1	Lyme neuroborreliosis and bird populations in northern Europe
2	
3	Atle Mysterud ^{1,2*} , Dieter J. A. Heylen ^{3,4} , Erik Matthysen ² , Aïda Lopez Garcia ⁵ , Solveig Jore ⁶ ,
4	and Hildegunn Viljugrein ^{1,7}
5	
6	¹ Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), Department of Biosciences,
7	University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1066 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway.
8	² Evolutionary Ecology Group, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp,
9	Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.
10	³ Interuniversity Institute for Biostatistics and statistical Bioinformatics, Hasselt University,
11	Diepenbeek, Belgium
12	⁴ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ,
13	United States of America
14	⁵ Lista Bird Observatory, NO-4563 Borhaug, Norway.
15	⁶ Department of Food, Water, Zoonotic & Vector-borne Infections, The Norwegian Public
16	Health Institute, P.O. Box 4404 Nydalen, NO-0403 Oslo, Norway.
17	⁷ Norwegian Veterinary Institute, P.O. Box 750 Sentrum, NO-0106 Oslo, Norway.
18	
19	*Corresponding author: atle.mysterud@ibv.uio.no
20	
21	
22	

23 Abstract

24 Many vector-borne diseases are transmitted through complex pathogen-vector-host networks, which makes it challenging to identify the role of specific host groups in disease emergence. 25 26 Lyme borreliosis in humans is now the most common vector-borne zoonosis in the northern 27 hemisphere. The disease is caused by multiple genospecies of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato 28 bacteria transmitted by ixodid (hard) ticks, and the major host groups transmit Borrelia 29 genospecies with different pathogenicity, causing variable clinical symptoms in humans. The 30 health impact of a given host group is a function of the number of ticks it infects as well as the pathogenicity of the genospecies it carries. B. afzelii, with mainly small mammals as 31 32 reservoirs, is the most common pathogen causing Lyme borreliosis, and it is often responsible 33 for the largest proportion of infected host-seeking tick nymphs in Europe. The bird-borne B. 34 garinii, though less prevalent in nymphal ticks, is more likely to cause Lyme neuroborreliosis, 35 but whether B. garinii causes disseminated disease more frequently has not been documented. 36 Based on extensive data of annual disease incidence across Norway from 1995-2017, we 37 show here that 69 % of disseminated Lyme borreliosis cases were neuroborreliosis, which is 38 three times higher than predicted from the infection prevalence of *B. garinii* in host-seeking 39 ticks (21 %). The population estimate of migratory birds, mainly of thrushes, explained part of 40 the annual variation in cases of neuroborreliosis, with a one-year time lag. We highlight the 41 important role of the genospecies' pathogenicity and the host associations for understanding 42 the epidemiology of disseminated Lyme borreliosis.

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 Ecosystems provide vital services and goods to humanity, but they also cause disservices in 46 terms of spillover of pathogens circulating in the ecosystems [1]. An important part of disease 47 mitigation is to understand the ecology and circulation of the pathogens and their effects on 48 the epidemiology of human diseases. However, the dynamics of zoonotic diseases can be 49 difficult to unravel due to the complexity of the natural transmission networks [2,3]. In 50 particular, the transmission of some vector-borne diseases may involve multiple hosts, 51 parasites and pathogens. Such complexity makes it difficult to assess how each host type 52 contributes to the epidemiology of disease. Lyme borreliosis is now the most common vector-53 borne disease in the northern hemisphere and has a particularly complex epidemiology [4-6]. 54 The disease is caused only by some of the 19 members of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato 55 (s.l.) complex [5], which consists of multiple genospecies. In western Europe, the 56 transmission of these pathogenic agents to humans occurs via one tick, Ixodes ricinus, and the 57 density of infected nymphs typically determines disease hazard to humans [7]. In Europe, B. 58 afzelii is often the most common genospecies in questing (host-seeking) nymphs due to the 59 ubiquity of its small mammal reservoirs [8,9]. B. garinii is mainly bird-borne and is usually 60 the second most common genospecies in questing nymphs. However, B. garinii is the main 61 causal agent for the more severe infection, Lyme neuroborreliosis [10,11]. Hence, bird 62 populations may have a more important role in spreading the pathogen causing disseminated 63 Lyme borreliosis in humans than is currently documented and assumed based on the 64 prevalence of *B. garinii* in questing nymphs.

