

Effects of Mother's and Father's Education Level and Age at Migration on Children's
Bilingual Vocabulary

Ingelin Westeren¹, Anne-Marie Halberg¹, Heloise Marie Ledesma^{2,3}, Astri Heen Wold¹, and
Brit Oppedal²

¹University of Oslo, Norway

²Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Norway

³Oslo University Hospital, Norway

Abstract

The present study addressed important gaps in the research literature on bilingual development by examining the effects of both mother's and father's education level and age at migration on children's bilingual vocabulary in two different age groups. The sample included 81 preschoolers and 92 preadolescents with two Turkish immigrant parents living in Norway. The children were born in Norway, or migrated to Norway before/at the age of 3. The children completed Norwegian and Turkish vocabulary tests during home visits while mothers provided information regarding both parents' education and age at migration in structured interviews. Results from hierarchical regression analyses showed that father's education significantly predicted all children's majority (Norwegian) vocabulary scores while mother's education significantly predicted majority vocabulary scores in the preschoolers. Father's education significantly predicted minority (Turkish) vocabulary scores among the preadolescents. Mother's, but not father's, age at migration significantly predicted preschoolers' majority vocabulary scores and preadolescents' minority vocabulary. Hence, the parental background variables predicted minority vocabulary scores only among the preadolescents, not the preschoolers. We conclude that mothers and fathers influence the minority and majority language skills of their bilingual children differently and that their influence vary depending on the age of the child.

Effects of Mother's and Father's Education and Age at Migration on Children's Bilingual Vocabulary

The bilingual skills of children of immigrants are crucial for the children's cognitive development, academic achievements and family relations (Bakken, 2003; Bialystok, 2011; Tannenbaum & Berkovic, 2005). Western European countries have experienced a notable increase in the population of immigrants during the last decades. Children in these minority groups have the opportunity and the challenge of acquiring two languages, as opposed to their monolingual majority peers. Accumulating research suggests that children of immigrants may benefit from learning both the majority and the minority language, as bilingualism is associated with positive outcomes in cognitive, academic and social domains (Bialystok, 2011; Cummins, 2000; Tannenbaum & Berkovic, 2005). However, more knowledge is needed regarding which factors predict competence in each of the children's two languages. This study focuses on children who were born in Norway to two immigrant parents born in Turkey and the minority (Turkish) and majority (Norwegian) language skills of these children. We explore the effect of parental background factors, more specifically father's and mother's education and age at migration, on children's competence in both of their languages for two different age groups. In this study, we focus on children's vocabulary. A well-developed vocabulary helps a child to comprehend the content of communication in everyday life and in the classroom. Furthermore, vocabulary is clearly related to literacy skills both in monolingual (Snow, Burns, & Griffin, 1998) and bilingual children (Lervåg & Aukrust, 2010).

Turkish Immigrants in Norway

Various immigrant groups have unique migration histories and characteristics that distinguish them from other groups (Henriksen, 2007). Before the immigration ban in 1975, which is still enforced, Turkish immigrants came as low-skilled labor immigrants hired in

low-status jobs. After 1975, Turks continued immigrating to Norway, by means of family reunions and migration marriages. In three out of four marriages among Turkish immigrants in Norway, the spouse is a citizen of Turkey (Henriksen, 2007). As a group, Turkish immigrants in Norway are slower in social mobility and educational attainment, lagging behind most other immigrant groups and majority of the Norwegian population. Women, especially, have low participation in the labor force (Henriksen, 2007). Research on Turkish immigrant families in Norway suggests that there are both 'traditional' and 'modern' gender roles within this immigrant group (Sandrup, 2013). In some families, the father has the traditional role as the main provider in the household, less directly involved in the upbringing of the child. In other families, however, the father takes on a more modern gender role, being more directly involved in interaction with the child. Most families have access to Turkish media (TV, radio) (Scheele, Leseman, & Mayo, 2010) and visits to Turkey during holidays are frequent (Blom & Henriksen, 2008), thereby exposing children to the minority language.

Most children, including children within the immigrant population, attend preschool in Norway (Statistics Norway, 2012). The children are immersed in a Norwegian language context within the preschools. The language of education in Norway is Norwegian. Teaching in other mother-tongue languages and bilingual education are only offered during transition periods and only as a means for the child to learn Norwegian and/or understand the subject matter (Garthus-Niegel, Oppedal, & Vike, 2015). It is therefore not likely that children born in Norway, to two Turkish immigrant parents, receive any bilingual education or education in their mother-tongue.

Parental Education and Children's Vocabulary

Socioeconomic background (SES) is a composite variable, comprising indicators such as income, occupational status, and educational level. SES may be measured by mother's and father's background variables, or a combination of information from both. The most common

operationalization of SES in studies of language skills is mother's education (Hoff, 2006). Mother's education predicts children's language skills more consistently than other SES indicators such as income (Hammer, Farkas, & Maczuga, 2010). In a Norwegian sociocultural context, parental education appears to be a more valid SES-measure than income and occupation. In spite of their educational resources, immigrants with high education might have low-paid, low-skilled jobs (Fekjær, 2007).

Majority language. Cross-sectional research studies have consistently demonstrated that SES is associated with children's vocabulary in *monolingual* samples (Hart & Risley, 1995; Hoff, 2003, 2006). Furthermore, Walker, Greenwood, Hart, and Carta (1994) found that vocabulary differences associated with SES identified among three-year-old children in the U.S. persisted even when the children were from five to ten years of age (i.e., even when they had gained school experience). Walker et al. (1994) concluded that in the high SES families, parents engaged their children in activities associated with better vocabulary skills, such as child-oriented language games, fantasy elaboration of everyday events, oral storytelling, and frequent verbal communication. SES, more specifically parental education, is also related to *bilingual* children's vocabulary skills, but the relationship varies depending on the language being measured (August & Shanahan, 2006; Dixon, Wu, & Daraghmeh, 2012; Dixon, Zhao, Quiroz, & Shin, 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005).

Far more studies have focused on bilingual children's majority language skills than on their minority language and the results are similar to the results from studies on monolingual children (Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012). There are positive relations between parental SES and bilingual children's majority vocabulary in the U.S., (Buac, Gross, & Kaushanskaya, 2014; Duursma et al., 2007; Hammer et al., 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005; Quiroz, Snow, & Zhao, 2010), in Canada (Golberg, Paradis, & Crago, 2008), in the multilingual and multicultural context of Singapore (Dixon, 2011; Dixon, Wu, et al., 2012; Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012;

Saravanan, 2001), as well as in European immigration contexts (Prevoo et al., 2014; Rydland, Grøver, & Lawrence, 2014; Scheele et al., 2010). However, a study among Turkish-Dutch three-year-olds in the Netherlands showed no such relationship (Scheele et al., 2010). The researchers concluded that the language maintenance patterns and language use within Turkish immigrant families might not correspond with SES.

