

1 Impact of prenatal exposure to benzodiazepines and z-
2 hypnotics on behavioral problems at 5 years of age: A
3 study from the Norwegian Mother and Child cohort
4 study

5 Lene Maria Sundbakk^{1*}, Mollie Wood^{1,2}, Jon Michael Gran³, Hedvig Nordeng^{1,4}

6 ¹ PharmacoEpidemiology and Drug Safety Research Group, Department of Pharmacy, and
7 PharmaTox Strategic Initiative, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of
8 Oslo, Norway

9 ² Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston MA USA

10 ³ Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Department of Biostatistics, University of
11 Oslo, Norway

12 ⁴ Department of Child Development and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo,
13 Norway

14

15 * Corresponding author

16 E-mail: l.m.sundbakk@farmasi.uio.no (LMS)

17

18 **Abstract**

19 Many women experience anxiety or sleep disorders during pregnancy and require
20 pharmacological treatment with benzodiazepines (BZDs) or z-hypnotics. Limited information
21 is currently available on how prenatal exposure to these medications affects behavioral
22 problems in children over the long term. Therefore, from a public health perspective, this
23 issue is highly important. The present study aimed to determine whether prenatal exposure to
24 BZDs and z-hypnotics affected externalizing and internalizing behavior problems in children
25 at age 5 years. This study was based on The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study and
26 The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. The final study population included data for 36 401
27 children, from questionnaires completed by the mothers throughout the 5-year follow up.
28 Children's behaviors were measured at age 5, based on parental responses to The Child
29 Behavior Checklist. Children T-scores of 63 or above were considered to indicate clinically
30 relevant behavior problems. We applied inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW)
31 and log-binomial regression models to estimate risk ratios (RRs) and bootstrapped 95%
32 confidence intervals (CIs) with censoring weights to account for loss during follow-up.
33 Several sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the robustness of the main results. The
34 final sample included 273 (0.75%) children that were exposed to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics
35 during pregnancy. The main, IPTW and censoring weighted analyses showed that prenatal
36 exposure to BZD and/or z-hypnotics increased the risks of internalizing behavioral problems
37 (RR: 1.35, 95% CI: 0.73-2.49) and externalizing behavioral problems (RR: 1.51, 95% CI:
38 0.86-2.64). However, based on sensitivity analyses, we concluded that the risks of displaying
39 externalizing and internalizing problems at 5 years of age did not significantly increase after
40 prenatal exposure to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics. Instead, the sensitivity analyses suggested that
41 residual confounding and selection bias might explain the increased risks observed in the
42 main analyses.

43 **Introduction**

44 Up to 15% of women experience anxiety during pregnancy [1], and of these, 10%-26%
45 require pharmacological treatment with benzodiazepines (BZDs) [2-4]. BZDs, like oxazepam
46 and diazepam, are drugs prescribed for treating mental diseases, anxiety disorders, and/or
47 sleep problems, due to their anxiolytic and sedative effects [5]. The z-hypnotics, zolpidem and
48 zopiclone, are BZD-related drugs that are mainly prescribed as mild sedatives [6]. Both BZDs
49 and z-hypnotics modulate the γ -amino butyric acid (GABA_A) receptor [7]. When taken during
50 pregnancy, these medications cross readily the placenta and the blood brain barrier. They act
51 by facilitating the opening of GABA-activated chloride channels [7] present in the cortical
52 anlage from embryonic age [8] (i.e. before week 9 after conception), and thus increasing the
53 response to GABA. In the mature central nervous system, GABA is a neurotransmitter that
54 acts in an inhibitory manner. At the early developmental stage, however, GABA acts in an
55 excitatory manner and is involved in neurogenesis, including proliferation, migration,
56 differentiation of neurons, as well as the timing of critical periods and potentially primes the
57 earliest neuronal networks [8]. Consequently, it is biological plausible that BZDs and z-
58 hypnotics could affect fetal neurodevelopment [9, 10].

59 Previous studies have investigated the neurobehavioral effects of BZDs on the brain in
60 animals [11-14]. In brief, these studies showed a range of impaired motor development and
61 behavioral alterations. In humans, however, few studies have investigated how prenatal BZD
62 and z-hypnotic exposure might affect long-term neurocognitive development. Some studies
63 have been conducted on behavior outcomes in offspring after prenatal BZD exposure [15-17],
64 but results were conflicting. One sibling-matched (n=10) study evaluated the teratogenic and
65 fetotoxic potential of very large doses of medazepam, taken during an attempted suicide (60-
66 500 mg, mean = 276 mg). However, they observed no adverse effects on the behavior status

67 of the offspring (8-12 months) [15]. In contrast, another study on children (n=17) born to
68 mothers that used lorazepam, oxazepam, and/or diazepam in prescribed doses throughout
69 pregnancy, showed reduced personal-social development in the children (18 months) [16].
70 Finally, a retrospective study on children (n=15) born to mothers taking BZDs during the
71 second half of pregnancy found no effects on behavior at ages 9-10 years [17]. All of those
72 studies had small sample sizes and no control for the indication of maternal use.

73 In recent years, some larger studies have used more advanced methods in
74 pharmacoepidemiology to address concerns about confounding and bias. A cohort study
75 compared children prenatally exposed to BZDs and z-hypnotics (n=104) to children exposed
76 to maternal prenatal anxiety or phobic anxiety symptoms, but without exposure to BZDs or z-
77 hypnotics (n=527). They reported that prenatal BZD and z-hypnotic exposure were not
78 independently associated with aggressive behavior or oppositional defiant disorder at 6 years
79 of age, when maternal anxiety symptoms during pregnancy were taken into account (β : 0.23,
80 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.30-0.76) [18]. In contrast, another study conducted a sibling
81 comparison with data from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). They
82 evaluated internalizing and externalizing problems at 1.5 years (19 297 siblings) and 3 years
83 (13 779 siblings). That study suggested that internalizing behaviors were slightly increased at
84 both 1.5 years (standardized β : 0.25, 95% CI: 0.01-0.49) and 3 years (standardized β : 0.26, 95%
85 CI: 0.002-0.5) after prenatal exposure to anxiolytics [19]. Consequently, uncertainty remains
86 about the long-term neurodevelopmental safety of BZDs and z-hypnotics during pregnancy.
87 This information is essential for informing women that face the decision of whether to use
88 these medications during pregnancy.