Early clinical symptoms (stage 1) of Lyme borreliosis in humans may include erythema
migrans [6,12], which is an expanding skin lesion, as well as fatigue, fever, headache, mild
stiff neck, muscle and joint pains [13]. If untreated, the infection can progress to early
disseminated infection (stage 2, up to 6 months), involving neurological abnormalities in the

69 case of neuroborreliosis. The most common signs of early neuroborreliosis is Lymphocytic 70 meningoradiculitis (Bannwarth's syndrome) and central nervous system (CNS) 71 manifestations, while late stage (stage 3, more than 6 months) neuroborreliosis include both 72 peripheral nervous system (PNS) and CNS manifestations [14]. Other common clinical symptoms of late disseminated infections may involve arthritis in North America and 73 74 acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans (ACA) in Europe, and sometime also carditis [6,12]. 75 Therefore, Lyme borreliosis involves a variety of clinical symptoms, and it is becoming 76 increasingly clear that the different genospecies within the Borrelia burgdorferi s.l. complex 77 usually cause different clinical symptoms in humans [15-21]. In Europe, coupling of the 78 clinical diagnosis and the presence of genospecies show that most late-stage dermatological 79 manifestations (ACA) were caused by B. afzelii, while B. garinii usually causes 80 neuroborreliosis [14,22]. There is still limited knowledge about the extent to which different 81 genospecies lead to disseminated disease, which may depend on both the likelihood of the 82 patient receiving antibiotic treatment at an early stage and the human immune system's ability 83 to tackle different genospecies. One study indicated that B. afzelii caused more localized 84 infection and was significantly less associated with disseminated infection in patients 85 compared to B. burgdorferi s.s. [16]. Skin infections caused by B. afzelii may be more readily 86 identifiable and treated in an early stage. Hence, since B. garinii cause qualitatively more 87 severe cases of neuroborreliosis [8], infections with B. garinii may become overrepresented 88 among cases of disseminated Lyme borreliosis in humans compared to their proportion in 89 infected nymphs (Fig. 1).

Ixodid ticks are expanding in geographical distribution in elevation and towards the north in
both Europe [23] and North America [24]. Lyme borreliosis is currently emerging in northern
Europe [25-27] as well as in Canada [28], and understanding the causes is urgent. In Norway,
Lyme borreliosis has a status as a 'notifiable disease', and data across the whole country for

94 the period 1995-2017 is available [26]. The data include cases of disseminated Lyme 95 borreliosis (stage 2-3), as well as cases with the specific diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis. We here quantify for the first time the proportion of disseminated Lyme borreliosis cases 96 97 being neuroborreliosis across space and time at a broad (national) spatial scale. We 98 specifically test the hypothesis (H₁) that *B. garinii* more often causes disseminated infection 99 than does *B. afzelii* by comparing the local proportion of neuroborreliosis in official Lyme 100 statistics patients to the local proportion of *B. garinii* and *B. afzelii* in questing nymphs. 101 Because neuroborreliosis in northern Europe is linked to *B. garinii* and birds [10], we 102 calculated an annual bird disease hazard as the number of *B. garinii* infected nymphs 103 produced by a given bird population the previous year. We test the hypothesis (H₂) that 104 annual variation in disseminated Lyme neuroborreliosis incidence can be predicted by annual 105 variation in bird disease hazard.

106 2. Material and Methods

107 (a) Study area

108 The Lyme borreliosis data cover the whole of Norway with a latitudinal range of 57°58'-109 71°08'N [26,27]. Due to the favourable mild and humid climate for *I. ricinus* and its hosts, the 110 south and south-west part of the coast is an endemic area for the occurrence of Lyme 111 borreliosis in Norway [29]. A mountain range in the south separates the western coastal region from the eastern inland region, with the latter having a more continental climate. The 112 113 temperature is colder with increasing latitude, which affects both vegetation and tick 114 distribution [30]. The Gulf Stream also warms the western coast considerably with a humid 115 climate that is favourable for ticks [31]. Further inland and towards the east, with a colder and drier climate, the forest is boreonemoral or boreal with coniferous forests dominating [32]. 116 117 There are smaller areas of (deciduous) nemoral forest requiring a warmer climate along the

southernmost coast, i.e., deciduous forest trees such as oak (*Quercus* spp.) requiring a warmer
habitat.

120 **(b) Notifiable Lyme borreliosis in humans**

121 Lyme borreliosis has been a nominally notifiable disease in Norway since 1991; but we 122 restricted data to 1995 onwards when disseminated cases was consistently reported [33]. We 123 provide a detailed account for criteria to be notified as Lyme borreliosis in the Supplementary 124 Info. The data has been used previously to study the distribution of ticks [30] and the role of 125 deer populations for Lyme borreliosis incidence [26,27]. All data in Norway included 4685 126 cases of Lyme borreliosis, of which 3254 (69 %) was Lyme neuroborreliosis. As in previous 127 analyses [26,27], we limited the data to cases for which the municipality of the tick bite was 128 confirmed (Lyme borreliosis: n = 2844, neuroborreliosis: n = 1940). There may be a time lag 129 between tick bite and disseminated infection [12], and hence, the time of registration in the 130 disease statistics may differ from the time of the tick bite. However, there were very few cases 131 reported in January-May, while numbers peaked in August-October and subsequently

declined [34]. Therefore, the year of disease testing is usually the same year as the tick bite.

