight cohorts for robustness and generalisation of our results (online supplemental table S1 and figure 1): (1) cohort A was the main study that consisted of participants who received general health examination between 2018 and 2019 (online supplemental figures \$1 and \$2; n=76 395); (2) propensity score matched cohort A was performed by matching two groups (insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening vs aerobic and muscle strengthening; n=5298); (3) cohort B consisted of only COVID-19 confirmed patients in cohort A (n=1293); (4) cohort C was conducted differential conditions of 'exposure' using the MET score (online supplemental figures S3 and S4; n)=76 395); (5) propensity score matched cohort C was performed by matching two groups (insufficient physical activity group (0-500 MET min/week) vs sufficient physical activity group (more than 500 MET min/week); n=59 986); (6) cohort D consisted of participants who received general health examination between 2015 and 2019 (online supplemental figures S5 and S6; n=118 768); (7) propensity score matched cohort D was performed by matching two groups (insufficient physical activity group (0-500 MET min/week) vs sufficient physical activity group (more than 500 MET min/week); n=23 860); and (8) cohort E consisted of only COVID-19 confirmed patients in cohort C (n=3882).

Participants in cohort A and B were categorised by exercise guideline and those in cohort C by MET score. 10 The Korean

general health examination has added a questionnaire about muscle strengthening as of 2018; we could not calculate muscle strengthening by using data from 2015 to 2017. Therefore, participants in cohorts D and E were categorised by MET score. If the participants had multiple health examination data, the most recent was used.

Sample size calculation

Although there has been no study on the association between SARS-CoV-2 infectivity and physical activity, we calculated the sample size based on a previous study on the relationship between COVID-19 severity and physical activity.²¹ We had originally calculated that for each group to have an 80% power to show a 2.8-fold improvement of severity among COVID-19 patients (1% in inactive group vs 2.8% in active group), at a 5% significance level, we would need to enrol 900 patients with COVID-19 in each group. Finally, we included 1293 patients with COVID-19 who engaged in insufficient physical activity and 1002 patients with COVID-19 who engaged in sufficient muscle strengthening, aerobic or both physical activities.

Statistical analyses

In the nationwide Korean cohort, 'exposure' was the physical activities according to physical activity guidelines¹⁰ in cohorts A and B or those according to MET score in cohorts C–E. The 'primary end point' was the positive SARS-CoV-2 testing results, severe COVID-19 illness or COVID-19 related death in cohorts A–E; the 'secondary endpoint' was the length of hospital stay among COVID-19 confirmed patients in cohorts B and E. The 'individual index data' were the data of first laboratory SARS-CoV-2 testing.

To assess the different confounding effects in our study, we used three sequential inclusion adjusting model by modified Poisson regressions presented by adjusted relative risks (aRRs) with 95% CIs or multivariable analysis of covariance presented by adjusted mean difference with 95% CIs. We also fitted a



Figure 2 Directed acyclic graph demonstrating the implicitly assumed causal association between physical activity ('exposure') and risk of COVID-19 ('outcome') in the Korean nationwide cohort before matching. Confounders, potential mediators and exposure–outcome associations are indicated. BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; TB, tuberculosis.

cubic spline model with four knots selected by the lowest Akaike information criterion.²⁷ Furthermore, we confirmed the linearity assumption of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose and serum total cholesterol using Box-Tidwell test (all p value >0.05), the normality assumption of length of hospital stay using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (p value >0.05) and homogeneity of variances assumption of length of hospital stay using Levene's test (p value >0.05).

Model 1 was adjusted for age (20–39, 40–59 and \geq 60 years) and sex. Model 2 was adjusted for age; sex; region of residence (Seoul Capital Area, Daegu/Gyeongbuk area and other area); Charlson comorbidity index (0, 1 and ≥ 2); history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, stroke and cardiovascular disease; body mass index (continuous, using the cubic spline function); systolic blood pressure (continuous); diastolic blood pressure (continuous); fasting blood glucose (continuous); serum total cholesterol (continuous); glomerular filtration rate (≥90, 60-89 and \leq 59 mL/min); household income (low, middle and high); smoking (never, ex and current); alcoholic drinks (<1, 1-2, 3-4 and ≥ 5 days per week); and medication for hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. Finally, model 3 was adjusted for minimal selected potential confounders by directed acyclic graph approach (figure 2; age, sex, region of residence, household income, smoking and alcoholic drinks).

To test the stability and reliability of our results, we performed several analyses with multiple conditions. First, we analysed two differential conditions of 'exposure' such as using the physical activity guidelines¹⁰ (cohorts A and B) and MET score (cohorts

C-E). Second, we performed propensity score matching three times to reduce potential confounding effects and to balance the baseline characteristics (matched cohort A: insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening vs aerobic and muscle strengthening; matched cohorts C and D: insufficient physical activity group vs sufficient physical activity group). Propensity scores were derived using a logistic regression model with adjustment (model 2), and a 'greedy nearest-neighbour' algorithm was used to match patients in the two groups in a 1:1 ratio (random selection without participant replacement within specified calliper widths (0.01 SD)). We used robust SEs to obtain 95% CIs in matching analysis. Adequacy of matching was calculated by comparing standardised mean differences (SMDs) and propensity score density plot (online supplemental figures S7 and S9). An SMD of less than 0.1 indicates no major imbalance between the two groups.^{5 28} Third, we conducted the sensitivity analysis by generating cohorts B and E, including only patients with COVID-19. Fourth, to avoid overfitting issues, a directed acyclic graph approach was used to confirm adequate potential mediators, and thus, a visualisation of the causal relationship between physical activity ('exposure') and the risk of COVID-19 ('outcome') was provided. Fifth, we performed the subgroup analysis, in which there was stratification according to age, gender, smoking status and Charlson comorbidity index, which have a statistical significance of interaction (p interaction value < 0.05). Finally, we used the Sidak's correction for multiple comparisons to reduce the probability of type 1 error. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (V.25.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA), R

Original research

software (V.3.1.1; R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) and SAS (V.9.4; SAS Institute).^{23 29} Directed acyclic graphs were presented using Daggity (V.2.3; http://www.dagitty.net/). A two-sided p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were directly involved in designing the research question or in conducting the research. No patients were asked for advice on interpretation or writing up of the results. There were no plans to involve patients or the relevant patient community in the dissemination of study findings at this time.

RESULTS

Cohort A

Among 76 395 adults (age groups: 33.5% (20-39 years), 37.8% (40-59 years) and 28.7% (≥60 years); male, 48.8%), we identified 41 293 (54.1%), 5036 (6.6%), 18 994 (24.9%) and 11 072 (14.5%) adults with insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening, muscle strengthening only, aerobic only and aerobic and muscle strengthening, respectively (table 1). During the observation period, 2295 (3.0%), 446 (0.58%) and 45 (0.059%) adults were diagnosed with COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2 test positive), severe COVID-19 and COVID-19 related death, respectively (online supplemental table S11).