89 As a follow up to the Norwegian sibling-comparison study [19], we aimed to determine
90 whether prenatal exposure to BZDs and z-hypnotics affected externalizing and internalizing
91 behavior problems in 5-year-old children in the MoBa. Specifically, we aimed to apply

92 appropriate statistical methods, including propensity score (PS) methods, to control for
93 important measured confounders and to explore the role of unmeasured confounding factors.

94 **Materials and methods**

95 **Study population and data collection**

96 This study was based on data from the MoBa study [20], which is a prospective population-
97 based cohort study conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Participants were
98 recruited from all over Norway from 1999-2008. The women consented to participation in
99 40.6% of the pregnancies. A total of 114 500 children, 95 200 mothers, and 77 300 fathers are
100 currently included in the cohort. The present study was based on version 9 of the quality-
101 assured data files [21]. All data are based on prospectively self-administered questionnaires.
102 Around gestational weeks 17 and 30 (Q1 and Q3), the mothers answered questions regarding
103 sociodemographic characteristics, maternal health, and medication use during pregnancy. In
104 addition, one questionnaire, completed 6 months after birth, covered all the weeks of
105 pregnancy after week 30 (Q4). The children were followed up with questionnaires completed
106 by the mothers at 18 months, 3 years, and 5 years after birth (Q5–Q-5year). The data were
107 linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) via personal identification numbers.
108 The MBRN contains information on the pregnancy, delivery, postpartum complications,
109 interventions, and medical information regarding the infant [22]. The establishment and data
110 collection in MoBa was previously based on a license from the Norwegian Data protection
111 agency and approval from The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, and it is
112 now based on regulations related to the Norwegian Health Registry Act. The current study
113 was approved by The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, region South East
114 (2015/1897). Written informed consent was obtained from all the MoBa participants prior to
115 participation.

116 Our final analyses included complete case data from 36 401 live-born children, whose
117 mothers had returned the 5-year follow up questionnaire. Fig 1 summarizes the inclusion and
118 exclusion criteria used to select the final study population.

119 **Fig 1. Flow chart displays the selection of study participants.** MBRN, Medical Birth
120 Registry of Norway; MOBA, Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study.

121 **BZD and z-hypnotic exposure**

122 Information on BZD and z-hypnotic use was available from two prenatal (Q1 and Q3) and
123 one postnatal (Q4) questionnaire. BZDs were classified according to Anatomical Therapeutic
124 Chemical (ATC) [23] groups, including ATC groups N05BA (diazepam, oxazepam, colbazam,
125 alprazolam), N05CD (nitrazepam, flunitrazepam, midazolam), and N03AE01 (clonazepam).
126 Z-hypnotics included drugs within the ATC group, N05CF (zopiclone, zolpidem). In these
127 questionnaires, women were specifically asked about a range of illnesses and health problems,
128 including depression, anxiety, and sleeping disorders, which occurred up to 6 months prior to
129 pregnancy and during pregnancy. For each indication, they were asked to name all the
130 medications they used, the timing of use (week 0-4, 5-8, 9-12, 13-16, 17-20, 21-24, 25-28,
131 and the last part of the pregnancy), and the number of days that the medication was used.
132 Women were classified as BZD and z-hypnotic users if they reported use during pregnancy on
133 at least one of the questionnaires.

134 **Externalizing and internalizing behavior**

135 To assess child behavior at age 5 years, we used the Child Behavior Checklist, which in the
136 MoBa is available as a shortened version of the original measure. The checklist was designed
137 to identify problem behavior in children, and it is a validated and commonly used measure
138 [24]. The 20 items on the shortened MoBa checklist were selected by a team of clinical and
139 developmental psychologists, based on clinical and theoretical guidelines for externalizing

140 and internalizing behaviors [25]. The parents reported on items that represented both
141 internalizing behavior (consisting of the DSM_Oriented subscales: “emotionally reactive”,
142 “anxious/depressed”, and “somatic complaints”) and externalizing behavior (consisting of the
143 subscales: “attention problems” and “aggressive behavior”). In Q5-year, the checklist
144 consisted of 11 items that covered externalizing problems and 9 items that covered
145 internalizing problems. The items were rated on a three-point scale, which indicated the
146 degree that each statement reflected the child’s behavior during the past two months: 1 = not
147 true, 2 = somewhat or sometimes true, 3 = very true or often true. Mean scores were generated
148 for both internalizing and externalizing behavior problems, and standardized T-scores were
149 computed. T-scores of 63 or larger indicated that the child had clinically significant
150 externalizing and internalizing behavior problems, according to previous recommendations
151 [26].

152 **Covariates**

153 Potential confounders were identified by reviewing the literature and constructing directed
154 acyclic graphs [27, 28], and are presented in Table 1. Data on maternal age at delivery, parity,
155 marital status, folate intake before and during pregnancy, child gender, birthweight,
156 congenital malformations, and gestational age were retrieved from the MBRN. The MoBa
157 questionnaires provided data on body mass index (BMI) before conception, smoking, illicit
158 drug use, alcohol intake, ongoing or completed education, chronic disease, adverse life events,
159 sleep and mental health problems, lifetime history of major depression (LTH of MD), and
160 symptoms of depression and anxiety.