133 (c) Indexing Lyme neuroborreliosis hazard from birds

134 Lyme borreliosis hazard is typically defined as the abundance of infected nymphs in a given 135 year [7]. This is a function of both the number of larvae fed and the prevalence of B. 136 burgdorferi s.l. in hosts during the previous year. The most common way to estimate the 137 contribution of a given host species or group to the disease hazard is to use the estimated 138 population size of each species/group multiplied by the mean estimated tick load [35,36]. The 139 latter is the total tick load found on infested and non-infested birds divided by the total 140 number of birds sampled. In addition, transmission competence is important for calculating 141 the number of infected nymphs [8]. We, therefore, used metrics based on population numbers 142 of birds (below), their expected average larval tick load and the average infection prevalence

by *B. garinii*. This is expected to predict the number of *B. garinii*-infected nymphs the
following year, which can be termed the neuroborreliosis disease hazard.

145 Ground-feeding birds carry the most ticks [37], but they differ in their transmission

146 competence of *B. garinii* [38]. Thrushes as a group that have been identified as the main

147 group for hosting *B. garinii* across Europe [8], with some 11 species of birds contributing

notably [38]. Based on current reviews from across Europe, we calculated two indices of

149 neuroborreliosis disease hazard from birds. The basis for calculating the two hazard indexes

150 below is the annual abundance of a given bird species from within Norway multiplied by the

151 mean *B. garinii* infection prevalence of ticks from that bird species based on studies from

across Europe [38] (ESM Table S1). These patterns of the mean *B. garinii* infection

153 prevalence from across Europe are consistent with studies on birds from the Lista Bird

154 Observatory (see below), in terms of relative tick loads [39], the estimated prevalence of *B*.

155 *burgdorferi* s.l. [40,41] and the genospecies [42].

156 Bird hazard index 1 Thrushes. The Blackbird (Turdus merula), Songthrush (Turdus

157 *philomelos*) and Redwing (*Turdus iliacus*) are regarded to be, by far, most important

158 contributors to the transmission of *B. garinii* [38], and their *B. garinii* infection prevalence

159 was 18.3 %, 12.0 % and 28.1 %, respectively. This hazard index is the sum of the hazard (bird

160 abundance*infection prevalence) of the three species of thrushes.

161 Bird hazard index 2 Important birds. In addition to the three thrushes (above), the Common

162 Chaffinch (*Fringilla coelebs*), the Great Tit (*Parus major*), the Winter Wren (*Troglodytes*

163 troglodytes), the Blackcap (Sylvia atricapilla), the European Robin (Erithacus rubecula), the

164 Dunnock (*Prunella modularis*), and the Tree Pipit (*Anthus trivialis*) were added to this index.

165 The Hawfinch (Coccothraustes coccothraustes), the Common Redstart (Phoenicurus

166 *phoenicurus*), and the Common Whitethroat (Sylvia communis) are also listed as important for

167 the transmission of *B. garinii* in Europe [43]. However, they were not sufficiently common in

the current sample to allow for population estimates, and they are subsequently unlikely to contribute to disease hazard index with such low numbers. This is likely related to the fact that the bird capture data in mist nets may not be equally representative for all birds breeding in the affected regions.

172 (d) Bird population data

173 Data on migratory bird populations were available from Lista Bird Observatory (58°07'53"N 174 6°42'10"E). The Observatory is located at the southwestern edge of Norway. It is the first 175 point that migrant birds along the western route meet when they enter the Norwegian coast in 176 spring. It is, hence, a major immigration route to Norway, and we assumed a strong 177 correlation to the number of breeding birds in Norway [44]. Standardized bird counts and bird 178 trapping are carried out at the observatory every spring and autumn [45]. Most birds were 179 trapped in mist nets during periods of the day when a constant effort approach was applied 180 [46], in which the total net area and net positions were held constant and playback was never used to increase the trapping efficiency [47]. From the 15th of March to the 10th of June each 181 182 year, mist nets were used on a daily basis as the weather conditions permitted. Nets were 183 opened 30 minutes prior to sunrise and closed no earlier than five hours after sunrise. We used 184 data on all the birds ringed on those dates as well as those from the nests, which we expect to 185 be a good predictor for available bird hosts covering the main tick questing season in spring 186 [31]. From 2012 onwards, a standardized 6-hour daily catching period was introduced, which 187 slightly lowered the total number of observations (see analysis for how this was accounted 188 for). The same data have been used previously for the study of bird migration phenology [48]. 189 An extended index, including bird observations from March to September, was highly 190 correlated with this period (r = 0.96).