Table 2 and figure 3 show that adults with aerobic and muscle strengthening had a lower risk of COVID-19 infection (3.1% for insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening vs 2.6% for aerobic and muscle strengthening; aRR (model 2) 0.85; 95% CI 0.72 to 0.98), severe COVID-19 (0.66% vs 0.35%; aRR (model 2) 0.42; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.91) and COVID-19 related death (0.08% vs 0.02%; aRR (model 2) 0.23; 95% CI 0.06 to 0.99). In subgroup analysis stratified by the covariates (table 3), the effect sizes of risk of COVID-19 infection between patients with insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening and those with aerobic and muscle strengthening were stronger among middle aged adults (40-59 years; aRR 0.85; 95% CI 0.70 to 0.99), men (aRR 0.81; 95% CI 0.64 to 0.98), never smokers (aRR 0.79; 95% CI 0.58 to 0.94) and those having low Charlson comorbidity index (0 score; aRR 0.74; 95% CI 0.58 to 0.94) than in other groups. Similar effect sizes and patterns of severe COVID-19 were found among older adults (≥ 60 years; aRR 0.16; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.96), never smokers (aRR 0.44; 95% CI 0.23 to 0.99), and those having low Charlson comorbidity index (0 score; aRR 0.23; 95% CI 0.09 to 0.80). Moreover, we also found similar patterns after Sidak's correction (online supplemental table S12).

Matched cohort A

After 1:1 propensity score matching in cohort A (2649 for insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening vs 2649 for aerobic and muscle strengthening), there were no major imbalances of baseline characteristics assessed by SMDs (online supplemental table S2; all SMDs ≤ 0.04). Those with aerobic and muscle strengthening had 27% lower risk of COVID-19 (aRR (model 2) 0.73; 95% CI 0.54 to 0.99) and 57% lower risk of severe COVID-19 (aRR (model 2) 0.43; 95% CI 0.20 to 0.95) than those with insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening (table 4).

Cohort B

Among COVID-19 confirmed patients in cohort A (table 4 and online supplemental table S2; n=2295), we found that those with aerobic and muscle strengthening had a decreased risk of severe COVID-19 (aRR (model 2) 0.66; 95% CI 0.47 to 0.90)

and length of hospital stay (adjusted mean difference, -2.08 days; 95% CI −4.04 to −0.14).

Cohort C

The 76 395 adults in cohort C were stratified according to MET score (table 4 and online supplemental table S4). The active group (500-<1000 MET min/week) was found to have 22% lower risk of COVID-19 infection (aRR (model 2) 0.78; 95% CI 0.66 to 0.92), 38% lower risk of severe COVID-19 (aRR (model 2) 0.62; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.90) and 83% lower risk of COVID-19-related death (aRR (model 2) 0.17; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.98) than the inactive group. The insufficiently active group and highly active group had a modest 9% and 6% lower risk of COVID-19 infection, 22% and 21% lower risk of severe COVID-19 and 19% and 21% lower risk of COVID-19-related death than the inactive group, respectively (figure 3).

Matched cohort C

We conducted a propensity score matched analysis to compare low physical activity group (0 to 500 MET min/week) with sufficient physical activity group (≥500 MET min/week). There were no major imbalances in baseline characteristics assessed by SMDs (table 4 and online supplemental table S6; all SMDs <0.07). In model 2, there was less SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.90; 95% CI 0.83 to 0.99) and severe COVID-19 (aOR 0.77; 95% CI 0.63 to 0.95) in the high physical activity group than in the low physical activity group. Moreover, we also found similar patterns after Sidak's correction (online supplemental table \$13).

Cohort D

Among 118 768 included adults, we identified 22 811 (19.2%), 50 052 (42.1%), 25 932 (21.8%) and 19 973 (16.8%) adults who were inactive, insufficiently active, active and highly active, respectively (online supplemental table S7). During the observation period, 3882 (3.3%), 277 (0.23%) and 122 (0.10%) adults were diagnosed with COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2 test positive), severe COVID-19 and COVID-19 related death, respectively.

Online supplemental table S8 and figure 3 show that the active group (500-<1000 MET min/week) had 15% lower risk of COVID-19 infection (aRR (model 2) 0.85; 95% CI 0.75 to 0.96), 46% lower risk of severe COVID-19 (aRR (model 2) 0.54; 95% CI 0.35 to 0.79) and 65% lower risk of COVID-19-related death (aRR (model 2) 0.35; 95% CI 0.20 to 0.64) than the inactive group. However, the insufficiently active group and highly active group had a modest 11% and 15% lower risk of COVID-19 infection, 35% and 34% lower risk of severe COVID-19 and 40% and 63% lower risk of COVID-19 related death than the inactive group, respectively (table 4).

Matched cohort D

We conducted a propensity score matched analysis to compare low physical activity group (0-500 MET min/week) with sufficient physical activity group (\geq 500 MET min/week). There were no major imbalances in baseline characteristics assessed by SMDs (table 4 and online supplemental table S9; all SMDs < 0.015). In model 2, there was less SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.87; 95%) CI 0.76 to 0.99), severe COVID-19 (aOR 0.58; 95% CI 0.35 to 0.99) and COVID-19 related mortality (aOR 0.46; 95% CI 0.22 to 0.96) in the high physical activity group than in the low physical activity group.