161 **Table 1. Mother and child characteristics, based on whether the mother did (exposed) or**
 162 **did not (unexposed) use BZDs and z-hypnotics during pregnancy**

Characteristics	Study population (N=36 401)	
	Exposed N=273	Unexposed N=36 128
<i>Maternal characteristics</i>		
Age in years, mean \pm SD	31.7 \pm 4.4	30.6 \pm 4.4
Primiparous, n (% of N)	137 (50.2)	17 320 (47.9)
Married/cohabiting, n (% of N)	249 (91.2)	34 950 (96.7)
College/university education ^a , n (% of N)	210 (76.9)	26 414 (73.1)
Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m ² ; mean \pm SD	23.9 \pm 4.2	23.9 \pm 4.1
Smoking, n (% of N)	30 (11.0)	1539 (4.3)
Alcohol intake during pregnancy ^b , n (% of N)		
No or minimal	177 (64.8)	28 130 (77.9)
Low to moderate	63 (23.1)	5445 (15.1)
Frequent	33 (12.1)	2553 (7.1)
Illicit drug use ^c , n (% of N)	10 (3.7)	189 (0.5)
Folic acid supplementation ^d , n (% of N)	176 (64.5)	23 910 (66.2)
Chronic disease ^e , n (% of N)	58 (21.2)	3680 (10.2)
LTH of MD, n (% of N)	52 (19.0)	2147 (5.9)
SCL-5 ^f , mean \pm SD	0.8 \pm 1.5	-0.05 \pm 0.8
Sleep problems, n (% of N)	127 (46.5)	5770 (16.0)
Mental health problems, n (% of N)	133 (48.7)	3787 (10.5)
Adverse life event, n (% of N)		
No	66 (24.2)	15 010 (41.6)

At least one, not painful	51 (18.7)	8865 (24.5)
At least one, painful/very painful	156 (57.1)	12 253 (33.9)
Co-medications during pregnancy, n (% of N)		
NSAIDs	40 (14.7)	2231 (6.2)
Opioids	35 (12.8)	660 (1.8)
Paracetamol	187 (68.5)	17 016 (47.1)
Antidepressants	57 (20.9)	326 (0.9)
Antipsychotics	19 (7.0)	276 (0.8)
Antiepileptics	7 (2.6)	117 (0.3)
Triptans	11 (4.0)	373 (1.0)
<i>Child characteristics</i>		
Boy, n (% of N)	141 (51.6)	18 458 (51.1)
Congenital malformation ^g , n (% of N)	12 (4.4)	1763 (4.9)
Preterm (<37 weeks) ^g , n (% of N)	16 (5.9)	1566 (4.3)
Missing	2 (0.7)	157 (0.4)
Low birth weight (<2500g) ^g , n (% of N)	15 (5.5)	872 (2.4)
Missing	1 (0.4)	20 (0.06)

163 SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory
164 drugs; SCL-5, the Hopkins Symptoms Checklist-5; LTH of MD, Life Time History of Major
165 Depression.

166 ^aHighest level of either completed or ongoing education.

167 ^bNo or minimal alcohol intake (less than once per month); Low to moderate alcohol intake
168 (once per month to once per week); Frequent alcohol intake (more than once per week).

169 ^cIllicit drug use during pregnancy or the last month before pregnancy; illicit drugs included
170 hash (exposed; unexposed: 3.7%; 0.5%), amphetamine (1.1%; 0.08%), ecstasy (1.1%; 0.02%),
171 cocaine (1.8%; 0.07%), or heroin (0; 0.02%).

172 ^dFolic acid supplementation in the four weeks before pregnancy or up to week 12 of -
173 pregnancy.

174 ^eChronic diseases included asthma, diabetes treated with insulin, Crohn's disease, arthritis,
175 lupus, epilepsy, multiple sclerosis, and cancer.

176 ^fPresence of depressive or anxiety symptoms indicated on the 5-item short version of the
177 Hopkins Symptoms Checklist (SCL-5) at gestational week 17 and/or 30.

178 ^gNot included in the analysis.

179 Maternal symptoms of depression and anxiety during pregnancy were assessed with a
180 validated short version of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist (SCL-5) [29] at gestational weeks
181 17 and 30. Standardized z-scores were computed at each time point, and the average SCL-5
182 score was used in the analyses. The mother's LTH of MD was reported according to five key
183 depressive symptoms, which corresponded closely to the DSM-III criteria for lifetime major
184 depression [30]. Additionally, women reported previous/current illnesses and health problems
185 on the MoBa Q1, Q3, and Q4 questionnaires, which included depression, anxiety, mental
186 health problems, and other psychological problems (hereafter, mental health problems). In
187 addition, a number of concomitant medications were reported in Q1, Q3 and Q4: nonsteroidal
188 anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs; ATC code M01A), opioids (N02A), paracetamol
189 (N02BE01), antidepressants (N06A), antipsychotics (N05A), antiepileptics (N03A), and
190 triptans (N02C).

191 **Statistical analyses**

192 First, we determined the baseline characteristics of the women in the final cohort, stratified by
193 BZD and/or z-hypnotic use during pregnancy. Next, we used PSs to remove bias from
194 measured confounders in the estimates of how BZD and/or z-hypnotic exposure affected
195 behavioral problems in 5-year old children [31, 32]. These biases arose from systematic
196 differences in baseline characteristics between women that did and did not use BZDs and/or
197 z-hypnotics during pregnancy. We aimed to estimate the population average treatment effect;
198 thus, we decided to apply stabilized inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) [33].
199 The PSs was calculated with a logistic regression model that estimated the probability of
200 using BZDs and/or z-hypnotics during pregnancy [33], conditional on baseline characteristics
201 (age, marital status, parity, education, pre-pregnancy BMI, smoking, alcohol intake, folate
202 intake, illicit drug use, chronic disease, LTH of MD, mean SCL5-score, sleeping problems,
203 mental health problems, concomitant medication use, adverse life events) and risk factors for
204 the outcomes (child sex). In addition, we derived the stabilized inverse probability of
205 censoring weights (IPCW) [34], which included the same variables that we used in the IPTW
206 model. The IPCW accounted for loss to follow-up between baseline and the 5-year
207 assessment, and it reduced the selection bias. Both weights were estimated in the full eligible
208 baseline sample. The final weights were the product of the IPTW and IPCW. To assess the
209 balance of baseline covariates between exposed and unexposed groups in the sample weighted
210 with the combined weights, we calculated the standardized weighted mean and proportion
211 differences [35]. A difference less than 0.1 was considered a negligible difference, as
212 previously recommended [33]. Confounders that remained unbalanced after weighting were
213 included as covariates in the outcome model. Log-binomial regression models were fitted
214 after applying the final weights estimate risk ratios (RRs), with a bootstrapped standard error
215 estimation (1000 replications); this analysis was performed with the R package, survey [36-
216 38].