191 (e) Other covariates

192 We used similar spatial covariates as used in previous analyses on the Lyme borreliosis

193 incidence [26,27]. The deer population density index is based on harvest numbers of roe deer

194 (*Capreolus capreolus*), red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and moose (*Alces alces*) divided by the size

195 of the deer habitat at the scale of municipality. At the scale of municipality, we retrieved the

196 proportion of human settlement, the proportion of agricultural areas, the proportion of habitat

above 200 m a.s.l. and the distance to the fjord. Due to its strong impact along the coast of

198 Norway [49], we used the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) as a proxy for the climate, as

199 well as the PCA-based index for December through February

200 (https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-

201 pc-based). We considered the regions in the east (Østfold, Akershus, Oslo, Hedmark, Oppland

and Buskerud counties), the south (Vestfold, Telemark, Aust-Agder and Vest-Agder

203 counties), and the west (Rogaland, Hordaland, Sogn and Fjordane, and Møre and Romsdal

204 counties) of Norway, because there were very few cases in northern Norway.

205 (f) Statistical analyses

We analysed all data using R x 64 v. 3.3.3 software (http://www.r-project.org/). Cases of Lyme borreliosis and neuroborreliosis were modelled with negative binomial mixed effects regressions using the R package lme4. To analyse the incidence, we used the human population size in a given municipality as an offset variable. We excluded the 4 northernmost counties due to few positive cases (<4 % of sample) and being too distant from the Lista Bird Observatory. We also restricted the analysis to 204 (of 292) municipalities with at least one case of Lyme borreliosis. An overview of raw data is given in ESM Table S2.

As a starting point for our model selection, we used an established model structure that was

found to fit our data well [26,27]. The parameters included were chosen based on minimizing

215 the AIC corrected for small sample sizes (AICc) but also to fit the data in terms of having no

spatial or temporal pattern in the residuals. We used a linear or quadratic year term to quantify

217 and remove trends. To account for remaining dependency in the nested data structure, we also 218 tested both spatial dependency (i.e., the presence of Lyme borreliosis or neuroborreliosis in a 219 neighbouring municipality the previous year) and temporal autocorrelation (incidence the 220 previous year) terms. The established model [26,27] included the region (west/east/south), the 221 NAO winter index, the spatial deer population density, proportion of human settlement, 222 proportion of agricultural areas, proportion of habitat above 200 m a.s.l. and distance to the 223 fjord. A second-order polynomial of the year was used to quantify the long-term trend in the 224 incidence data. The model also included a year interaction term with the region, to account for 225 a regional difference in the temporal trend of Lyme borreliosis cases. We then added the bird 226 hazard index to this model. The Lyme borreliosis data, land use variables and deer densities 227 were all gathered at a municipality scale. The bird data do not have a specific spatial scale, as 228 they are one value per year. In the analysis, we lag the disease hazard index by one year, as 229 questing larvae typically moult after their blood meal and overwinter before they emerge and 230 quest as nymph the year after. When we had achieved a good model, we challenged the model 231 fit by removing one variable at a time and seeing how it changed the AICc. We standardized 232 the coefficients to enable direct comparisons of the effect sizes of the different variables.

233 (g) Literature survey

234 We retrieved all studies of the genospecies composition of *B. burgdorferi* sl in questing 235 nymphs from across Norway (ESM Table S3). We did this by searching in ISI on "Borrelia 236 AND Norway" and by searching publication lists of the most prominent tick researchers in 237 Norway and screening for relevant publications. We conducted this survey to compare the 238 regional disease hazard in questing ticks caused by *B. garinii* relative to the proportion of 239 disseminated Lyme borreliosis that had clinical signs of neuroborreliosis (Fig. 2). For those 240 studies reporting an average that was based on several nearby locations, we plotted the pie 241 chart around the approximate middle location of the cluster of locations. For each study, we

retrieved the proportion of neuroborreliosis cases out of the total number of Lyme borreliosis cases at a county scale for the given year. If data included more years or areas, we used the average numbers. We then used a paired Wilcoxon-test to test if the proportion of *B. garinii* in nymphs differed from the proportion of neuroborreliosis cases.