and a baseline characteristics of pati	end who performe		Tunce of the	sical activity	
		Types of physical activity			
Characteristic	Entire cohort	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	Muscle strengthening only	Aerobic only	Aerobic and muscle strengthening
Total, n (%)	76 395 (100.0)	41 293 (54.1)	5036 (6.6)	18 994 (24.9)	11 072 (14.5)
Age, years, n (%)					
20–39	25 614 (33.5)	13 005 (31.5)	1747 (34.7)	6072 (32.0)	4790 (43.3)
40–59	28 856 (37.8)	15 677 (38.0)	2028 (40.3)	7091 (37.3)	4060 (36.7)
≥60	21 925 (28.7)	12 611 (30.5)	1261 (25.0)	5831 (30.7)	2222 (20.1)
Sex, n (%)					
Male	37 272 (48.8)	17 703 (42.9)	3156 (62.7)	9217 (48.5)	7196 (65.0)
Female	39 123 (51.2)	23 590 (57.1)	1880 (37.3)	9777 (51.5)	3876 (35.0)
Region of residence, n (%)					
Seoul Capital rea	34 149 (44.7)	18 442 (44.7)	2448 (48.6)	8200 (43.2)	5059 (45.7)
Daegu/Gyeongbuk area	14 910 (19.5)	8203 (19.8)	1034 (20.5)	3704 (19.5)	1969 (17.8)
Other area	27 336 (35.8)	14 648 (35.5)	1554 (30.9)	7090 (37.3)	4044 (36.5)
Charlson comorbidity index, n (%)					
0	44 854 (58.7)	23 405 (56.7)	3137 (62.3)	10 971 (57.8)	7341 (66.3)
1	9361 (12.3)	5234 (12.7)	617 (12.3)	2277 (12.0)	1233 (11.1)
≥2	22 180 (29.0)	12 654 (30.6)	1282 (25.5)	5746 (30.3)	2498 (22.6)
History of diabetes mellitus, n (%)	6518 (8.5)	3738 (9.1)	355 (7.1)	1745 (9.2)	680 (6.1)
History of tuberculosis, n (%)	1585 (2.1)	860 (2.1)	121 (2.4)	383 (2.0)	221 (2.0)
History of stroke, n (%)	1038 (1.4)	640 (1.6)	45 (0.9)	261 (1.4)	92 (0.8)
History of cardiovascular disease, n (%)	2357 (3.1)	1372 (3.3)	151 (3.0)	601 (3.2)	233 (2.1)
History of hypertension, n (%)	14 528 (19.0)	8245 (20.0)	832 (16.5)	3866 (20.4)	1585 (14.3)
History of dyslipidaemia, n (%)	5355 (7.0)	2988 (7.2)	336 (6.7)	1425 (7.5)	606 (5.5)
Body mass index, kg/m², n (%)					
Mean (SD)	23.9 (3.8)	23.8 (3.9)	23.7 (3.3)	24.1 (3.8)	24.1 (3.5)
<25	49 286 (64.5)	26 883 (65.1)	3398 (67.5)	11 881 (62.6)	7124 (64.3)
25–30	22 453 (29.4)	11 822 (28.6)	1442 (28.6)	5822 (30.7)	3367 (30.4)
≥30	4656 (6.1)	2588 (6.3)	196 (3.9)	1291 (6.8)	581 (5.3)
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)	121.2 (14.8)	121.2 (15.2)	121.1 (14.4)	121.6 (13.6)	120.8 (13.7)
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg, mean (SD)	74.9 (10.0)	74.8 (10.1)	74.9 (9.9)	75.0 (9.9)	74.8 (9.7)
Fasting blood glucose, mg/dL, mean (SD)	99.4 (24.7)	99.8 (25.9)	97.9 (21.3)	99.8 (24.3)	98.2 (22.0)
Serum total cholesterol, mg/dL, mean (SD)	191.4 (40.4)	191.7 (41.5)	191.9 (38.4)	190.5 (39.6)	191.4 (38.4)
Giomerular filtration rate, mL/min, n (%)	40,440 (52,0)			0007 (51.0)	
≥90 €0.80	40 449 (52.9)	22 108 (53.9)	20 000 (03.1)	9807 (51.9)	5809 (52.7)
60-69	31 031 (40.0)	10 020 (39.0)	2110 (42.0)	0005 (42.7)	4000 (44.0)
Soo Household income n (%)	4560 (0.0)	2925 (7.1)	247 (4.9)	1055 (5.5)	575 (5.4)
Low (0, 20 porcentile)	21 A27 (20 A)	12 196 (20 5)	1175 (22 2)	5220 (20 1)	2727 (24 G)
Middle (40–79 percentile)	21 427 (20.4)	17 077 (13 1)	2216 (44.0)	3339 (20.1) 8361 (44.0)	2727 (24.0)
High (80–100 percentile)	21 486 (28 1)	11 190 (27 1)	16/15 (22.7)	5204 (27.0)	4378 (43.0) 3367 (30.4)
Smoking n (%)	21 400 (20.1)	11 100 (27.1)	1045 (52.7)	5254 (27.5)	5507 (50.4)
Never smoker	50 227 (65 7)	28 //72 (69 0)	2031 (58.2)	12 112 (65 6)	6382 (57 6)
Ev-smoker	12 /92 (16 /)	5691 (13.8)	1171 (23.3)	3170 (16 7)	2460 (22.2)
Current smoker	13 676 (17 9)	7130 (17 3)	934 (18.6)	3382 (17.8)	2400 (22.2)
Alcoholic drinks days per week n (%)	15 676 (17.5)	/150 (17.5)	554 (10.6)	5562 (17.6)	2230 (20.1)
	47 769 (62 5)	27 003 (65 5)	2843 (56 5)	11 839 (62 5)	6084 (55.0)
1-2	20 375 (26.7)	9988 (24.2)	1598 (31.8)	5044 (26.6)	3745 (33.9)
3–4	6074 (8.0)	3103 (7.5)	470 (9.3)	1542 (8.1)	959 (8.7)
≥5	2075 (2.7)	1154 (2.8)	120 (2.4)	534 (2.8)	267 (2,4)
Use of medication. n (%)			/		\ '/
Medication for hypertension. n (%)	13 663 (17.9)	7775 (18.8)	774 (15.4)	3659 (19.3)	1455 (13.1)
Medication for dyslipidaemia. n (%)	4450 (5.8)	2494 (6.0)	264 (5.2)	1206 (6.4)	486 (4.4)
Medication for diabetes mellitus. n (%)	6080 (8.0)	3494 (8.5)	325 (6.5)	1635 (8.6)	626 (5.7)
Medication for cardiovascular disease n (%)	2153 (2.8)	1252 (3.0)	134 (2.7)	555 (2.9)	212 (1 9)

SD, standard deviation.

Table 2	Adjusted relative risk (95% CIs) of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 outcomes and COVID-19 related deaths associated with study
patients v	who engaged in physical activities according to exercise guideline (cohort A)

	Types of physical activity				
Event	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	Muscle strengthening only	Aerobic only	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	
COVID-19, n (%)	1293/41 293 (3.1)	150/5036 (3.0)	561/18 994 (3.0)	291/11 072 (2.6)	
Adjusted RR (95% CI)					
Model 1* (age and sex adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	1.08 (0.91 to 1.29)	0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	0.87 (0.75 to 0.98)	
Model 2† (fully adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	1.01 (0.73 to 1.42)	0.92 (0.77 to 1.12)	0.84 (0.73 to 0.96)	
Model 3‡ (selected confounders by DAGs)	1.0 (ref)	1.03 (0.86 to 1.22)	0.97 (0.88 to 1.07)	0.85 (0.72 to 0.98)	
Severe COVID-19§, n (%)	273/41 293 (0.66)	25/5036 (0.50)	109/18 994 (0.57)	39/11 072 (0.35)	
Adjusted RR (95% CI)					
Model 1* (age and sex adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	0.85 (0.56 to 1.29)	0.87 (0.70 to 1.09)	0.59 (0.39 to 0.87)	
Model 2† (fully adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	0.93 (0.61 to 1.42)	0.86 (0.69 to 1.08)	0.42 (0.19 to 0.91)	
Model 3‡ (selected confounders by DAGs)	1.0 (ref)	0.88 (0.58 to 1.33)	0.86 (0.69 to 1.08)	0.56 (0.34 to 0.88)	
COVID-19 related death, n (%)	32/41 293 (0.08)	0/5036 (0.00)	11/18 994 (0.06)	2/11 072 (0.02)	
Adjusted RR (95% CI)					
Model 1* (age and sex adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	NA	0.70 (0.35 to 1.41)	0.23 (0.06 to 0.98)	
Model 2† (fully adjusted)	1.0 (ref)	NA	0.71 (0.34 to 1.41)	0.26 (0.07 to 0.99)	
Model 3‡ (selected confounders by DAGs)	1.0 (ref)	NA	0.71 (0.34 to 1.40)	A0.24 (0.06 to 0.99)	
Numbers in bold indicate significant differences (p<0.05).					