217 **Sensitivity analyses**

218 We performed several sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our primary findings. To
219 address potential confounding by indication, the main analysis was repeated in children of
220 mothers with mental health problems or sleep problems (N=8475) [39].

221 Additionally, we restricted the analysis to include only children of women that used BZDs
222 and/or z-hypnotics, either during pregnancy or prior to pregnancy only (N=366). The mothers
223 in these two groups were likely to display similar mental health conditions; therefore, we
224 assumed that this restriction would contribute to disentangling the effects of the underlying
225 maternal conditions from the potential effects of the medications.

226 We also performed a negative control exposure analysis to detect residual confounding [40].
227 We compared children of mothers that used BZDs or z-hypnotics before pregnancy, but not
228 during pregnancy, to children of mothers that did not use BZDs or z-hypnotics before or
229 during pregnancy. The time before pregnancy was not considered an etiologically relevant
230 exposure period; thus, any differences between groups in this analysis would likely be due to
231 residual confounding [40]. Separate IPTW models were fitted to data for each of the groups in
232 the sensitivity analyses [41].

233 The data in the 5-year sample might have been subject to selection bias, because some
234 participants were lost to follow-up after 3 years. It was possible that only children with more
235 serious behavioral problems were lost to follow up between 3 and 5 years; in that case, we
236 expected a bias towards the null. To estimate the potential impact of the loss to follow-up on
237 externalizing behavior problems, we performed a probabilistic bias analysis [42]. We
238 calculated the proportions of children with and without externalizing behaviors problems at 3
239 years that remained in the study at the 5-year follow-up. Based on simple bias analyses, with
240 the selection proportions estimated from the 3-year sample and hypothesized selection

241 proportions, we assigned a trapezoidal probability distribution of the selection odds ratio (OR)
242 with 10 000 simulations (min OR: 0.74, mode 1 OR: 1.02, mode 2 OR: 1.25, max OR: 1.59).
243 The scenarios explored are presented in detail in S2 Appendix.

244 In addition, we repeated the analysis after excluding individuals from regions of the
245 propensity score distribution with no overlap. This procedure ensured fulfilment of the
246 positivity assumption [31, 33]. Lastly, we applied a 1:4 nearest neighbor propensity score
247 matching to estimate the average effect of the medication on behavior in the population of
248 children that were exposed during pregnancy [33].

249 All analyses were performed with R version 3.4.4 [43].

250 **Results**

251 Our primary study population consisted of 36 401 pregnancies. Of these, 273 (0.75%)
252 children were exposed to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics during gestation. S1 Table presents an
253 overview of the number of individuals that used BZDs and/or z-hypnotics, and those that used
254 different compounds, in different time windows. The most common type of medication used
255 during pregnancy was a BZD-anxiolytic (n=140), specifically oxazepam (n=73) and diazepam
256 (n=69); the next most common type was a z-hypnotic (n=131), specifically zopiclone (n=113).
257 Most women used BZDs and z-hypnotics for mental health (46.9% (n=128)) and/or sleeping
258 problems (21.2% (n=58)) (S2 Table).

259 There were some important baseline differences between women that did and did not use
260 BZDs or z-hypnotics during pregnancy (Table 1). Compared to women that did not use BZDs
261 or z-hypnotics, those that did use these drugs were somewhat older and were more likely to
262 smoke, use alcohol, and use illicit drugs. In addition, the latter group used more concomitant
263 medications, including NSAIDs, opioids, antidepressants, and antipsychotics, and they had a

264 higher prevalence of selected health conditions, including symptoms of anxiety and
265 depression.

266 **Internalizing behavior problems**

267 The study included 35 629 children with complete information on internalizing behavior at 5
268 years of age. Of these, 267 (0.75%) children were exposed to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics during
269 gestation. Of these, 44 (16.5%) children displayed internalizing behavior problems at 5 years.
270 In contrast, among the children that were not prenatally exposed to BZDs or z-hypnotics,
271 3692 (10.4%) displayed internalizing behavior problems at 5 years. In the crude analysis,
272 BZD and/or z-hypnotic exposure was associated with an increased risk of internalizing
273 behavior (RR: 1.58, 95% CI: 1.19-2.09), but after adjusting for potential confounders, through
274 IPTW and censoring weighting, the increase in risk associated with BZDs and/or z-hypnotics
275 was attenuated (RR: 1.35, 95% CI: 0.73-2.49; Fig 2).

276 **Fig 2. Estimated risk that prenatal exposure to BZDs and z-hypnotics could increase the**
277 **probability that a child will display internalizing behavior.** RR, risk ratio; CI, confidence
278 interval; IPTW, inverse probability of treatment weights; IPCW, inverse probability of
279 censoring weights; BZDs, benzodiazepines.