246 **3. Results**

247 The proportion of neuroborreliosis among the disseminated Lyme borreliosis cases varied 248 across years from 50 % to 84 % (minimum 58 % after year 2000), and between regions from 61 % in the south (averaged across years), over 69 % in the east to 81 % in the west. We 249 250 found a consistently much higher proportion (overall mean 69 %) of neuroborreliosis cases 251 among patients with disseminated borreliosis (stage 2-3) than expected from the proportion of 252 B. garinii in questing nymphal ticks (overall mean 21.4 %) for all regions of Norway (Fig. 2, 253 ESM Table S3, paired the Wilcoxon signed rank test, p = 0.02), i.e., we found strong support 254 for H₁ that *B. garinii* more often causes disseminated borreliosis compared to *B. afzelii*. 255 The two bird hazard indices were highly correlated (r = 0.99), they provided similar model fit 256 (AIC = 0.01) and parameter estimates, and we therefore only report results using bird disease 257 hazard index 2. The annual variation in bird disease hazard, which was calculated from the 258 expected production of *B. garinii*-infested nymphs that were produced by migratory birds 259 coming to Norway, correlated with the number of neuroborreliosis cases the following year 260 (H₂, Fig. 3, Table 1). The mean incidence per 1000 inhabitants was 0.049. The model predicts 261 an increase in incidence from 0.049 to 0.056 ($0.049*\exp(0.066)^2$) per 1000 inhabitants if we 262 increase the bird hazard by 2 SD. The effect of bird abundance was significant, with a p-value 263 below 0.05, also when varying the model structure in terms of other covariates included. The 264 effect was quantitatively stronger when excluding data before 2000. The result was robust to deleting the last five years (with a slight change in bird survey effort) or using a detrended 265

series of annual bird hazard, but a significant effect was dependent on the inclusion of the
year 2007, with a peak in both cases of neuroborreliosis and bird hazard. There was also an
effect linked to the annual variation in the NAO climate index. The effect of annual bird
hazard was slightly weaker (but significant) if including all Lyme borreliosis cases and not
restricted to Lyme neuroborreliosis cases only (ESM Table S4).

271 The variance of the mean spatial incidence was ~7.9 times higher than the variance of the 272 mean annual incidence. Due to this, the effect size of the parameters estimated as the annual 273 variation was, in general, weaker than the effect sizes of spatial covariates for explaining the 274 incidence of neuroborreliosis (Fig. 3). As reported also earlier [26], the incidence of Lyme 275 neuroborreliosis increased spatially with the cervid population density, and it decreased with 276 the proportion of human settlement, agricultural fields, areas > 200 m a.s.l. and with 277 increasing distance from the coast. The incidence of neuroborreliosis increased over time. The 278 increase was less strong for the south region compared to the pooled west and east, but it was at a diminishing rate (i.e., a significant year² term for the west and east regions pooled; Table 279 280 1).

281 **4. Discussion**

282 Annual estimates of Lyme borreliosis suggest some 300,000 cases in the USA and 85,000 283 cases in Europe, and yet, Lyme borreliosis is often assumed to be underreported. A recent 284 topic is the extent to which the genospecies of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. differ in pathogenicity and in 285 their ability to escape the immune system of humans [50,51] and cause disseminated infection 286 [15]. In Norway alone, in the period 2005-2009 [52], approximately 11,000 persons visited a 287 medical doctor after a tick bite, while some 7,000 received antibiotic treatment against 288 borreliosis each year. However, official statistics of disseminated Lyme borreliosis are only in 289 the range of some 400 annually in recent years. As predicted from the medical knowledge that

290 the bird-borne *B. garinii* is the main (97 %) cause of neuroborreliosis in Norway [10]; our 291 study documents a 3-fold overrepresentation of neuroborreliosis among the cases of 292 disseminated Lyme borreliosis compared to the prevalence of B. garinii in questing nymphal 293 ticks (Fig. 2, Table 1). This result is additional evidence that B. garinii more often causes 294 disseminated Lyme borreliosis than does B. afzelii at these northern latitudes. Hence, bird 295 populations play a more important role for severe Lyme borreliosis than was predicted from 296 the prevalence of *B. garinii* in ticks. Although current knowledge suggests that the highest 297 proportion of the infected ticks have *B. afzelii* in many places in Europe [8,9], our study 298 highlights that this hazard is not representative of the more severe disseminated infections. 299 For the period 1995-2017, 69 % of the reported cases of disseminated infections in Norway 300 was neuroborreliosis. Therefore, we would expect annual variations in cases of disseminated 301 Lyme borreliosis to be linked to fluctuations in bird population numbers, mainly that of 302 thrushes [38]. Our analysis provided some evidence that annual variations in incoming 303 migratory birds to northern latitudes affects next year's incidence of disseminated Lyme 304 borreliosis (Fig. 3), but the effect was dependent on inclusion of the year 2007, which had a 305 peak in both cases of neuroborreliosis and bird numbers (the previous year).