SES is typically measured by mother's education, or by a composite index that includes mothers' education in the studies mentioned above. In two studies that involved separate measures of mother's and father's level of education, only the mothers' education correlated significantly with the majority language competence of the child (Hammer et al., 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005). The study design involved children and parents from a variety of immigrant groups, which makes it difficult to separate associations between education and ethnicity.

Studies investigating the effect of parental SES on children's majority language competence have typically involved young children between two and seven years of age (Buac et al., 2014; Dixon, Wu, et al., 2012; Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012; Golberg et al., 2008; Hammer et al., 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005, Prevoo et al., 2014; Quiroz et al., 2010; Scheele et al., 2010). A few studies that included children up to ten years yielded similar results to those found in younger samples (Golberg et al., 2008; Rydland et al., 2014). To the best of our knowledge, this association has not been examined among children older than ten years. Considering that vocabulary skills predict academic achievements, this age gap in the research is unfortunate. The present study therefore includes a group of 12-year-olds in addition to a group of five-year-olds.

Minority language. Some of the studies previously mentioned included children's minority language scores. In general, they did not find significant relations between SES and minority vocabulary. Non-significant associations between parental SES and minority

vocabulary were observed in studies within the Spanish-English context in the U.S., (Buac et al., 2014; Hammer, Davison, Lawrence, & Miccio, 2009; Hammer et al., 2012; Quiroz et al., 2010), for Chinese, Malay, and Tamil children's minority vocabulary in the multicultural context of Singapore (Dixon, Wu, et al., 2012; Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012) and in immigration contexts in Europe, including Turkish immigrants (Prevoo et al., 2014; Scheele et al., 2010). In contrast, parental education positively predicted children's minority language scores in a U.S. study of five-year-olds (Bohman, Bedore, Pena, Mendez-Perez, & Gillam, 2010). The authors argued that children in low SES groups were likely to represent families who were recent immigrants to the U.S. and that parental generational status and SES were somehow confounded in the study.

As in the case for studies focusing on the majority language, studies on minority vocabulary also focus on preschoolers and young school-age children (Buac et al., 2014; Dixon, Wu, et al., 2012; Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012; Hammer et al., 2009; Hammer et al., 2012; Quiroz et al., 2010; Scheele et al., 2010). Willard, Agache, Jäkel, Glück, and Leyendecker (2015), however, examined the minority vocabulary skills among both six- and ten-year-old bilingual children with Turkish immigrant background. The results showed that father's education had a *direct* effect on the oldest children's vocabulary scores. The effect on the younger children's scores was 'marginally significant' ($p = .09$) and *indirect*, through home literacy environment. The differences in type and magnitude of effect shows the importance of doing studies across various age groups.

The effect of mother's versus father's education level. Previous research has indicated that, even though mother's and father's education levels often correspond, they influence children's language skills differently (Cabrera, Shannon, & Tamis-LeMonda, 2007; Driessen, Van der Slik, & De Bot, 2002; Hoff & Elledge, 2005; Pancsofar & Vernon-Feagans, 2010; Willard et al., 2015). Willard et al. (2015) found a positive relationship between Turkish

immigrant fathers' education and their children's vocabulary, in contrast to the negative effect of mothers' education. Their results indicated that father's education had an effect over and above mother's education and highlighted the importance of studying mother's and father's background separately. In their study, Driessen et al. (2002) suggested the occurrence of a 'male-dominance' pattern in which characteristics of the father more often affected bilingual children's language competence rather than the characteristics of the mother. A male-dominance pattern is often most pronounced in families in which the mother does not have a job outside the home. The current sample is drawn from a group of Turkish immigrants in Norway, a group characterized by low participation in the labor force among women (Henriksen, 2007). It is therefore possible that a similar male-dominance pattern may be found in our sample.

Parental Age at Migration and Children's Bilingual Vocabulary

Researchers have argued that immigrant status is the most potent family predictor of the child's linguistic outcomes as parents' beliefs about dual language learning and their own patterns of language use vary as a function of immigrant status (Pearson, 2007). Language use tends to shift towards the majority language over immigrant generations (Bhatia & Ritchie, 2004; Hakuta & D'Andrea, 1992) and language shifts have also been observed within generations of immigrants (Pease-Alvarez, 2002). Several studies have shown that parental age at migration is associated with language shifts and that this impacts on the language competence of their children (Becker, 2011; Bhatia & Ritchie, 2004; Hammer et al., 2012; Hurtado & Vega, 2004; Lambert & Taylor, 1996; Pease-Alvarez, 2002; Portes & Schauffler, 1994; Quiroz et al., 2010; Tran, 2010; Willard et al., 2015). Age at migration reflects the number of years the parent spent in Turkish society. An alternative measure is length of residence, as this is a sensitive measure of the number of years the parent has spent in the Norwegian society. National statistics, however, suggest that length of residence and

Norwegian skills often do not correspond in immigrant groups with low participation in the labor force, such as Turkish women in Norway (Blom & Henriksen, 2008). In addition, a 40-year old mother migrating at the age of 20 has the same length of residence as a 25-year old mother migrating at age five. Their Norwegian skills and participation in Norwegian society, however, might be worlds apart. Hence, we chose age at migration as the relevant measure of immigration status in the present study.

Majority language. With respect to the impact of parents' immigrant status on their children's majority vocabulary scores, research among Latino children in the U.S. showed that mother's age at migration, defined as length of residence in the U.S., was positively related to children's majority vocabulary (Quiroz et al., 2010). Likewise, a German study of bilingual preschool children of Turkish origin showed that children of second-generation parents (i.e., their parents were born in Germany to Turkish immigrant parents) had higher scores than children of first-generation parents (Becker, 2011). However, when parents differed in generation status (one first-generation and one second-generation parent), the children's majority vocabulary scores were lowest when mothers were first-generation and fathers were second-generation immigrants. If mothers were second-generation, however, the children's scores increased. The group of children with two second-generation parents had the highest majority vocabulary scores. The results of Becker's study underscore the necessity of including details about both parents' generation status in studies of children's language development.