*Model 1: adjusted for age (20–39, 40–59 and \geq 60 years) and sex.

*Model 3: adjusted for potential confounders selected by DAGs (age; sex; region of residence; household income; smoking; and alcoholic drinks).

§Severe COVID-19 consisted of requirement of oxygen therapy, admission to the intensive care unit, invasive ventilation or death.

DAGs, directed acyclic graphs; RR, relative risk.

Cohort E

Among the patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (n=3882), the risk of severe COVID-19 was less in the insufficiently active group (aRR (model 2) 0.73; 95% CI 0.53 to 0.99) and active group (aRR (model 2) 0.68; 95% CI 0.44 to 0.98) than in the inactive group. The COVID-19 related mortality among patients with COVID-19 was lower in the active group (aRR (model 2) 0.49; 95% CI 0.27 to 0.82) and highly active group (aRR (model 2) 0.43; 95% CI 0.22 to 0.83) than in the inactive group (table 4 and online supplemental table S10). The length of stay in hospital of patients with COVID-19 was lower only in the active group (adjusted mean difference, -1.85 day; 95% CI -3.63 to -0.05) than the inactive group, while no further benefits were observed in the insufficiently and highly active groups.

DISCUSSION

Findings of our study

To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale, population-based, nationwide study that investigated the relationship of physical activity with the infectivity and severity of COVID-19 and its related death. In this nationally representative cohort study, we found that those who reported aerobic and muscle strengthening activities that met or exceeded the 2018 exercise guidelines had a lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.85; 95% CI 0.72 to 0.96), severe COVID-19 illness (aRR 0.42; 95% CI 0.19 to 0.91) and COVID-19 related death (aRR 0.24; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.99) than those who did not. The effect sizes of these associations were significant among the elderly, men, never smokers and those having low Charlson comorbidity index. Furthermore, the recommended key target range of MET score (500–1000 MET min/week) was associated with the maximum beneficial

effect size for the reduced risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.78; 95% CI 0.66 to 0.92), severe COVID-19 illness (aRR 0.62; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.90) and COVID-19 related death (aRR 0.17; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.98). Interestingly, the length of stay in hospital was shortened about approximately 2 days in patients who performed both aerobics and muscle strengthening (adjusted mean difference: -2.08 days) or with MET 500–1000 MET min/week (adjusted mean difference: -1.85 days). These findings were consistent across a broad range of sensitivity and subgroup analyses using cohorts A–E or propensity score matching.

Comparison with previous studies

While several studies describe the health benefits of physical activity, most studies focused on non-communicable diseases.⁸ Few studies on physical activity and risk of respiratory infectious diseases have shown inconsistent results: beneficial association in USA $(n=78 \ 062)^{11}$ and Poland $(n=1028)^{13}$ and no association in Denmark (n=5368),³⁰ Sweden $(n=2038)^{31}$ and USA $(n=83\ 165)$.³² Furthermore, several intervention trials conducted in the USA, Brazil, Canada, Portugal, Spain and Turkey have produced non-conclusive and inconsistent results.³³ Small sample size, heterogeneity of the study population, shortterm follow-up period and inappropriate study design (ie, crosssectional or uncontrolled cohort design) contributed to low levels of evidence and inconsistent results.^{11 13 30-33} However, a recent meta-analysis of 14 intervention trials suggested that exercise had a significant effect on the severity of symptoms and number of symptom days (mean difference -2.24 days), similar to our results. Moreover, a recent large meta-analysis including 55 studies suggested that physical activity is associated with a



Figure 3 Association between physical activity according to 2018 physical activity guidelines for Americans and SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related death in cohort A. (A) Association between physical activity according to MET score and SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related death in cohort C (B) and cohort D (C). The level of physical activity was categorised into four: (1) inactive (0 MET min/week), (2) insufficiently active (0–<500 MET min/week), (3) active (500–<1000 MET min/week) and (4) highly active (more than 1000 MET min/week). Whiskers represent 95% CIs, and dots indicate full adjusted relative risks. MET, metabolic equivalent of task.

31% and 37% risk reduction of community acquired infectious disease and its related mortality, respectively, which is consistent with our results.¹²

Though there are previous studies on the association between physical activity and the risk of COVID-19, the results are inconsistent; no association of physical activity with SARS-CoV-2 infectivity¹⁸ and COVID-19 severity¹⁸; and beneficial association with COVID-19 severity.¹⁹⁻²¹ Small sample size (patients with COVID-19, n=207¹⁸ or 246),¹⁹ uncontrolled cohort design,¹⁸⁻²¹ non-various definitions of physical activity,¹⁸⁻²¹ nonsophisticated statistical techniques to reduce several biases¹⁸⁻²¹ and different methods of collecting data for measuring exercise (24-hour movement patterns and self-reported questionnaire) contributed to inconsistent results in these studies (online supplemental table \$14). Furthermore, most of the studies were conducted in the UK and USA¹⁸⁻²¹; the findings in Asia were unknown. These studies had explained the association using the hypothesis that regular physical activity is an important contributor to exercise capacity and favourably influences biological pathways that are involved with the body's response to an infection, which is a consistent hypothesis supporting our results. Moreover, our study was primarily demonstrated the beneficial relationship between SARS-CoV-2 infectivity and physical activity.

Possible explanations of our results

Habitual exercise has the following beneficial effect on the immune system³⁴: (1) enhanced immunosurveillance with an immune defence activity and metabolic health by increased immunoglobulins, anti-inflammatory cytokines (interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1ra and IL-10), neutrophils, cytotoxic T, immature B and natural killer cell subsets; (2) reduced systemic inflammation promoted by the recirculation of immune cells and mediates an anti-inflammatory and antioxidant state through multiple pathways; and (3) improved regulation of the immune system and delayed onset of immunosenescence. In addition, a previous study demonstrated that physical activity inhibits lung inflammation and bacterial colonisation in respiratory infectious disease involving IL-10/nuclear factor-kB.35 Recently, a study reported that physical activity helps to shift the balance of the ACE2 axis.³⁶ It means that physical activity induces expression of ACE2 in skeletal muscle that leads to reduced circulating ACE2 that may have protective effect in susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 and severity of COVID-19.36 The above-mentioned immunological benefits of exercise may prevent the infection, severity and death due to COVID-19.