280 **Externalizing behavior problems**

281 We included 35 284 children with complete information on externalizing behavior at 5 years
282 of age. Of these, 261 (0.74%) children were prenatally exposed to BZDs and/or z-hypnotic.
283 Of these, 43 (16.5%) children displayed externalizing behavior problems at 5 years. In
284 contrast, among the children that were not exposed to BZDs or z-hypnotics during gestation,
285 3484 (9.9%) displayed externalizing behavior problems at 5 years. As shown in Fig 3, we
286 observed an increased risk of externalizing behavior associated with prenatal exposure to

287 BZDs and/or z-hypnotics (RR: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.26-2.18) in the crude analysis. Furthermore,
288 after adjustment through IPTW and censoring weighting, the increase in risk associated with
289 BZDs and/or z-hypnotics was attenuated (RR: 1.51, 95% CI: 0.86-2.64).

290 **Fig 3. Estimated risk that prenatal exposure to BZDs and z-hypnotics could increase the**
291 **probability that the child will display externalizing behavior.** RR, risk ratio; CI,
292 confidence interval; IPTW, inverse probability of treatment weights; IPCW, inverse
293 probability of censoring weights; BZDs, benzodiazepines.

294 **Sensitivity analyses**

295 We estimated the risks associated with different factors in the sensitivity analyses. These
296 analyses produced different results from those obtained in the main analysis (Figs 2 and 3).
297 First, we analyzed only the group of children with mothers that had mental health and/or sleep
298 problems. We found lower risks compared to the main analysis (externalizing: RR: 1.13, 95%
299 CI: 0.70, 1.83, internalizing: RR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.64, 1.79). Then, we compared women that
300 used BZDs and/or z-hypnotics during pregnancy to those that discontinued BZDs and/or z-
301 hypnotics before pregnancy. We found no difference in the child's externalizing behavior
302 problems at 5 years of age (RR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.59, 1.61). The same comparison showed an
303 attenuated risk estimate for the child's internalizing behavior compared to the main analysis
304 (RR: 1.19, 95% CI: 0.67, 2.12). Moreover, the negative control analysis showed no increased
305 risk of internalizing or externalizing behavior problems associated with mother's use of BZDs
306 and/or z-hypnotics before pregnancy, as expected. Finally, the probabilistic analysis resulted
307 in a corrected OR of 1.56, 95% CI: 1.20-2.15 (conventional OR: 1.79, 95% CI: 1.29-2.48).

308 When we analyzed only individuals in the overlapping regions of the propensity score
309 distribution, we found effect estimates almost identical to those found in the main analyses.

310 Moreover, the effect estimates within the matched samples were similar to the results of the
311 IPTW and censoring weighted analyses.

312 **Discussion**

313 In this large prospective follow-up study of 36 401 pregnancies, we observed a modestly
314 increased risk of internalizing and externalizing behavior problems in 5-year-old children
315 born to mothers that used BZDs and/or z-hypnotics during pregnancy. The effect size was
316 somewhat larger for externalizing problems than for internalizing problems. In the IPTW and
317 censoring weighted analyses of both internalizing and externalizing problems, the confidence
318 intervals were wide and included 1. Moreover, the larger portions of the intervals were above
319 1, which might be of concern; however, our sensitivity analyses suggested that residual
320 confounding and/or selection bias might have explained some of our results.

321 To the best of our knowledge, only three previous studies have addressed long-term
322 behavioral outcomes in children after prenatal exposure to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics. Our
323 findings should be interpreted in light of findings from a previous study that showed an
324 increased risk of internalizing problems at 3 years of age [19]. Moreover, that study revealed a
325 small increased risk of internalizing problems at both 1.5 years and 3 years of age associated
326 with prenatal BZD-anxiolytics exposure. In contrast, they found that z-hypnotic exposure was
327 not associated with either externalizing or internalizing problems. However, those authors
328 interpreted their results with caution; they stated that residual, unmeasured confounding could
329 not be ruled out. Jointly, those previous results and our present results are clinically important
330 results, because they might provide a basis for clinicians and women in making evidence-
331 based decisions about the use of these medications during pregnancy.

332 Our findings were consistent with previous findings in studies that included school-age
333 children. One study demonstrated that children exposed prenatally to BZDs and/or z-

334 hypnotics had higher scores of oppositional defiant disorder and aggressive behavior at 6
335 years of age. However, those associations were explained by maternal anxiety symptoms
336 during pregnancy [18]. In another study, no association was found between BZD exposure
337 and children's school behavior at ages 9-10 years [17].

338 Assuming 5 million births in the EU each year, and a prevalence of BZD use in pregnancy
339 between 1.5% and 3% [2, 3], we estimated that approximately 100 000 children are exposed
340 annually to BZDs during gestation in the EU. Although BZDs have been on the market since
341 the 1960s, only three studies world-wide have assessed their long-term neurodevelopmental
342 safety, in less than 1000 exposed children. This low number of studies is alarming, but not
343 surprising, given the complexity of studying these medications. They are used episodically
344 and for a range of conditions with highly variable symptom severity during pregnancy.
345 Moreover, we must rely on maternal reporting, because data from prescription fillings will not,
346 most likely, reflect the timing that medications were used during pregnancy. Also, these
347 medications are most commonly used concomitantly with a wide range of other psychotropic
348 and analgesic medications, and also with recreational substances, which may also impact fetal
349 brain development [2, 3]. All these factors make it challenging to identify individual drug
350 effects. One Norwegian study found that of the women who were dispensed either a BZD or a
351 z-hypnotic during pregnancy, 1 out of 5 were also dispensed an opioid concomitantly, and 1
352 out of 5 women were co-medicated with an antidepressant [2]. These factors add to the
353 challenges of studying childhood behavioral disorders, which can be subtle and difficult to
354 measure and may change as the child develops [44].

355 To assess the possible impact of unmeasured confounding, and particularly, confounding by
356 indication, we carried out several sensitivity analyses. When we stratified by the indication for
357 BZD and z-hypnotic exposure (e.g., anxiety, sleep problems), we found attenuated estimates
358 compared to the main analysis. This subgroup analysis revealed a small increased risk of

359 internalizing behavior problems, but no increased risk of externalizing behavior problems,
360 associated with BZD and/or z-hypnotic exposure. This finding was consistent with previous
361 findings [18, 19]. Taken together, our sensitivity analyses suggested that the findings in the
362 main analysis might be explained by residual confounding, particularly confounding by
363 underlying maternal illness.