306 (a) Clinical symptoms, diagnostics and treatment before dissemination

307 As in many places of Europe [8,9], B. afzelii is the most common genospecies in questing 308 ticks in Norway, with estimates between ~60-80 % (ESM Table S3). B. garinii is typically the 309 second most common, with ~20-30 %. Hence, with 69 % of disseminated Lyme borreliosis 310 being neuroborreliosis, this is a 3-fold overrepresentation in patients compared to the mean 311 hazard of 21.4 %. The proportion of neuroborreliosis among all Lyme borreliosis cases has 312 increased slightly over time. The notification criteria has been consistent, and adequate 313 treatment have been available with only minor changes over the time period [53]. However, 314 improved ELISAs for diagnostic appeared around 2005-08 [54], and the use of spinal

315 puncture became a more standard way to diagnose the disease in children (age group 0-9 316 years) from 2015. Whether increased public attention and awareness to Lyme borreliosis play 317 a role for the temporal trend is less clear. We correct for temporal trend in our analysis 318 looking at year-to-year variation in numbers, and the proportional change in neuroborreliosis 319 cases over time was not sufficiently strong to markedly affect the overrepresentation relative 320 to genospecies found in questing ticks (Fig. 2). However, Lyme borreliosis is challenging to 321 diagnose due to a range of clinical manifestations and low sensitivity and specificity of 322 available diagnostic tests [13]. The wide variation in antigenicity among Borrelia genospecies 323 may affect the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostics [55]. If genospecies differ in the 324 presentation of clinical symptoms, this may in turn bias disease statistics directly or indirectly 325 if it leads to a differential treatment. Therefore, both different pathogenicity and the likelihood 326 of receiving treatment may affect the extent to which infections by different genospecies lead 327 to disseminated disease.

328 The ability of the different genospecies to cause erythema migrans (EM) may affect 329 the likelihood of causing systemic infection [17,56], because it affects the likelihood of 330 receiving treatment, as EM is readily identified as Lyme borreliosis and then treated with 331 antibiotics. Most neuroborreliosis patients in Norway have not had any EM (medical doctor 332 and neuroborreliosis expert Bjørn Barstad, pers. comm.). Similarly, in the Netherlands, the 333 genospecies B. bavariensis (formerly grouped with B. garinii) was found to be rare in EM 334 patients compared to *B. burgdorferi* s.s., which may explain the high prevalence of *B*. 335 bavariensis in neuroborreliosis patients despite it being a rare pathogen in questing ticks due 336 to the restricted reservoir linked to hedgehogs (Erinaceus europaeus) [15]. Hence, also B. 337 *bavariensis* can in areas with hedgehogs be expected to be disproportionally represented in 338 neuroborreliosis patients in way similar to B. garinii. In Norway, B. bavariensis has not yet 339 been recorded, probably due to the rarity of hedgehogs. Also in B. burgdorferi s.s., different

340 clinical symptoms have been found in different geographic regions in the USA due to strain 341 variation [19]. In some studies, *B. afzelii* was found in the cerebrospinal fluid, although 342 always in a much lower proportion than B. garinii [57-59]. This may nevertheless reflect the 343 role of strain variation within genospecies [60] and that B. afzelii may be more pathogenic in 344 some areas of Europe. The clinical spectrum of Lyme borreliosis in relation to genospecies 345 [21] and strains is still based on rather few studies. The European Centre for Disease 346 Prevention and Control now from 2019 include Lyme neuroborreliosis under EU 347 epidemiological surveillance, with a uniform EU case definition [61], but this will not enable 348 capturing disease burden from other genospecies.

349 (b) Bird populations and Lyme borreliosis emergence

350 We still have a limited understanding of the relative role of climate and the abundance of 351 different host groups on the current emergence of Lyme borreliosis. A systematic review 352 including 66 studies found that a few host species dominate the transmission of Lyme 353 borreliosis in Europe [8], with the most widespread host groups being rodents, thrushes 354 (*Turdus* spp.) and deer. Deer are important, because they are reproduction hosts to adult ticks, 355 and high deer population densities have been associated with increased tick abundance 356 [62,63]. For Norway, we have previously shown a link between the deer density and increased 357 Lyme borreliosis incidence [26]. Rodents and thrushes have a dual role, being both important 358 larval tick hosts and reservoirs for pathogens. The bird hazard was calculated as a mean 359 annual variable and had a weak, but significant impact on incidence. The spatial scale of 360 ecological processes can be important for estimation processes. A weakness of our study is 361 the lack of spatially explicit information on bird numbers. The bird hazard was calculated as a 362 mean annual variable and had a weak, but significant impact on incidence. In our case, the 363 variance in the spatial incidence of Lyme neuroborreliosis was ~7.9 times higher than the variance of the mean annual incidence, which may explain the weaker effect sizes of both the 364

NAO and the annual bird variation. The increased spatial resolution of bird numbers is
currently unavailable, but it may be important for assessing the relative importance compared
to other host groups, such as deer density.