Minority language. The results from studies regarding the association between parental immigrant status and children's minority language are generally consistent. Children of first-generation mothers have higher vocabulary scores than children of second-generation mothers (Hammer et al., 2012; Willard et al., 2015). Likewise, children's minority vocabulary is negatively related to the length of the mothers' stay in the host country (Quiroz et al.,

2010). Father's generational status is often not included in the studies (e.g., Hammer et al., 2012) and, if included, it is not related to children's vocabulary scores (e.g., Willard et al., 2015). One study compared the associations between the generational status of mothers versus fathers and their children's minority vocabulary scores (Willard et al., 2015). The children of second generation mothers had lower scores than children of first generation mothers. For fathers, however, no association between generational status and minority vocabulary was found.

In general, the evidence from this research reflects a process where the majority language becomes stronger and the minority language becomes weaker over the generations. This pattern, however, may be related to the SES of the family. A study of Cuban immigrants in the U.S. (Lambert & Tyler, 1996) demonstrated loss of minority vocabulary over generations among low-SES but not among high-SES families. In the high-SES families, the minority language and cultural competence were encouraged at the same time as the children learned the majority language. These findings underscore the importance of including both SES variables and immigrant status in studies of children's bilingual skills.

In summary, this literature review revealed five important shortcomings often found in research on parental background and children's language competence:

1. A single focus on the majority language, excluding the minority language (Dixon, Zhao, et al., 2012).
2. A single focus on mothers' background, excluding the fathers (Driessen et al., 2002), making it difficult to detect potential effects of fathers' background.
3. A single focus on one age group, most often preschoolers.
4. Parents' age at migration is not considered (Becker, 2011).
5. Study samples are often heterogeneous, including immigrants speaking a variety of languages and coming from a variety of ethnic groups.

Aim

The present study was designed to fill in these gaps in the literature by including the minority language, fathers' background, two different age groups, parents' age at migration and participants from only one immigrant group. In doing so, the study aims to examine potential relations between *both* parents' background factors and *both* majority and minority language skills among their children, without ethnic background possibly confounding the results. Knowledge of which parental factors significantly predict children's language skills may help in identifying which children may be more vulnerable and could potentially benefit from compensating educational interventions. Our study takes an exploratory approach to answer two questions:

1. Do mother's and father's education levels predict five- and 12-year-olds' vocabulary scores in their minority and majority language?
2. Do mother's and father's ages at migration predict five- and 12-year-olds' vocabulary scores in their minority and majority languages?

Method

Participants

Participants were drawn from a larger investigation on children of Turkish heritage in Norway, Germany, and the Netherlands (Social Integration of Migrant Children: Uncovering Family and School Factors promoting Resilience; SIMCUR). The study design aimed to recruit a convenience sample of 120 children from Norway in each of the age cohorts. The five-year-olds were preschoolers about to transition to first grade and the 12-year-olds were 7th graders about to transition from elementary to secondary school. Children were recruited over a two-year period. The National Population Registry provided contact information for children born in 1998/1999 or 2004/2005, with parents born in either Turkey or Norway, but

with all four grandparents born in Turkey. However, all children identified by the Norwegian registry had both parents born in Turkey.

A total of 202 families (97 preschoolers, 105 preadolescents) participated at the Norwegian site. The present study included 174 families (81 preschoolers, 53% girls, 92 preadolescents, 41% girls) from this sample. We excluded: (a) children who migrated to Norway at/after the age of three ($n = 7$); (b) children who reported that they spoke a language other than Turkish or Norwegian at home ($n = 5$); (c) children with physical impairments ($n = 2$); (d) children missing data on two or more predictor variables ($n = 9$); (e) children missing data on one or both criterion variables ($n = 6$). Given the research showing that crucial language learning takes place before the age of three to four (Torkildsen von Koss, 2010), we excluded children who migrated to Norway after/at the age of three to ensure a uniform sample with regards to the children's early language learning context and their immigrant generation.

In the present sample, all the preschoolers and 92% of the preadolescents had attended preschool. Sixty-nine/fifty-seven percent of the mothers (preschool/preadolescent group) reported speaking mostly or only Turkish to their child, 26/38% reported speaking an equal amount of Norwegian and Turkish and only 5/5% reported speaking mostly or only Norwegian. Sixty-nine/sixty-seven percent of the fathers (preschool/preadolescent group) reported speaking mostly or only Turkish to their child, 22/26% reported speaking an equal amount of Norwegian and Turkish and only 7/7% reported speaking mostly or only Norwegian. Among both mothers and fathers, a majority reported that they could speak Turkish very well (65/76% of the mothers, 67/72% of the fathers) while a much smaller percentage reported that they could speak Norwegian very well (27/22% of the mothers, 25/26% of the fathers).

Procedures

We sent an information brochure in Turkish and Norwegian to possible participants, with subsequent phone calls and door-to-door visits. Data were collected in 2010 and 2011. The Regional Committee for Medical and Health Ethics approved the project. The parents were informed that there were no negative consequences to saying no to participating or withdrawing from the study at any time.

Two trained research assistants visited the families in their homes: one research assistant collected interview, test, and questionnaire data from the child while the other interviewed the mother in her preferred language – Turkish or Norwegian. The children's test battery involved various cognitive tests, in addition to the two vocabulary tests that were the focus of the present study. The mothers provided information about the family and child. For the purpose of the present study, we used the relevant demographic background information about SES and parents' age at migration (immigrant status). The families received a small toy/game for the participating child and cakes and/or tea for the household as a token of appreciation for their participation.

Measures

Demographic measures.

Parents' age at migration. The mothers provided information about her and her partner's age at migration.

Age of the child. Children's age was determined by calculating the child's age in months at the day of testing.

Parental level of education. The mothers reported years of educational attainment for both parents. This information was converted employing the International Standard Classification of Education - ISCED-97 (OECD, 1999), which includes six categories: 0 - no education, 1 - primary school, 2 - lower secondary, 3 - upper secondary, 4 - tertiary less than four years, 5 - tertiary more than four years, 6 - PhD.

Vocabulary measures.