364 Selection bias could have affected our results in several ways. First, the sample of women that
365 consented to participate at baseline could have been systematically different from those
366 present at the 5-year follow-up, particularly in terms of depression and anxiety severity. To
367 address this issue, we used IPCW outcome models. Second, it was possible that women with
368 children that had more severe behavioral problems might have been less likely to participate
369 in the 5-year follow-up. We conducted a probabilistic bias analysis to assess whether this
370 could explain our findings. In addition, selection bias due to loss to follow-up could have
371 affected our results. Although we applied IPCW to account for this potential bias, censoring
372 weights could only account for measured factors associated with the loss to follow-up.

373 The present study also had other limitations. First, the child's behavior was reported by the
374 parents, and reporting may vary with the severity of the mother's mental illness. Second, even
375 in such a large birth cohort, the sample size was not sufficiently large to perform analyses for
376 specific trimesters, medication groups, or individual substances, or to perform sibling-analysis
377 to account for familial confounding. Thirdly, our exposure definition relied on maternal
378 reporting of BZDs and/or z-hypnotics use. An alternative could be to use the date of
379 prescription or filling of a prescription, and to classify as exposed any periods covered by that
380 prescription. This method is valid for medications used consistently over time (e.g. statins), or
381 for acute medications taken for a specific time-limited indication (e.g. antibiotics); however,
382 since BZDs/z-hypnotics are taken episodically and as-needed, this exposure definition is
383 likely more often incorrect than self-report [45]. Interestingly, a previous MoBa sub-study

384 validating maternal self-reported smoking in pregnancy to urinary cotinine measurements,
385 show high validity of maternal reporting with a sensitivity of 82% and specificity of 99% [46].
386 A similar reporting could possibly be expected for psychotropic medication. Furthermore, we
387 did not have any dose information, and consequently, we could not assess dose-response
388 relationships. Due to low numbers, we could not perform analyses on the time-varying effect
389 of the drug exposure. Lastly, the MoBa population might not be representative of the general
390 population; indeed, the women enrolled in the study were known to be highly educated,
391 healthy women [47]. Consequently, these findings must be replicated in larger studies, and in
392 other countries, because we might not find the same results in other parts of the world.

393 From an epidemiological standpoint, this study demonstrated the benefits of using a dataset
394 with detailed information. Moreover, our findings showed the importance of performing
395 sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of the main findings.

396 Taken together, the results from this study and previous studies are reassuring. Our findings
397 suggested that externalizing and internalizing problems at 5 years of age were not
398 significantly increased after prenatal exposure to BZDs and/or z-hypnotics. Our sensitivity
399 analyses suggested that residual confounding and selection bias might explain the increased
400 risks observed in the main analyses.

401 Recent initiatives suggest that it is important to consider a spectrum of neurodevelopment, not
402 just diagnostic categories [44]. In pre-school age children, psychometric instruments may
403 capture subtle effects in children that may be too young to have received a diagnosis. For
404 example, the median age of ADHD diagnosis in the Norwegian population is around 7-9 years
405 [48]. Moreover, a recent study using data from the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort
406 Study (MoBa) demonstrated that the Child Behaviour Checklist at 5 years of age was useful
407 for predicting a later ADHD diagnosis [49].

408 Establishing neurodevelopmental safety requires assessing a wide variety of outcomes
409 important for the child’s daily function. Future studies on prenatal BZD and/or z-hypnotic
410 exposure should focus on other neurodevelopmental outcomes, including psychomotor,
411 cognitive, behavioural and emotional functioning, to give a more complete picture of the
412 impact that these medications may have on human neurodevelopment. Brain development
413 continues into early adulthood [50] and some problems will not be detectable until
414 adolescence, when more complex tasks are required [51]. We therefore recommend that
415 future studies should employ both psychometric instruments and clinical diagnosis to detect
416 disorders that may have developmental origins, but may not be detected or diagnosed before
417 school-age or adolescence. Future studies should also focus on the genetic factors that might
418 confound an association between maternal psychotropic medication use during pregnancy and
419 behavioral outcomes in the child.

420 **Acknowledgements**

421 We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway that took part in this ongoing
422 cohort study. We also thank Marte Handal and Svetlana Skurtveit, Norwegian Institute of
423 Public Health, for their collaboration in the project: PDB668 “Effects of maternal use of
424 prescribed psychotropics during pregnancy and lactation on child behavior outcomes”.

425 **References**

- 426 1. Dennis C, Falah-Hassani K, Shiri R. Prevalence of antenatal and postnatal anxiety:
427 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2017;210(5):315-23. doi:
428 10.1192/bjp.bp.116.187179.
- 429 2. Riska BS, Skurtveit S, Furu K, Engeland A, Handal M. Dispensing of benzodiazepines
430 and benzodiazepine-related drugs to pregnant women: a population-based cohort study. *Eur J*
431 *Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;70(11):1367-74. doi: 10.1007/s00228-014-1744-4.
- 432 3. Lacroix I, Hurault C, Sarramon M, Guitard C, Berrebi A, Grau M, et al. Prescription
433 of drugs during pregnancy: a study using EFEMERIS, the new French database. *Eur J Clin*
434 *Pharmacol*. 2009;65(8):839-46. doi: 10.1007/s00228-009-0647-2.
- 435 4. Hanley G, Mintzes B. Patterns of psychotropic medicine use in pregnancy in the
436 United States from 2006 to 2011 among women with private insurance. *BMC Pregnancy*
437 *Childbirth*. 2014;14(1):242. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-14-242.
- 438 5. Shader RI, Greenblatt DJ. Use of benzodiazepines in anxiety disorders. *N Engl J Med*.
439 1993;328(19):1398-405. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199305133281907.
- 440 6. Bruk av benzodiazepiner: konsensuskonferanse 13.-14. februar 1996. Oslo: Norges
441 forskningsråd, Området for medisin og helse; 1996.
- 442 7. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Flower RJ, Henderson G. Rang and Dale's
443 *Pharmacology*. 8th ed. Edinburgh: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2016.
- 444 8. Wu C, Sun D. GABA receptors in brain development, function, and injury. *Metab*
445 *Brain Dis*. 2015;30(2):367-79. doi: 10.1007/s11011-014-9560-1.
- 446 9. Mandelli M, Morselli PL, Nordio S, Pardi G, Principi N, Sereni F, et al. Placental
447 transfer to diazepam and its disposition in the newborn. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*.
448 1975;17(5):564-72.