368 Identifying the role of specific vertebrate host groups is critical for disease risk 369 assessment and management [15]. We found quantitative evidence for the role of annual 370 variation in bird numbers linked to the annual variation in neuroborreliosis cases. Most 371 markedly, we found a huge overrepresentation of Lyme neuroborreliosis cases among 372 disseminated Lyme borreliosis patients in Norway relative to the predicted hazard from B. 373 garinii in questing ticks. To further improve our understanding of the complex epidemiology 374 of Lyme borreliosis, we not only need a better understanding of how disease hazard depends 375 on the genospecies but also how human immune responses and the likelihood of getting 376 treatment contribute to the incidence of reported cases of disseminated Lyme borreliosis.

377 Ethics

378 This paper only contain data from databases gathered for other purposes.

379 Data accessibility

380 The data is available in Dryad.

381 <u>https://datadryad.org/review?doi=doi:10.5061/dryad.114dg70</u>
 382 .

383 Authors' contributions

A.M. initiated the study. A.M., D.J.A.H. and E.M. developed the bird hazard index and

discussed initial design. A.M. did the literature survey and made Fig. 1 and 2. H.V. did the

386 statistical analyses and made Fig. 3. A.L.G provided bird data and knowhow. S.J. provided

387 the Lyme borreliosis data and knowhow on neuroborreliosis and diagnostic developments.

- 388 A.M. drafted the manuscript with notable input from D.J.A.H., E.M., S.J. and H.V. All
- authors edited and approved the final manuscript.

390 Funding

- 391 We are grateful to the Research Council of Norway and the Norwegian Environment Agency
- funding the #EcoTick project (254469). AM is grateful for the travel grant from the Faculty of
- 393 Mathematics and Natural Sciences, UiO as part of a sabbatical in Antwerp, Belgium, to work
- 394 on this project. Dieter Heylen is funded by the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions (EU-Horizon
- 395 2020, Individual Global Fellowship, project n° 799609), the Fund for Scientific Research –
- 396 Flanders (FWO) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

397 Competing interests

398 Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

399 Acknowledgements

We are grateful for discussions with Hein Sprong and Bjørn Barstad regarding differential
pathogenicity and hosts of genospecies, to Oskar K. Bjørnstad for downloading bird mist
netting data from Lista, to Anna Nilsson, John Atle Kålås and Magne Husby for the
discussion of bird ecology and migratory routes to Norway, and to the three anonymous
referees for insightful comments improving our paper. Wiley Editing Service corrected the
language.

406 Footnotes

407 Electronic supplementary material is available online at ****.

408 **References**

409	1. Gortazar, C., Reperant, L. A., Kuiken, T., de la Fuente, J., Boadella, M., Martínez-
410	Lopez, B., Ruiz-Fons, F., Estrada-Peña, A., Drosten, C., Medley, G., Ostfeld,
411	R., Peterson, T., Vercauteren, K. C., Menge, C., Artois, M., Schultsz, C.,
412	Delahay, R., Serra-Cobo, J., Poulin, R., Keck, F., Aguirre, A. A., Henttonen,

413		H., Dobson, A. P., Kutz, S., Lubroth, J. & Mysterud, A. 2014 Crossing the
414		interspecies barrier: opening the door to zoonotic pathogens. Plos Pathog 10,
415		e1004129-
416	2.	Rigaud, T., Perrot-Minnot, MJ. & Brown, M. J. F. 2010 Parasite and host
417		assemblages: embracing the reality will improve our knowledge of parasite
418		transmission and virulence. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B 277, 3693-3702.
419	3.	Johnson, P. T. J., Preston, D. L., Hoverman, J. T. & LaFonte, B. E. 2013 Host and
420		parasite diversity jointly control disease risk in complex communities. Proc
421		Natl Acad Sci USA 110, 16916-16921.
422	4.	Radolf, J. D., Caimano, M. J., Stevenson, B. & Hu, L. T. 2012 Of ticks, mice and
423		men: understanding the dual-host lifestyle of Lyme disease spirochaetes.
424		Nature Rev Microbiol 10, 87-99.
425	5.	Franke, J., Hildebrandt, A. & Dorn, W. 2013 Exploring gaps in our knowledge on
426		Lyme borreliosis spirochaetes-updates on complex heterogeneity, ecology, and
427		pathogenicity. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 4, 11-25.
428	6.	Steere, A. C., Strle, F., Wormser, G. P., Hu, L. T., Branda, J. A., Hovius, J. W. R., Li,
429		X. & Mead, P. S. 2016 Lyme borreliosis. <i>Nature Reviews Disease Primers</i> 2,
430		16090-
431	7.	Ostfeld, R. S., Canham, C. D., Oggenfuss, K., Winchcombe, R. J. & Keesing, F. 2006
432		Climate, deer, rodents, and acorns as determinants of variation in Lyme-disease
433		risk. Plos Biol 4, 1058-1068.
434	8.	Hofmeester, T. R., Coipan, E. C., Van Wieren, S. E., Prins, H. H. T., Takken, W. &
435		Sprong, H. 2016 Few vertebrate species dominate the Borrelia burgdorferi s.l.
436		life cycle. Environ Res Lett 11, 043001-