Majority vocabulary. Norwegian expressive vocabulary was assessed using the Expressive One Word Vocabulary Test (EOWPVT) (Brownell, 2000). The children were presented colored pictures and asked to name a variety of objects, actions, or concepts. All test administrations were audio-recorded to assist in scoring decisions afterwards in case of ambiguous answers. Pictures were presented on a computer screen instead of the original test booklet. EOWPVT, originally normed on a monolingual population in the United States, was adapted to the Norwegian context by replacing the item with a map of the United States with a map of Norway. The other test items were retained, in the same order as the original test. We followed the original test rules for establishing the basal (eight correct responses in a row) and ceiling (six incorrect responses in a row) items for each child. Item-response analyses showed that this Norwegian version of the test generally captured the same increase in difficulty level as in the original English version. The Spearman-Brown split-half reliability (odd versus even items) was .98 for the preschoolers and .97 for the preadolescents. Raw scores were used in the analyses.

Minority vocabulary. We chose to assess receptive over expressive vocabulary for the minority language, after pilot testing showed likely floor effects on expressive vocabulary tests for the younger cohort. This is consistent with research suggesting that bilingual children may have difficulty accessing their expressive minority language vocabulary (Gibson, Oller, Jarmulowicz, & Ethington, 2012). As no standardized Turkish vocabulary test was available, an adapted and computer-based research version modelled on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test 4th edition (PPVT-4) (Dunn & Dunn, 2007) was used to assess the children's receptive vocabulary in Turkish. In this version, four illustrations were presented on-screen while a recorded voice provided a stimulus word in Turkish. The child was asked to point to the picture that matched the spoken word. Items were translated and adapted by a team of speech-

language professionals that included native speakers of Turkish at the German research site. PPVT-4 is originally normed on a monolingual population in the United States. Cultural and linguistic differences between the United States and the Turkish immigrant community in Germany were considered and in some cases a different target picture was chosen. Based on the results of efforts to standardize the test adaptation for Turkish language heritage speakers, the children were not tested using the original test administration procedure, in which items are ordered by increasing difficulty and a basal and ceiling level are determined. Instead, fixed item sets were selected for specific age ranges based on prior test development data (Glück, 2009). The reason for this is that translation and adaptation of the items lead to changes in item difficulty. For example, several of the most difficult items in the original English version were included in the item sets for preschoolers because the translations were high-frequency words in Turkish. The item sets included 156 and 133 items for the preschoolers and the preadolescents, respectively. The test items within each set were arranged according to the original English test. It was originally intended for item sets to be administered in full, but this proved too exhausting for many children in the younger cohort. We applied a ceiling of eight incorrect responses in a row, which was harder for the children to reach than the original ceiling of 8 errors within a 12 item set. Requiring errors to be made consecutively minimized the chances that the ceiling was reached due to fluctuations in item difficulty. The Spearman-Brown split-half reliability was .73 for the preschoolers and .86 for the preadolescents. Raw scores were used in the analyses.

Analyses

We used IBM SPSS version 22.0 for the purpose of the descriptive and hierarchical multiple regression analyses. All analyses were conducted separately for each age group. A solid base of research has found gender- and age-related differences in children's vocabulary skills and we therefore considered it necessary to control for these two factors in the analyses.

By age-related differences we hereby mean within-group age differences and by gender we mean the gender of the child (not the parent).

Missing data were replaced using the expectation-maximization method (EM) (Enders, 2001). Little's MCAR test revealed missing values to be randomly distributed in our dataset, among both the preschoolers: $\chi^2 = 19.6$, $df = 28$, $p = .88$ and the preadolescents: $\chi^2 = 23.3$, $df = 29$, $p = .77$.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1. The preschool and the preadolescent groups were similar with respect to parental age at migration. The preschoolers' mothers, but not fathers, reported higher educational level ($M = 2.5$, $SD = 1.3$) than the preadolescents' mothers ($M = 2.0$, $SD = 1.2$, $t(171) = 2.28$, $p = .014$). The fathers were slightly higher educated ($M = 2.4$, $SD = 1.2$) than the mothers in the preadolescent group ($M = 2.0$, $SD = 1.3$, $t(92) = -2.77$, $p = .007$)

-Table 1 about here-

Results from bivariate correlation analyses are presented in Table 2. It can be seen that both mother's and father's education level correlated positively with the minority and majority language vocabulary scores of both preschoolers and preadolescents. However, there was one notable exception: the correlation between mother's education and the preadolescents' minority vocabulary score was not significant. With respect to age at migration, the findings showed that mother's age at migration correlated significantly and negatively with the preschoolers', but not with the preadolescents' majority vocabulary scores. This implies that the older the mothers were at the time of migration, the lower the preschoolers' majority vocabulary scores. In addition, mother's age at migration was positively associated with the preadolescents' minority vocabulary scores, whereas among the preschoolers, the correlation

was low and non-significant. There was a strong positive correlation between mothers' and fathers' education in both age groups, suggesting that spouses tended to have a similar level of educational attainment. In contrast, the association between mothers' and fathers' age at migration was non-significant.

-Table 2 about here-

Hierarchical Regression Analyses

We conducted two separate hierarchical regression analyses for each age group: one with the majority and one with the minority vocabulary scores as the criterion. We entered the control variables (children's age and gender) in Step 1 and added mothers' and fathers' education and age at migration in Step 2. All predictors, except child's gender, were grand mean-centered within age-group before they were entered in the analysis. All analyses are based on raw scores. Both unstandardized and standardized scores are reported in the regression analyses.

Majority language scores. Among the preschoolers, mothers' age at migration and mothers' and fathers' level of education significantly predicted majority language vocabulary scores, when the child's age and gender were controlled for. The total variance explained by the model was 44%, $F(6, 74) = 9.59, p < .001$ (Table 3). Among the preadolescents, fathers' education was the only significant predictor and the total model accounted for 22% of the variance, $F(6, 85) = 3.89, p = .002$ (Table 3).

-Table 3 about here-

Minority language scores. Among the preschoolers, none of the parental predictors reached significance in relation to the minority language vocabulary scores; nevertheless, the change in the variance explained by these predictors was significant (Table 4). The child's age was the only significant predictor. The total variance explained by the model in this age group was 24%, $F(6, 74) = 3.89, p = .002$. Among the preadolescents, fathers' education and

mothers' age at migration were significant predictors of the children's scores. The total model explained 17% of the variance, $F(6, 85) = 2.90, p = .013$ (Table 4).

- Table 4 about here-

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate whether mother's and father's education level and age at migration predicted preschoolers' and preadolescents' bilingual vocabulary. The parental background variables predicted the majority vocabulary scores in both age groups while they predicted the minority vocabulary scores only among the preadolescents. Our findings show that for children who are immersed in Norwegian language contexts in preschools and schools, parental factors still impact their vocabulary scores.