Policy implication

Recent studies reported that total physical activity significantly decreased between immediately before and during the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide and across all age groups.¹⁷ Although research evidence continues to mount that decreased in physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic is a critical threat to public health, its impact on infectivity and clinical outcomes has not been clearly defined. The results of this study demonstrated the importance of physical activity in decreasing the risk of infectivity, severity and mortality of COVID-19, so that it can be reflected in future guidelines. The WHO launched '#HealthAtHome' campaign, including the recommendation of physical activity, to help manage weight and reduce the risk of non-communicable diseases such as cardiometabolic disease that can increase susceptibility to or severity of COVID-19.37 38 WHO recommended that people of all ages and abilities need to be as active as possible and encouraged people to engage in regular aerobic physical activity and bone and muscle strengthening activity.³⁷

 Table 3
 Subgroup analysis of the association of SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe COVID-19 outcomes associated with study patients who engaged in physical activities according to exercise guideline

		Types of physical activity			
Subaroups	Event	Insufficient aerobic and	Mucclo strongthoning only	Aarobic only	Aerobic and muscle
Subgroups	Event		Muscle strengthening only	Aerobic only	suenguiening
Age groups (years)			/	/	/
20–39	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.43 (0.63 to 3.17)	1.13 (0.55 to 2.27)	0.99 (0.51 to 1.99)
40–59	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.04 (0.66 to 1.64)	0.98 (0.76 to 1.27)	0.85 (0.70 to 0.99)
≥60	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	0.83 (0.44 to 1.52)	0.82 (0.61 to 1.10)	0.77 (0.51 to 1.19)
Sex					
Male	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.02 (0.67 to 1.54)	0.97 (0.73 to 1.29)	0.81 (0.64 to 0.98)
Female	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.06 (0.62 to 1.79)	0.90 (0.71 to 1.15)	0.90 (0.66 to 1.28)
Smoking					
Never smoker	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.02 (0.67 to 1.54)	0.97 (0.73 to 1.29)	0.79 (0.64 to 0.99)
Ex-smoker	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	0.91 (0.45 to 1.84)	0.92 (0.57 to 1.51)	0.75 (0.45 to 1.31)
Current smoker	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	0.90 (0.27 to 2.93)	1.28 (0.64 to 2.48)	1.33 (0.58 to 3.00)
Charlson comorbidity index					
0	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	1.09 (0.72 to 1.61)	0.99 (0.78 to 1.30)	0.74 (0.58 to 0.94)
1	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	0.83 (0.34 to 2.03)	1.16 (0.74 to 1.79)	0.53 (0.16 to 1.09)
≥2	COVID-19	1.0 (ref)	0.95 (0.54 to 1.11)	0.78 (0.54 to 1.12)	1.48 (0.94 to 2.31)
Age groups (years)					
20–39	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.03 (0.62 to 1.76)	0.66 (0.50 to 1.05)	0.58 (0.25 to 1.30)
40–59	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.09 (0.61 to 2.02)	0.67 (0.43 to 1.19)	0.48 (0.15 to 1.24)
≥60	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	0.79 (0.26 to 3.05)	0.58 (0.18 to 1.75)	0.16 (0.02 to 0.96)
Sex					
Male	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	0.97 (0.60 to 1.60)	0.79 (0.56 to 1.27)	0.45 (0.18 to 1.07)
Female	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.14 (0.64 to 2.09)	0.57 (0.41 to 0.95)	0.48 (0.15 to 1.26)
Smoking					
Never smoker	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.25 (0.81 to 1.96)	0.59 (0.45 to 0.94)	0.44 (0.23 to 0.99)
Ex-smoker	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	0.67 (0.32 to 1.56)	0.81 (0.46 to 1.55)	0.54 (0.14 to 1.45)
Current smoker	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	0.60 (0.17 to 3.85)	1.19 (0.48 to 2.94)	0.28 (0.06 to 2.15)
Charlson comorbidity index					
0	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	0.89 (0.51 to 1.63)	0.52 (0.33 to 0.96)	0.23 (0.09 to 0.80)
1	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.00 (0.41 to 2.65)	0.56 (0.26 to 1.27)	0.81 (0.31 to 2.41)
≥2	Severe COVID-19†	1.0 (ref)	1.09 (0.63 to 1.95)	0.81 (0.59 to 1.28)	0.57 (0.32 to 1.34)

Numbers in bold indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

Values are adjusted relative risks* (95% CIs; cohort A).

*Regression models were adjusted for age (20–39, 40–59 and \geq 60 years); sex; region of residence (Seoul Capital Area, Daegu/Gyeongbuk area, and other area); Charlson comorbidity index (0, 1 and \geq 2); history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, stroke and cardiovascular disease; body mass index (continuous; using the restricted cubic spline function); systolic blood pressure (continuous); diastolic blood pressure (continuous); fasting blood glucose (continuous); serum total cholesterol (continuous); glomerular filtration rate (\geq 90, 60–89 and \leq 59 mL/min); household income (low, middle and high); smoking (never, ex and current); alcoholic drinks (<1, 1–2, 3–4 and \geq 5 days per week); medication for hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease.

+Severe COVID-19 consisted of requirement of oxygen therapy, admission to the intensive care unit, invasive ventilation or death.

Strengths and limitations

There are several limitations in this study that need to be addressed. First, physical activity was assessed by self-report, which may lead to misclassification. Misclassification of physical activities by a self-report usually leads to regression dilution bias, which may lead to an underestimation of the magnitude of true association.²² Second, we can only assess the binary variable of muscle strengthening activity. Although frequency of muscle strengthening activity was not available, 2018 physical activity guidelines recommend only the use of binary variable (≥ 2 times/ week), not frequency data. Third, the data of physical activity status was obtained at a single point of recent general health examinations and excluded light intensity physical activity. Furthermore, there is the possibility that individuals may tend to overestimate levels of physical activity on average with variations in possible effects, especially over longer periods of recall. However, the 'exposure' of physical activity can change at index

data, which may influence the magnitude of the true association. Moreover, physical activity was only collected during leisure time; household and occupation-related physical activities were missing, which may influence the magnitude of true association.³⁹ Future prospective cohort or interventional studies with serial and direct measurements of physical activity (ie, mobile exercise apps) are needed to investigate the true association between exercise and COVID-19. Fourth, although we adjusted a variety of covariates and matched using propensity score, we cannot exclude the possibility of unexpected confounding variables such as dietary patterns. To address this, we generated several cohort studies and performed several sensitivity analyses that may make our results robust and generalisable. Fifth, our results should be interpreted with caution since our study comprised only Asian patients (Korean), and ethnic prevalence of ACE2 polymorphism is different, which may affect the ACE2 axis. Finally, COVID-19 outcomes have improved over time, due