- 449 10. Guerre-Millo M, Rey E, Challier J, Turquais J, d'Athis P, Olive G. Transfer in vitro of
450 three benzodiazepines across the human placenta. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 1979;15(3):171-3.
451 doi: 10.1007/BF00563101.
- 452 11. Gai N, Grimm V. The effect of prenatal exposure to diazepam on aspects of postnatal
453 development and behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 1982;78(3):225-9. doi:
454 10.1007/BF00428155.
- 455 12. Kellogg C, Tervo D, Ison J, Parisi T, Miller RK. Prenatal exposure to diazepam alters
456 behavioral development in rats. *Science.* 1980;207(4427):205-7. doi:
457 10.1126/science.7350658.
- 458 13. Schlumpf M, Ramseier H, Abriel H, Youmbi M, Baumann JB, Lichtensteiger W.
459 Diazepam effects on the fetus. *Neurotoxicology.* 1989;10(3):501-16.
- 460 14. De Salvia MA, Cagiano R, Lacomba C, Cuomo V. Neurobehavioral changes produced
461 by developmental exposure to benzodiazepines. *Dev Pharmacol Ther.* 1990;15(3-4):173-7.
- 462 15. Gidai J, Ács N, Bánhidly F, Czeizel AE. A study of the effects of large doses of
463 medazepam used for self-poisoning in 10 pregnant women on fetal development. *Toxicol Ind*
464 *Health.* 2008;24(1-2):61-8. doi: 10.1177/0748233708089016.
- 465 16. Viggedal G, Hagberg BS, Laegreid L, Aronsson M. Mental development in late
466 infancy after prenatal exposure to benzodiazepines - a prospective study. *J Child Psychol*
467 *Psychiatry.* 1993;34(3):295-305. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.1993.tb00993.x.
- 468 17. Stika L, Elisova K, Honzakova L, Hrochova H, Plechatova H, Strnadova J, et al.
469 Effects of drug administration in pregnancy on children's school behaviour. *Pharm Weekbl*
470 *Sci.* 1990;12(6):252-5.
- 471 18. Radojčić MR, El Marroun H, Miljković B, Stricker BHC, Jaddoe VWV, Verhulst FC,
472 et al. Prenatal exposure to anxiolytic and hypnotic medication in relation to behavioral

- 473 problems in childhood: a population-based cohort study. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2017;61:58-65.
474 doi: 10.1016/j.ntt.2017.02.005.
- 475 19. Brandlistuen RE, Ystrom E, Hernandez-Diaz S, Skurtveit S, Selmer R, Handal M, et al.
476 Association of prenatal exposure to benzodiazepines and child internalizing problems: a
477 sibling-controlled cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(7):e0181042. doi:
478 10.1371/journal.pone.0181042.
- 479 20. Magnus P, Irgens LM, Haug K, Nystad W, Skjrvn R, Stoltenberg C. Cohort profile:
480 The Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MoBa). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2006;35(5):1146-50.
481 doi: 10.1093/ije/dyl170.
- 482 21. Magnus P, Birke C, Vejrup K, Haugan A, Alsaker E, Daltveit AK, et al. Cohort profile
483 update: The Norwegian mother and child cohort study (MoBa). *Int J Epidemiol.*
484 2016;45(2):382-8. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw029.
- 485 22. Irgens LM. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Epidemiological research and
486 surveillance throughout 30 years. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2000;79(6):435-9. doi:
487 10.1034/j.1600-0412.2000.079006435.x.
- 488 23. Organization WH. Classifications. The anatomical therapeutic chemical classification
489 system with defined daily doses (ATC/DDD) 2012. Available from:
490 <http://www.who.int/classifications/atcddd/en/>.
- 491 24. Nøvik TS. Validity of the child behaviour checklist in a Norwegian sample. *Eur Child*
492 *Adolesc Psychiatry.* 1999;8(4):247-54. doi: 10.1007/s007870050098.
- 493 25. Zachrisson HD, Dearing E, Lekhal R, Toppelberg CO. Little evidence that time in
494 child care causes externalizing problems during early childhood in Norway. *Child Dev.*
495 2013;84(4):1152-70. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12040.
- 496 26. Achenbach TM, Ruffle TM. The child behavior checklist and related forms for
497 assessing behavioral/emotional problems and competencies. *Pediatr Rev.* 2000;21(1):265-71.