The Dynamics between Mother's and Father's Education Level

Majority language. Children in both age groups show higher majority vocabulary scores as parental education level increases, a finding in line with a large body of research (e.g., Dixon, 2011; Driessen et al., 2002; Hammer et al., 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005; Leseman, 2000; Prevoo et al., 2014; Quiroz et al., 2010; Rydland et al., 2014; Tran, 2010). Our results resemble the findings from a Dutch study (Prevoo et al., 2014), that found parental education to be a resource for children's majority vocabulary. In contrast, Scheele et al. (2010) did not find significant relations between parental education and children's vocabulary among Turkish immigrants in Germany. One potential explanation for these conflicting results may be the differences in the recruitment procedures. Scheele et al. (2010) excluded children spending more than two days per week in day care facilities and children experiencing less than 70% of language input in the minority language. The Dutch and the Norwegian studies did not have such exclusion criteria. The use of day care facilities is common among Turkish immigrant families in Norway, Germany, and the Netherlands and language use varies considerably. Based on their exclusion criteria, the Scheele et al.'s (2010) sample was drawn

from a somewhat different population than the participants in the two other studies. The conflicting results suggest that the proportion of language exposure may moderate the effects of parental education level on test scores. Moreover, Scheele et al. (2010) used a slightly different SES measure; the highest education of the parents was combined with their occupational level. However, there is often a mismatch between immigrant parents' level of education and the types of jobs they have (Fekjær, 2007). Our results suggest that using a disaggregated SES-measure, in which education and occupation is separated, may be more relevant for research on family background and children's language development.

Our finding that fathers' education plays a significant role contradicts the results of two U.S. studies (Hammer et al., 2012; Hoff & Elledge, 2005). Hoff and Elledge (2005) studied children younger than those in the present study. One may consider that 2-to 3-year olds are potentially less influenced by their fathers, as mothers are often the primary caretaker at that age. The researchers included children from various bilingual families, ranging from families in which one parent spoke French, to families in which both spoke Spanish. Their findings might therefore not correspond to children with two Turkish first generation immigrant parents. In the second U.S. study by Hammer et al. (2012) involving 5-year-olds, father's education level was lower than mother's education level. This was not the case in our sample. Hammer et al. (2012) did not find any relationship between fathers' education and children's majority vocabulary, as we did. One possible explanation for these discrepancies may be that it is the highest education level in the family that affects the children's majority vocabulary, irrespective of the gender of the parent. Our finding, that father's level of education significantly predicted children's majority vocabulary, supports this rationale, as fathers generally had a higher educational level than the mothers in the current sample. An alternative explanation is that fathers play a different role in the language development of bilingual children in the U.S. sociocultural context, than in Western Europe/Scandinavia.

Our findings suggest that father's education has an impact over and above mother's education, in accordance with previous European research (Driessen et al., 2002; Jäkel, Schölmerich, Kassis, & Leyendecker, 2011; Willard et al., 2015). A study on gender roles in Turkish immigrant families in Norway shed light on our results (Sandrup, 2013). Sandrup described patterns of both 'modern' and 'traditional' gender roles among Turkish immigrant fathers. In a modern gender role, highly educated Turkish immigrant fathers spent time directly engaging their children in language learning activities. In a traditional gender role, fathers contributed indirectly by allocating income and resources on books, holidays, evening classes, preschool, and other language stimulating activities. The significant influence of the fathers can furthermore be seen as a pattern of male-dominance (e.g., Bonesrønning, 2009; Driessen et al., 2002; Van der Slik, De Graaf, & Gerris, 2002). Male-dominance is more pronounced in families in which the mother does not have paid work outside the home. This is a likely situation for many of the families of Turkish immigrants (Henriksen, 2007). It is interesting that this predictive effect was found for the majority language, despite the fact that only a relatively small percentage of fathers (and mothers) reported that they speak Norwegian well and use it in communicating with their child (i.e., the effect cannot be solely attributed to majority language use and exposure in the home).

Minority language. Parental education predicted the minority language vocabulary differently in the two age cohorts. The effect was only significant among the preadolescents and only for father's education level. The lack of correspondence between parental education and young children's minority vocabulary is consistent with previous research (Leseman, 2000; Prevoo et al., 2014; Quiroz et al., 2010). However, a German study by Willard et al. (2015), which involved both preschoolers and fourth-graders (10-year-olds), showed that the effect of father's education on minority language among the preschoolers was *indirect*, as mediated by the home literacy environment. The corresponding effect among the fourth-

graders, however, was *direct*. This suggests that the effect of fathers' education may increase as children grow older.

In the present study, father's education significantly predicted both the minority and the majority language vocabulary in the preadolescent group. Factors similar to those potentially linking parental education to children's *majority* vocabulary may also be at work with regard to the *minority* language. Turkish immigrant fathers with more education might spend more time conversing in Turkish with their children, engaging them more in language learning activities (modern gender role). They may also contribute indirectly through allocating income and resources on Turkish books, visits to Turkey, evening classes and other language stimulating activities (traditional gender role).

Mother's Age at Migration Significantly Predicts Children's Vocabulary

Majority language. Mother's age at migration was the strongest predictor of majority language vocabulary among the preschoolers. The younger the mothers were when they migrated to Norway, the larger the child's vocabulary. Fathers' age at migration did not have significant impact. Previous research has demonstrated the importance of mother's generational status for young (3- to 5-year-olds) children's majority vocabulary (Becker, 2011; Quiroz et al., 2010). A German study on Turkish immigrant families found young children's majority vocabulary scores to be lower among children of first generation mothers and second generation fathers, when compared to children of second generation mothers and first generation fathers (Becker, 2011). In line with our results, a study that included separate measures of mother's and father's age at migration showed that mothers' but not fathers' age at migration predicted the children's school achievement in Danish (Nielsen & Rangvid, 2012). The researchers argued that mothers are better equipped to support the majority language if they have resided in the host country for a longer period of time. In a study of Hispanic children in the United States (Quiroz et al., 2010), mothers' years of residence significantly

predicted preschoolers' majority vocabulary scores, with longer residence associated with higher scores. However, this effect became non-significant when factors such as mother's language use and school involvement were included in the regression model. Also, in a study on Turkish immigrants in the Netherlands, the effect of parental length of residence was primarily an indirect effect mediated by parental language use, affecting the majority language skills of 7- to 10-year-olds (Driessen et al., 2002). The authors speculated that this was due to a shift towards using the majority language more after many years of residence. These results underscore the importance of separating the effect of mother's versus father's background.