 Table 4
 Sensitivity and additional analysis of the association of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 outcomes and COVID-19 related death associated with study patients who engaged in physical activities according to exercise guideline or MET score

Events	Cohort	N (%)	Exposure	Adjusted RR (95% CI)
COVID-19	Online supplemental table S2; Propensity score matched cohort A	95/2649 (3.6)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*
		69/2649 (2.6)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	0.73 (0.54 to 0.99)
COVID-19	Online supplemental table S5; cohort C	1087/33 263 (3.3)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		344/12 650 (2.7)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.91 (0.79 to 1.04)
		249/9689 (2.6)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.78 (0.66 to 0.92)
		615/20 793 (3.0)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	0.94 (0.85 to 1.05)
COVID-19	Online supplemental table S6; Propensity score matched cohort C	991/29 993 (3.3)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ref)†
		852/29 993 (2.8)	High physical activity (MET, \geq 500)	0.90 (0.83 to 0.99)
COVID-19	Online supplemental table S8; cohort D	803/22 811 (3.5)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		1641/50 052 (3.3)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.89 (0.82 to 0.97)
		816/25 932 (3.2)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.85 (0.75 to 0.96)
		622/19 973 (3.1)	Highly active (MET, \geq 1000)	0.85 (0.74 to 0.99)
COVID-19	Online supplemental table S9; propensity score matched cohort D	429/11 930 (3.6)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ref)†
		372/11 930 (3.1)	High physical activity (MET, ≥500)	0.87 (0.76 to 0.99)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S2; propensity score matched cohort A	21/2649 (0.79)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*
		9/2649 (0.34)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	0.43 (0.20 to 0.95)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S3; cohort B	273/1293 (21.1)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*
		25/150 (16.7)	Muscle strengthening only	0.87 (0.58 to 1.26)
		109/561 (19.4)	Aerobic only	0.90 (0.72 to 1.10)
		39/291 (13.4)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	0.66 (0.47 to 0.90)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S5; cohort C	239/33 263 (0.72)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		58/12 650 (0.46)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.78 (0.53 to 1.12)
		39/9689 (0.40)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.62 (0.43 to 0.90)
		110/20 793 (0.53)	Highly active (MET, \geq 1000)	0.79 (0.61 to 1.03)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S6; propensity score matched cohort C	209/29 993 (0.70)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ref)†
		146/29 993 (0.49)	High physical activity (MET, ≥500)	0.77 (0.63 to 0.95)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S8; cohort D	75/22 811 (0.33)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		109/50 052 (0.22)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.65 (0.47 to 0.86)
		49/25 932 (0.19)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.54 (0.35 to 0.79)
		44/19 973 (0.22)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	0.66 (0.45 to 0.96)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S9; propensity score matched cohort D	40/11 930 (0.28)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ref)†
		24/11 930 (0.20)	High physical activity (MET, ≥500)	0.58 (0.35 to 0.99)
Severe COVID-19‡	Online supplemental table S10; cohort E	75/803 (9.3)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		109/1641 (6.6)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.73 (0.53 to 0.99)
		49/816 (6.0)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.68 (0.44 to 0.98)
		44/622 (7.1)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	0.74 (0.48 to 1.12)
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S2; propensity score matched cohort A	3/2649 (0.11)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*
		1/2619 (0.04)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	0.34 (0.04 to 3.20)
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S3; cohort B	32/1293 (205)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*
		0/150 (0.0)	Muscle strengthening only	NA
		11/561 (2.0)	Aerobic only	0.71 (0.35 to 1.42)
		2/291 (0.7)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	0.28 (0.06 to 1.20)
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S5; cohort C	27/33 263 (0.07)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†
		5/12 650 (0.05)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.81 (0.22 to 2.42)
		1/9689 (0.01)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.17 (0.07 to 0.98)
		12/20 793 (0.06)	Highly active (MET, \geq 1000)	0./9 (0.42 to 1.59)
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S6; propensity score matched cohort C	23/29 993 (0.07)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ret)†

Table 4 Continued						
Events	Cohort	N (%)	Exposure	Adjusted RR (95% CI)		
		11/29 993 (0.04)	High physical activity (MET, ≥500)	0.51 (0.26 to 1.01)		
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S8; cohort D	39/22 811 (0.17)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†		
		53/50 052 (0.11)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.60 (0.40 to 0.93)		
		17/25 932 (0.07)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.35 (0.20 to 0.64)		
		13/19 973 (0.07)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	0.37 (0.19 to 0.71)		
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S9; propensity score matched cohort D	21/11 930 (0.18)	Low physical activity (MET, 0–500)	1.0 (ref)‡		
		9/11 930 (0.08)	High physical activity (MET, ≥500)	0.46 (0.22 to 0.96)		
COVID-19 related death	Online supplemental table S10; cohort E	39/803 (4.9)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†		
		53/1641 (3.2)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	0.74 (0.49 to 1.06)		
		17/816 (2.1)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	0.49 (0.27 to 0.82)		
		13/622 (2.1)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	0.43 (0.22 to 0.83)		
Events	Cohort	Mean day (SD)	Exposure	Adjusted mean difference* (95% CI)		
Length of stay in hospital	Online supplemental table S3; cohort B	27.4 (15.3)	Insufficient aerobic and muscle strengthening	1.0 (ref)*		
		26.3 (14.4)	Muscle strengthening only	-0.68 (3.28 to 1.89)		
		26.7 (15.4)	Aerobic only	-0.57 (-2.06 to 0.92)		
		25.0 (13.6)	Aerobic and muscle strengthening	-2.08 (-4.04 to -0.14)		
Length of stay in hospital	Online supplemental table S10; cohort E	27.5 (16.5)	Inactive (MET, 0)	1.0 (ref)†		
		27.3 (15.1)	Insufficiently active (MET, 1–<500)	-0.18 (-1.47 to 1.11)		
		24.8 (14.3)	Active (MET, 500-<1000)	-1.85 (-3.63 to -0.05)		
		26.1 (14.3)	Highly active (MET, ≥1000)	-0.68 (-3.19 to 1.82)		

Numbers in bold indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

*Regression models were adjusted for the age; sex; region of residence (Seoul Capital Area, Daegu/Gyeongbuk area and other areas); Charlson comorbidity index (0, 1 and \geq 2); history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, stroke and cardiovascular disease; body mass index (continuous; using the restricted cubic spline function); systolic blood pressure (continuous); diastolic blood pressure (continuous); fasting blood glucose (continuous); serum total cholesterol (continuous); glomerular filtration rate (\geq 90, 60–89 and \leq 59 mL/ min); household income (low, middle and high); smoking (never, ex and current); alcoholic drinks (<1, 1–2, 3–4 and \geq 5 days per week); medication for hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease.

tRegression models were adjusted for the age (20–59, 60–69, 70–79 and ≥80 years); sex; region of residence (rural and urban); Charlson comorbidity index (0, 1 and ≥2); history of diabetes mellitus, tuberculosis, stroke and cardiovascular disease; body mass index (continuous; using the restricted cubic spline function); systolic blood pressure (continuous); diastolic blood pressure (continuous); fasting blood glucose (continuous); serum total cholesterol (continuous); glomerular filtration rate (≥90, 60–89 and ≤59 mL/ min); household income (low, middle and high); smoking (never, ex and current); alcoholic drinks (<1, 1–2, 3–4 and ≥5 days per week); medication for hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease.