- 498 27. VanderWeele TJ, Robins JM. Directed Acyclic Graphs, Sufficient Causes, and the
499 Properties of Conditioning on a Common Effect. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;166(9):1096-104. doi:
500 10.1093/aje/kwm179.
- 501 28. Shrier I, Platt RW. Reducing bias through directed acyclic graphs. *BMC Med Res*
502 *Methodol*. 2008;8(70). doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-8-70.
- 503 29. Strand BH, Dalgard OS, Tambs K, Rognerud M. Measuring the mental health status of
504 the Norwegian population: A comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and
505 MHI-5 (SF-36). *Nord J Psychiatry*. 2003;57(2):113-8. doi: 10.1080/08039480310000932.
- 506 30. Kendler KS, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. The lifetime history of
507 major depression in women: Reliability of diagnosis and heritability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*.
508 1993;50(11):863-70. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.1993.01820230054003.
- 509 31. Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. The central role of the propensity score in observational
510 studies for causal effects. *Biometrika*. 1983;70(1):41-55. doi: 10.2307/2335942.
- 511 32. Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. Reducing bias in observational studies using
512 subclassification on the propensity score. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1984;79(387):516-24. doi:
513 10.1080/01621459.1984.10478078.
- 514 33. Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of
515 confounding in observational studies. *Multivariate Behav Res*. 2011;46(3):399-424. doi:
516 10.1080/00273171.2011.568786.
- 517 34. Cole SR, Hernán MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural
518 models. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2008;168(6):656-64. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwn164.
- 519 35. Austin PC, Stuart EA. Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of
520 treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in
521 observational studies. *Stat Med*. 2015;34(28):3661-79. doi: 10.1002/sim.6607.
- 522 36. Lumley T. *survey: analysis of complex survey samples*. 2016.

- 523 37. Lumley T. Analysis of complex survey samples. *J Stat Softw.* 2004;9(1):1-19.
- 524 38. Leite W. *Practical Propensity Score Methods Using R.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
525 Publishing; 2016.
- 526 39. Wood ME, Lapane KL, Gelder MMHJ, Rai D, Nordeng HME. Making fair
527 comparisons in pregnancy medication safety studies: An overview of advanced methods for
528 confounding control. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2018;27(2):140-7. doi: 10.1002/pds.4336.
- 529 40. Lipsitch M, Tchetgen Tchetgen E, Cohen T. Negative controls: A tool for detecting
530 confounding and bias in observational studies. *Epidemiology.* 2010;21(3):383-8. doi:
531 10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181d61eeb.
- 532 41. Rassen JA, Glynn RJ, Rothman KJ, Setoguchi S, Schneeweiss S. Applying propensity
533 scores estimated in a full cohort to adjust for confounding in subgroup analyses.
534 *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2012;21(7):697-709. doi: doi:10.1002/pds.2256.
- 535 42. Lash TL, Fox MP, Fink AK. *Applying Quantitative Bias Analysis to Epidemiologic*
536 *Data.* New York, NY: Springer New York; 2009.
- 537 43. R core team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R Foundation
538 for Statistical Computing; 2018.
- 539 44. Cuthbert BN, Insel TR. Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: the seven pillars of
540 RDoC. *BMC Med.* 2013;11(1):126. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-11-126.
- 541 45. Nordeng H. Drug utilization in pregnant women. In: Elseviers M, Wettermark B,
542 Almarsdóttir AB, Andersen M, Benko R, Bennie M, et al., editors. *Drug utilization research :
543 methods and applications.* Chichester, West Sussex, Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc.;
544 2016.
- 545 46. Kvalvik LG, Nilsen RM, Skjærven R, Vollset SE, Midttun Ø, Ueland PM, et al. Self-
546 reported smoking status and plasma cotinine concentrations among pregnant women in the

547 Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. *Pediatr Res.* 2012;72(1):101-7. doi:
548 10.1038/pr.2012.36.

549 47. Nilsen RM, Vollset SE, Gjessing HK, Skjærven R, Melve KK, Schreuder P, et al.
550 Self-selection and bias in a large prospective pregnancy cohort in Norway. *Paediatr Perinat*
551 *Epidemiol.* 2009;23(6):597-608. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2009.01062.x.

552 48. Kessler CR, Amminger PG, Aguilar-Gaxiola BS, Alonso BJ, Lee BS, Üstün BT. Age
553 of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.*
554 2007;20(4):359-64. doi: 10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c.

555 49. Oerbeck B, Overgaard KR, Pripp AH, Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Aase H, Zeiner P.
556 Early Predictors of ADHD: Evidence from a Prospective Birth Cohort. *J Atten Disord.* 2017.
557 doi: 10.1177/1087054717696765.

558 50. Andersen SL. Trajectories of brain development: point of vulnerability or window of
559 opportunity? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2003;27(1):3-18. doi: 10.1016/S0149-7634(03)00005-8.

560 51. Bromley RL, Baker GA. Fetal antiepileptic drug exposure and cognitive outcomes.
561 *Seizure-Eur J Epilep.* 2017;44:225-31. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2016.10.006.

562

563 **Supporting information**

564 **S1 Table. Use of specific BZDs and z-hypnotics before and during pregnancy.**

565 **S2 Table. Primary disorders for the women who used BZDs and/or z-hypnotics before**
566 **or during pregnancy.**

567 **S3 Table. Characteristics of the estimated stabilized IPTW and IPCW in samples with**
568 **complete information on the child’s internalizing and/or externalizing behavior.**

569 **S4 Table. Balance between exposed and unexposed in the stabilized weighted samples**
570 **with complete information on the child’s internalizing and externalizing behaviors.**

571 **S5 Table. Characteristics of mothers with mental health and/or sleep problems, and**
572 **mothers that used BZD and/or z-hypnotics during pregnancy.**

573 **S1 Appendix. Additional information on “Materials and methods”.**

574 **S2 Appendix. Bias analysis.**

575 **S1 Figure. Bias analysis of the potential impact of selection bias due to loss to follow-up**
576 **among children exposed to BZDs or z-hypnotics prenatally that exhibited externalizing**
577 **behavior problems at 5 years of age. BZD, benzodiazepine; z-hyp, z-hypnotics; Ext.**
578 **problems, externalizing problems; OR, odds ratio.**

579 **S2 Figure. Bias analysis of the potential impact of selection bias due to loss to follow-up**
580 **among children not exposed to BZDs or z-hypnotics prenatally that exhibited**
581 **externalizing behavior problems at 5 years of age. BZD, benzodiazepine; z-hyp, z-**
582 **hypnotics; Ext. problems, externalizing problems; OR, odds ratio.**