In a study from the United States, Hammer et al. (2012), showed that parental generational status did not affect preschoolers' majority language skills among Hispanic immigrants in various regions of the U.S. This implies that the larger sociocultural and language context is also of importance to children's bilingual competence. It should be noted that the preadolescents' parents have all spent at least 12 years in Norway at the day of testing, compared to the preschool parents' minimum of 5 years. Although length of residence and Norwegian skills often do not correspond in immigrant groups (Blom & Henriksen, 2008), we may still speculate that the preschoolers' parents in the current study have not acquired the majority language fully. The preadolescents' parents have had more years of language exposure for doing so. Preadolescents may therefore not be systematically affected by differences related to parental age at migration due to less variance in language use and skills in the parent generation.

A second explanation for our findings is that factors other than parental age at migration, such as the quality of the preschool and school (Monsrud, Thurman-Moe, & Meyer Bjerkan, 2010; Rydland et al., 2014) and the ethnic composition of the neighborhood

(Rydland et al., 2014), have a larger effect on children's majority language scores as the children grow older and they participate in a wider range of social arenas.

Minority language. Only mother's age at migration predicted the minority vocabulary scores and only in the preadolescent group. Higher age at migration was associated with higher scores, consistent with previous research (Hammer et al., 2012; Quiroz et al., 2010; Tran, 2010; Willard et al., 2015). However, in our study, no such effect was detected among the preschoolers, contradicting the significant effect of mother's age at migration seen in the German study on preschoolers in Turkish immigrant families (Willard et al. 2015). This may be due to the larger variation in parental age at migration in the German study. It could also be a result of the positive association between mothers' age at migration and education level, which makes it difficult to disentangle the effect of mother's education from her age at migration. In our study, education level and age at migration were not significantly correlated and the effects hence not confounded.

In accordance with findings from other studies, father's age at migration did not have a significant effect on children's minority vocabulary scores (Willard et al., 2015). As previously mentioned, this finding suggests that mothers possibly define the language use within the family. That is, if the mother migrated at a later age, the family may be oriented towards Turkish; if the mother migrated at a younger age, the family may be more oriented towards Norwegian. National statistics on Turkish immigrants (e.g., Henriksen, 2007) suggest that fathers are more likely to be integrated in the job market than mothers, with the consequence of mothers potentially having the primary responsibility in the home and thereby defining the family's language use.

Limitations

The study is based on a convenience sample, rather than a sample drawn using a representative sampling procedure. This puts into question the representativeness of our

sample and potentially sacrifices generalizability to the Turkish immigrant population.

However, the intention with our study is not to establish a population estimate, but to explore relationships between variables and generalize over theoretical principles (Mook, 1983).

Furthermore, the within-group age differences in the current sample is a potential limitation, even though we controlled for this factor in the analyses. As children's vocabulary expands month-by-month, especially in young children, future studies should seek to reduce within-group age differences to a minimum.

Our results are correlational and cross-sectional. Longitudinal studies would likely provide more insight into the nature of the relationships between parental background variables and the children's vocabulary development, compared to a single time point and potential cohort effect. Given the cohort differences in the effects of the parents' education and age at migration on bilingual vocabulary, following a cohort of children longitudinally could provide more detailed information about changes in these associations over time.

Another limitation is integral to the nature of test translation and adaptation. The original language tests were designed to gradually increase in difficulty. However, translation can impact a word's difficulty level, thereby affecting the way the test was structured. We attempted to compensate for this by employing a difficult-to-reach ceiling criterion, especially for the minority vocabulary test. The absence of normative data and the use of raw scores, in addition to the issues around translation and adaptation, can compromise the comparability of our findings to other studies. Moreover, as we used one expressive and one receptive vocabulary test, we cannot do between-language comparisons which would have provided a better understanding of the children's bilingual vocabulary skills. While there is currently a growing number of language tests that may be used both with mono- and bilingual preschool children, there is still a need for valid tests for older children for use in both research and clinical practice.

A final limitation is that we could not take into account several potentially influential factors for majority- and minority language maintenance. Factors such as birth-order, number of siblings and language exposure should be controlled for or studied in future studies with larger samples than that of the current study.

Summary and Conclusion

By addressing important methodological gaps in the current research literature, our findings contribute important new information that shows the complexity in the relation between immigrant parents' background and their children's bilingual competence. By focusing on two different age cohorts with one minority language background, we demonstrated variation in the ways that parental level of education and age at migration predict the vocabulary scores in the majority and minority languages. First, the findings show variation in the amount of variance of the minority and majority language that parental education and age at migration account for. Second, we show that the effect of the predictors differs between the two age groups. Third, we show that fathers' education is a consistent predictor of children's vocabulary, whereas mothers' age at migration predicts majority language among the preschoolers and minority language among the preadolescents. Our results demonstrate a complicated pattern specific to Turkish immigrant families in Norway. The results demonstrate that parental background variables predict children's vocabulary skills even though the children are immersed in Norwegian language contexts in preschool and school and only a small percentage of parents report that they speak Norwegian well and use it in the home.

Our findings suggest that studies on bilingualism in immigrant families need to explore the complicated interactions between fathers' and mothers' resources. Mother's age at migration stands out as a possible indicator of the language use patterns in these families, potentially explaining the relation between mother's age at migration and children's language

skills. Our findings also show the significant relationship between fathers' education and children's language skills, possibly through the father's support of language learning activities either directly (by actively engaging with the child) or indirectly (by allocating income and resources). Both parents' resources appear to play a role in their children's language development, but fathers impact the vocabulary outcomes of their children in a different way than mothers do. These findings have potential implications for immigrant language programs and language stimulation programs. For example, interventions can be directed towards children whose parents immigrated at an older age or have limited education. Interventions should address not only acquisition of the majority language, but also of the children's minority language (particularly in first generation families).

Our findings suggest that future research on bilingual immigrant children's language skills need to avoid previous methodological shortcomings and to take the *fathers*, the *minority* language, parents' *age at migration* and *various age groups* into consideration, as well as acknowledge that mechanisms underlying bilingual development may differ across immigrant groups. Future research needs to acknowledge that bilingual development cannot be understood as monolingualism multiplied by two. Researchers and other professionals need to consider that language-use, -skills -and development in immigrant groups are essentially dynamic, both across and within generations.