\$Severe COVID-19 consisted of admission to the intensive care unit, invasive ventilation or death.

MET, metabolic equivalent of task; RR, relative risk.

to improvement in management,⁴⁰ and our study was performed with data from the early stages of the pandemic. Further longitudinal studies are warranted. Sixth, measurement error in some confounders such as alcohol consumption and household income can result in residual confounding. Furthermore, the estimates are biased as the study did not capture the time-varying nature of both exposure and many of the confounders.⁴¹ Seventh, some estimated 95% CIs such as 0.05 or 0.06 in table 2 clearly suggest sparse data bias, which should be acknowledged as an important limitation.⁴² Although we used several analyses such as modified Poisson regressions model and matching analysis, it should be noted that the target effect of each analysis are different. While conditional effect is estimated in modified Poisson regressions, average effect in the exposed group is estimated in propensity score methods. Finally, patients with self-referral were tested anonymously to maintain privacy, and their data were unavailable in our database; therefore, exclusion of those with selfreferral may have led to increase in selection bias.

Despite these limitations, this is the first large-scale, population-based, nationwide study to investigate the association between physical activity and risk of COVID-19 infectivity, severity and related death. Our data were collected from a large population that contains nationwide data of physical activity of over 100 000 individuals. To date, this is the first and largest analysis that focused on these relationships. We used the validated physical activity assessment according to the 2018 physical activity guidelines for Americans, and our data (such as previous medical history, body mass index, blood pressure and blood sample) were measured or obtained by medical staff during personal medical interview.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides new evidence that physical activity, including both aerobic and muscle strengthening exercises, led to substantial reductions in the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2, risk of poor outcomes and death related to COVID-19. In particular, the effect sizes of these associations were significant among elderly, men, never smokers and those having low Charlson comorbidity index. However, the recommended key target range of MET score was associated with the maximum beneficial effect size for reduced the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19

Original research

illness and COVID-19 related death. Interestingly, the length of hospital stay was shortened by approximately 2 days in patients who reported aerobic and muscle strengthening activities that met or exceeded the 2018 exercise guidelines (adjusted mean difference: -2.08 days) or those with MET 500-1000 MET min/week (adjusted mean difference: -1.85 days). The findings of the study suggest that public health policies and strategies to increase physical activity at the population level may reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and minimise adverse consequences in patients with COVID-19. Encouraging individuals to maintain recommended levels of physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic should be promptly and vigorously considered at the public health level.

Key messages

What are the findings?

- Our results indicated that those who engaged in both aerobic and muscle strengthening activity according to 2018 exercise guidelines had a lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (adjusted relative risk (aRR), 0.85; 95% CI 0.72 to 0.96), severe COVID-19 illness (aRR 0.42; 95% CI 0.19 to 0.91) and COVID-19 related death (aRR, 0.24; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.99) than those who did not.
- Our findings reported that the recommended key target range of metabolic equivalent task (MET; 500-1000 MET min/week) was associated with the maximum beneficial effect size for reduced the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection (aRR 0.78; 95% CI 0.66 to 0.92), severe COVID-19 illness (aRR 0.62; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.90) and COVID-19 related death (aRR 0.17; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.98). The length of stay in hospital was shortened about approximately 2 days in patients with both aerobic and muscle strengthening or with 500-1000 MET min/week.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the future?

- ► The findings of the study suggest that public health policies and strategies to increase physical activity at the population level may reduce the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and minimise adverse consequences in patients with COVID-19.
- Encouraging individuals to have active level of physical ► activity during the COVID-19 pandemic should be promptly and actively considered at the public health level.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Data Science, Sejong University College of Software Convergence, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

²Department of Psychiatry, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Korea (the Republic of)

³Department of Ophthalmology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

⁴Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Program in MPE Molecular Pathological Epidemiology, Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

⁷Urology Institute, University Hospitals, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

⁸Deparment of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁹Research and Development Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Deu, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁰Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Pg. Lluis Companys, Barcelona, Spain

¹¹Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid,

Spain ¹²Faculty of Medicine, University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Montigny-

¹³Pain and Rehabilitation Centre and Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, Linkoping, Sweden

¹⁴The Cambridge Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford, UK

¹⁵Channing Division of Network Medicine. Department of Medicine. Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁶Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁷Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁸Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

⁹Department of Pediatrics, CHA Gangnam Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

²⁰Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, Korea (the Republic of) ²¹Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Sciences and Technology (SAIHST),

Sungkyunkwan University, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of) ²²Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sports Sciences, Oslo, Norway

²³Department of Chronic Diseases and Ageing, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

²⁴Department of Pediatrics, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea (the Republic of)

Acknowledgements The authors appreciate healthcare professionals dedicated to treating patients with COVID-19 in Korea, the Ministry of Health and Welfare, the Health Insurance Review & Assessment Service of Korea and the National Health Insurance Service of Korea for sharing invaluable national cohorts in a prompt manner

Contributors DKY had full access to all of the data in the study and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors approved the final version before submission. Study concept and design: SWL, JIS and DKY; acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data: SWL, SYM, HYJ and DKY; drafting of the manuscript: SWL, JL, JIS and DKY; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: all authors; statistical analysis: SWL and DKY; study supervision: SWL, JIS and DKY. DKY is guarantor. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Funding This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (NRF2019R1G1A109977913).

Disclaimer The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation or writing of the report.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The National Health Insurance Service-National Sample Cohort has ethical approval form the Institutional Review Board of Sejong University (SJU-HR-E-2020-003).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. Study protocol, statistical code: available from DKYon (email: yonkkang@gmail.com). Data set: available from the National Health Insurance Service of Korea (NHIS-COVID-19 data) through a data use agreement.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

ORCID iDs

Seung Won Lee http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5632-5208 I-Min Lee http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1083-6907 Min Seo Kim http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2115-7835 Dong Keon Yon http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1628-9948

Original research

REFERENCES

- Lee SW, Yang JM, Yoo IK, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and the risk of severe COVID-19: a post-hoc analysis from the Korean nationwide cohort. Gut 2020. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323672. [Epub ahead of print: 10 Dec 2020].
- 2 Lee SW, Yang JM, Moon SY, *et al*. Association between mental illness and COVID-19 susceptibility and clinical outcomes in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;7:1025–31.
- 3 Lee SW, Ha EK, Yeniova Abdullah Özgür, *et al.* Severe clinical outcomes of COVID-19 associated with proton pump inhibitors: a nationwide cohort study with propensity score matching. *Gut* 2021;70:76–84.
- 4 Haas EJ, Angulo FJ, McLaughlin JM, *et al.* Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide vaccination campaign in Israel: an observational study using national surveillance data. *Lancet* 2021;397:1819–29.
- 5 Yang JM, Koh HY, Moon SY, et al. Allergic disorders and susceptibility to and severity of COVID-19: A nationwide cohort study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020;146:790–8.
- 6 Jordan RE, Adab P, Cheng KK. Covid-19: risk factors for severe disease and death. BMJ 2020;368:m1198.
- 7 Lee I-M, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major noncommunicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet* 2012;380:219–29.
- 8 Ekelund U, Tarp J, Steene-Johannessen J, et al. Dose-Response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. BMJ 2019;366:14570.
- 9 Kyu HH, Bachman VF, Alexander LT, et al. Physical activity and risk of breast cancer, colon cancer, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and ischemic stroke events: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. BMJ 2016;354:i3857.
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. JAMA 2018;320:2020–8.
- 11 Baik I, Curhan GC, Rimm EB, et al. A prospective study of age and lifestyle factors in relation to community-acquired pneumonia in US men and women. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:3082–8.
- 12 Chastin S, Abaraogu U, Bourgois J, et al. Physical activity, immune function and risk of community acquired infectious disease in the general population: systematic review and meta-analysis. SSRN 2020.
- 13 Jedrychowski W, Maugeri U, Flak E, et al. Cohort study on low physical activity level and recurrent acute respiratory infections in schoolchildren. Cent Eur J Public Health 2001;9:126–9.
- 14 Stockwell S, Trott M, Tully M, et al. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviours from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: a systematic review. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2021;7:e000960.
- 15 Lee SW, Yuh WT, Yang JM, *et al.* Nationwide results of COVID-19 contact tracing in South Korea: individual participant data from an epidemiological survey. *JMIR Med Inform* 2020;8:e20992.
- 16 Elliott N, Martin R, Heron N, et al. Infographic. graduated return to play guidance following COVID-19 infection. Br J Sports Med 2020;54:1174–5.
- 17 Tison GH, Avram R, Kuhar P, et al. Worldwide effect of COVID-19 on physical activity: a descriptive study. Ann Intern Med 2020;173:767–70.
- 18 Rowlands AV, Kloecker DE, Chudasama Y, et al. Association of timing and balance of physical activity and Rest/Sleep with risk of COVID-19: a UK Biobank study. Mayo Clin Proc 2021;96:156–64.
- 19 Brawner CA, Ehrman JK, Bole S, et al. Inverse relationship of maximal exercise capacity to hospitalization secondary to coronavirus disease 2019. Mayo Clin Proc 2021;96:32–9.
- 20 Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale CR, et al. Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory mechanisms, and COVID-19 hospitalization: a community-based cohort study of 387,109 adults in UK. Brain Behav Immun 2020;87:184–7.

- 21 Sallis R, Young DR, Tartof SY, *et al.* Physical inactivity is associated with a higher risk for severe COVID-19 outcomes: a study in 48 440 adult patients. *Br J Sports Med* 2021. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2021-104080. [Epub ahead of print: 13 Apr 2021].
- 22 Zhao M, Veeranki SP, Magnussen CG, *et al*. Recommended physical activity and all cause and cause specific mortality in US adults: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2020;370:m2031.
- 23 Woo A, Lee SW, Koh HY, et al. Incidence of cancer after asthma development: 2 independent population-based cohort studies. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2021;147:135–43.
- 24 Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003;35:1381–95.
- 25 Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and Met intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32:S498–516.
- 26 Shin YH, Shin JI, Moon SY, et al. Autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases and COVID-19 outcomes in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. Lancet Rheumatol 2021. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(21)00151-X. [Epub ahead of print: 18 Jun 2021].
- 27 Lee SW, Yon DK, James CC, et al. Short-Term effects of multiple outdoor environmental factors on risk of asthma exacerbations: age-stratified time-series analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019;144:1542–50.
- 28 Lee SW, Kim SY, Moon SY, et al. Estimating COVID-19 infection and severity risks in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis: a Korean nationwide cohort study. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2021;9:2262–71.
- 29 Ha J, Lee SW, Yon DK. Ten-Year trends and prevalence of asthma, allergic rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis among the Korean population, 2008–2017. *Clin Exp Pediatr* 2020;63:278–83.
- 30 Pape K, Ryttergaard L, Rotevatn TA, et al. Leisure-Time physical activity and the risk of suspected bacterial infections. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2016;48:1737–44.
- 31 Ghilotti F, Pesonen A-S, Raposo SE, et al. Physical activity, sleep and risk of respiratory infections: a Swedish cohort study. PLoS One 2018;13:e0190270.
- 32 Neuman MI, Willett WC, Curhan GC. Physical activity and the risk of communityacquired pneumonia in US women. *Am J Med* 2010;123:281.e7–281.e11.
- 33 Grande AJ, Keogh J, Silva V, et al. Exercise versus no exercise for the occurrence, severity, and duration of acute respiratory infections. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020;4:Cd010596.
- 34 Simpson RJ, Kunz H, Agha N. Exercise and the regulation of immune functions. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2015;135:355–80.
- 35 Stravinskas Durigon T, MacKenzie B, Carneiro Oliveira-Junior M, et al. Aerobic exercise protects from Pseudomonas aeruginosa-induced pneumonia in elderly mice. J Innate Immun 2018;10:279–90.
- 36 Klöting N, Ristow M, Blüher M. Effects of exercise on ACE2. *Obesity* 2020;28:2266-7.
- 37 Chesnut WM, MacDonald S, Wambier CG. Could diet and exercise reduce risk of COVID-19 syndemic? *Med Hypotheses* 2021;148:110502.
- 38 Grubic N, Jain S, Mihajlovic V, et al. Competing against COVID-19: have we forgotten about student-athletes' mental health? Br J Sports Med 2021. doi:10.1136/ bjsports-2021-104218. [Epub ahead of print: 27 May 2021].
- 39 Autenrieth CS, Baumert J, Baumeister SE, et al. Association between domains of physical activity and all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality. Eur J Epidemiol 2011;26:91–9.
- 40 Serling-Boyd N, D'Silva KM, Hsu TY, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 outcomes among patients with rheumatic diseases 6 months into the pandemic. Ann Rheum Dis 2020. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219279. [Epub ahead of print: 30 Nov 2020].
- 41 Mansournia MA, Etminan M, Danaei G, et al. Handling time varying confounding in observational research. BMJ 2017;359:j4587.
- 42 Greenland S, Mansournia MA, Altman DG. Sparse data bias: a problem hiding in plain sight. BMJ 2016;352:i1981.