References

- August, D., & Shanahan, T. (2006). *Developing literacy in second-language learners. Report of the national literacy panel on language minority children and youth*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bakken, A. (2003). *Minoritetspråklig ungdom i skolen* (Report No. 15) [Language minority youth in school]. Oslo: Norwegian Social Research.
- Becker, B. (2011). Cognitive and language skills of Turkish children in Germany: A comparison of the second and third generation and mixed generational groups. *International Migration Review*, 45(2), 426-459.
doi:10.1111/j.17477379.2011.00853.x
- Bhatia, T. K., & Ritchie, W. C. (Eds.) (2004). *The handbook of bilingualism*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Bialystok, E. (2011). Reshaping the mind: The benefits of bilingualism. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 65(4), 229-235. doi:10.1037/a0025406
- Blom, S., & Henriksen, K. (2008). *Living conditions among immigrants in Norway 2005/2006* (Report No. 2). Retrieved from Statistics Norway website:
http://www.ssb.no/a/publikasjoner/pdf/rapp_200805/rapp_200805.pdf
- Bohman, T. M., Bedore, L. M., Pena, E. D., Mendez-Perez, A., & Gillam, R. B. (2010). What you hear and what you say: Language performance in Spanish-English bilinguals. *Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 13, 325-344.
doi:10.1080/13670050903342019
- Bonesrønning, H. (2009). *Skole-, hjemmeressurser og medelevers betydning for skoleresultater og valg* (Report No. 1) [School-, home resources, and co-students' significance for academic achievements and academic choices]. Trondheim: Centre for Economic Research at NTNU.

- Brownell, R. (2000). *Expressive one-word picture vocabulary test* (3rd ed.). Novato, California: Academic Therapy Publications.
- Buac, M., Gross, M., & Kaushanskaya, M. (2014). The role of primary caregiver vocabulary knowledge in the development of bilingual children's vocabulary skills. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 57*, 1804-1816. doi:10.1044/2014_JSLHR-L-13-0055
- Cabrera, N. J., Shannon, J. D., & Tamis-LeMonda, C. (2007). Fathers' influence on their children's cognitive and emotional development: From toddlers to pre-kindergarten. *Applied Developmental Science, 11*(4), 208-213. doi:10.1080/10888690701762100
- Cummins, J. (2000). *Language, power and pedagogy: Bilingual children in the crossfire*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Dixon, L. Q. (2011). The role of home and school factors in predicting English vocabulary among bilingual kindergarten children in Singapore. *Applied Psycholinguistics, 32*(1), 141-168. doi:10.1017/S0142716410000329
- Dixon, L. Q., Wu, S., & Daraghmeh, A. (2012). Profiles in bilingualism: Factors influencing kindergartners' language proficiency. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 40*(1), 25-34. doi:10.1007/s10643-011-0491-8
- Dixon, L. Q., Zhao, J., Quiroz, B. G., & Shin, J.-Y. (2012). Home and community factors influencing bilingual children's ethnic language vocabulary development. *International Journal of Bilingualism, 16*(2), 1-25. doi:10.1177/1367006911429527
- Driessen, G., Van der Slik, F., & De Bot, K. (2002). Home language and language proficiency: A large-scale longitudinal study in Dutch primary schools. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 23*(3), 175-194. doi:10.1080/01434630208666464

- Dunn, L. M., & Dunn, D. M. (2007). *PPVT-4, Peabody picture vocabulary test*. Minneapolis: NCS Pearson, Inc.
- Duursma, E., Romero-Contreras, S., Szuber, A., Proctor, P., Snow, C., August, D., & Calderon, M. (2007). The role of home literacy and language environment on bilinguals' English and Spanish development. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 28, 171-190. doi:10.1017/S0142716406070093
- Enders, C. K. (2001). A primer on maximum likelihood algorithms available for use with missing data. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 8(1), 128-141. doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0801_7
- Fekjær, S. N. (2007). New differences, old explanations: Can educational differences between ethnic groups in Norway be explained by social background? *Ethnicities*, 7(3). doi:10.1177/1468796807080234
- Garthus-Niegel, K., Oppedal, B., & Vike, H. (2016). Semantic models of host-immigrant relations in Norwegian education policies. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 60(1), 48-71. doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2014.996593
- Gibson, T. A, Oller, D. K., Jarmulowicz, L. & Ethington, C. A. (2012). The receptive-expressive gap in the vocabulary of young second-language learners: Robustness and possible mechanisms. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 15, 102-116.
- Glück, C. W. (2009). *Computerbased research version of the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test 4*. Unpublished Research Version, Heidelberg, Germany: University of Education.
- Golberg, H., Paradis, J., & Crago, M. (2008). Lexical acquisition over time in minority first language children learning English as a second language. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 29, 41-65. doi:10.1017/S014271640808003X

- Hakuta, K., & D'Andrea, D. (1992). Some properties of bilingual maintenance and loss in Mexican background high-school students. *Applied Linguistics, 13*, 72-99.
doi:10.1093/applin/13.1.72
- Hammer, C. S., Davison, M. D., Lawrence, F. R., & Miccio, A. W. (2009). The effect of mother's language on bilingual children's vocabulary and emergent literacy development during Head Start and kindergarten. *Scientific Studies of Reading, 13*(2), 99-121. doi:10.1080/10888430902769541
- Hammer, C. S., Farkas, G., & Maczuga, S. (2010). The language and literacy development of Head Start children: A study using the family and child experiences survey database. *Language, Speech and Hearing Services in Schools, 34*, 20-30. doi:10.1044/0161-1461(2009/08-0050)
- Hammer, C. S., Komaroff, E., Rodriguez, B. L., Lopez, L. M., Scarpino, S. E., & Goldstein, B. (2012). Predicting Spanish-English children's language abilities. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 55*, 1251-1264. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2012/11-0016)
- Hart, B., & Risley, T. R. (1995). *Meaningful differences in the everyday experience of young American children*. Baltimore: P. H. Brookes.
- Henriksen, K. (2007). *Fakta om 18 innvandregrupper i Norge* (Report No. 29) [Facts about 18 immigrant groups in Norway]. Retrieved from Statistics Norway website:
http://www.ssb.no/a/publikasjoner/pdf/rapp_200729/rapp_200729.pdf
- Hoff, E. (2003). The specificity of environmental influence: Socioeconomic status affects early vocabulary development via mother's speech. *Child Development, 74*(5), 1368-1378. doi:10.2307/3696183
- Hoff, E. (2006). How social contexts support and shape language development. *Developmental Review, 26*, 55-88. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2005.11.002

- Hoff, E., & Elledge, C. (2005). Bilingualism as one of many environmental variables that affect language development. In J. Cohen, K. T. McAlister, K. Rolstad, & J. MacSwan, (Eds.), *ISB4: Proceedings of the 4th international symposium on bilingualism* (pp. 1034-1040). Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Hurtado, A., & Vega, L. A. (2004). Shift happens: Spanish to English transmissions between parents and their children. *Journal of Social Issues, 60*(1), 137-155.
doi:10.1111/j.0022-4537.2004.00103.x
- Jäkel, J., Schölmerich, A., Kassis, W., & Leyendecker, B. (2011). Mothers' and fathers' book reading predicts preschoolers' development in Turkish immigrant and German families. *International Journal of Developmental Science, 5*(1), 27-39.
doi:10.3233/dev-2011-11075
- Lambert, W. E., & Taylor, D. M. (1996). Language in the lives of ethnic minorities: Cuban American families in Miami. *Applied Linguistics, 17*(4), 477-500.
doi:10.1093/applin/17.4.477
- Lervåg, A., & Aukrust, V. G. (2010). Vocabulary knowledge as a critical determinant of difference in reading comprehension growth between first and second language learners. *Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 51*(5), 612-620. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02185.x
- Leseman, P. P. M. (2000). Bilingual vocabulary development of Turkish preschoolers in the Netherlands. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development, 21*(2), 93-112.
doi:10.1080/01434630008666396
- Monsrud, M. B., Thurman-Moe, A. C., & Meyer Bjerkan, K. (2010). Minoritetsspråklige barns ordforråd og ordforrådsutvikling [Bilingual children's vocabulary and vocabulary development]. *Spesialpedagogikk, 75*(9), 44-51.

Mook, D. G. (1983). In defense of external invalidity. *American Psychologist*, 38(4), 379-387.

doi:10.1037/0003-066X.38.4.379

Nielsen, H. S., & Rangvid, B. R. (2012). The impact of parents' years since migration on children's academic achievement. *IZA Journal of Migration*, 1(6). doi:10.1186/2193-9039-1-6

OECD. (1999). Classifying educational programmes. [Manual for ISCED-97 Implementation in OECD countries]. Paris: OECD Publications Service.

Pancsofar, N., & Vernon-Feagans, L. (2010). Fathers' early contributions to children's language development in families from low-income rural communities. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 25(4), 450-463. doi:10.1016/j.ecresq.2010.02.001

Pearson, B. Z. (2007). Social factors in childhood bilingualism in the United States. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 28(3), 399-410. doi:10.1017/S014271640707021X

Pease-Alvarez, L. (2002). Moving beyond linear trajectories of language shift and bilingual language socialization. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 24, 112-137. doi:10.1177/0739986302024002002

Portes, A., & Schauffler, R. (1994). Language and the second generation: Bilingualism yesterday and today. *International Migration Review*, 28(4), 640-661. doi:10.2307/2547152

Prevoo, M. J. L., Malda, M., Mesman, J., Emmen, R. A. G., Yeniad, N., Van Ijzendoorn, M. H., & Linting, M. (2014). Predicting ethnic minority children's vocabulary from socioeconomic status, mother's language and home reading input: Different pathways for host and ethnic language. *Journal of Child Language*, 41(5), 963-984. doi:10.1017/S0305000913000299

Quiroz, B. G., Snow, C. E., & Zhao, J. (2010). Vocabulary skills of Spanish – English bilinguals: impact of mother – child language interactions and home language and

literacy support. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 14(4), 379-399.

doi:10.1177/1367006910370919

Rydland, V., Grøver, V., & Lawrence, J. (2014). The second-language vocabulary trajectories of Turkish immigrant children in Norway from the age of five to ten: The role of pre-school talk exposure, mother's education, and co-ethnic concentration in the neighborhood. *Journal of Child Language*, 41(2), 352-381.

doi:10.1017/S0305000912000712

Sandrup, T. (2013). *Farfars hus. Norsktyrkiske familier; innvandrede utvandrere*. (Doctoral thesis, University of Oslo, Norway) [Grandfather's house. Norwegian-Turkish families: Immigrated migrants]. Retrieved from

<http://www.nb.no/nbsok/nb/3926dd731b30b8db3b9259a170ae81e3>

Saravanan, V. (2001). The significance of bilingual Chinese, Malay and Tamil children's English network patterns on community language use patterns. *Early Child Development and Care*, 166(1), 81-91. doi:10.1080/0300443011660107

Scheele, A. F., Leseman, P. P. M., & Mayo, A. Y. (2010). The home language environment of mono- and bilingual children and their language proficiency. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 31(1), 117-140. doi:10.1017/S0142716409990191

Snow, C. E., Burns, M. S., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (1998). *Preventing reading difficulties in young children*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Statistics Norway (2012). *Facts about education in Norway 2013 – key figures 2011*.

Retrieved from Statistics Norway website: https://www.ssb.no/en/utdanning/artikler-og-publikasjoner/_attachment/89692?_ts=13c297bfca8

Tannenbaum, M. & Berkovic, M. (2005). Family relations and language maintenance: Implications for language educational policies. *Language Policy*, 4, 287-309.

- Torkildsen von Koss, J. (2010). Barns tidlige språktilegnelse [Children's early language acquisition]. In V. Moe, K. Slinning & B. Hansen (Eds), *Håndbok i sped- og småbarns psykiske helse* [Handbook of infant and toddler mental health]. Oslo, Gyldendal Akademisk.
- Tran, V. C. (2010). English gain vs. Spanish loss? Language assimilation among second-generation Latinos in young adulthood. *Social Forces*, 89(1), 257-284.
doi:10.1353/sof.2010.0107
- Van der Slik, F., De Graaf, N. D., & Gerris, J. (2002). Conformity to parental rules: Asymmetric influences of father's and mother's levels of education. *European Sociological Review*, 18(4), 489-502. doi:10.1093/esr/18.4.489
- Walker, D., Greenwood, C., Hart, B., & Carta, J. (1994). Prediction of school outcomes based on early language production and socioeconomic factors. *Child Development*, 65(2), 606-621. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.1994.tb00771.x
- Willard, J. A., Agache, A., Jäkel, J., Glück, C. W., & Leyendecker, B. (2015). Family factors predicting vocabulary in Turkish as a heritage language. *Applied Psycholinguistics* 36(4), 875-898. doi:10.1017/S0142716413000544