437	9.	Estrada-Peña, A., Cutler, S., Potkonjak, A., Vassier-Tussaut, M., van Bortel, W.,
438		Zeller, H., ernández-Ruiz, N. & ihalca, A. D. 2018 An updated meta-analysis
439		of the distribution and prevalence of Borrelia burgdorferi s.l. in ticks in
440		Europe. International Journal of Health Geographics 17, 41-
441	10.	Barstad, B., Quarsten, H., Tveitnes, D., Noraas, S., Ask, I. S., Saeed, M., Bosse, F.,
442		Vigemyr, G., Huber, I. & Øymar, K. 2018 Direct molecular detection and
443		genotyping of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato in the cerebrospinal fluid of
444		children with Lyme neuroborreliosis. J Clin Microbiol 56, e01868-17-
445	11.	Coipan, E. C., Jahfari, S., Fonville, M., Oei, G. A., Spanjaard, L., Takumi, K., Hovius,
446		J. W. R. & Sprong, H. 2016 Imbalanced presence of Borrelia burgdorferi s.l.
447		multilocus sequence types in clinical manifestations of Lyme borreliosis. Infect
448		<i>Genet Evol</i> 42 , 66-76.
449	12.	Stanek, G., Wormser, G. P., Gray, J. & Strle, F. 2012 Lyme borreliosis. Lancet 379,
450		461-473.
451	13.	Stanek, G., Fingerle, V., Hunfeld, K. P., Jaulhac, B., Kaiser, R., Krause, A.,
452		Kristoferitsch, W., O'Connell, S., Ornstein, K., Strle, F. & Gray, J. 2011 Lyme
453		borreliosis: Clinical case definitions for diagnosis and management in Europe.
454		Clin Microbiol Infec 17, 69-79.
455	14.	Mygland, Å., Løstad, U., Fingerle, V., Rupprecht, T., Schmutzhard, E. & Steiner, I.
456		2009 EFNS guidelines on the diagnosis and management of European Lyme
457		neuroborreliosis. Eur J Neurol 17, 8-e4.
458	15.	Jahfari, S., Krawczyk, A., Coipan, E. C., Fonville, M., Hovius, J. W., Sprong, H. &
459		Takumi, K. 2017 Enzootic origins for clinical manifestations of Lyme
460		borreliosis. Infect Genet Evol 49, 48-54.

461	16.	Jungnick, S., Margos, G., Rieger, M., Dzaferovic, E., Bent, S. J., Overzier, E., Silaghi,
462		C., Walder, G., Wex, F., Koloczek, J., Sing, A. & Fingerle, V. 2015 Borrelia
463		burgdorferi sensu stricto and Borrelia afzelii: Population structure and
464		differential pathogenicity. Int J Med Microbiol 305, 673-681.
465	17.	Logar, M., Ruzic-Sabljic, E., Maraspin, V., Lotric-Furlan, S., Cimperman, J., Jurca, T.
466		& Strle, F. 2004 Comparison of Erythema migrans caused by Borrelia afzelii
467		and Borrelia garinii. Infection 32 , 15-19.
468	18.	Stanek, G. & Reiter, M. 2011 The expanding Lyme Borrelia complex - clinical
469		significance of genomic species? Clin Microbiol Infec 17, 487-493.
470	19.	Hanincova, K., Mukherjee, P., Ogden, N. H., Margos, G., Wormser, G. P., Reed, K.
471		D., Meece, J. K., Vandermause, M. F. & Schwartz, I. 2013 Multilocus
472		sequence typing of Borrelia burgdorferi suggests existence of lineages with
473		differential pathogenic properties in humans. Plos One 8, e73066-
474	20.	van Dam, A. P., Kuiper, H., Vos, K., Widjojokusumo, A., de Jongh, B. M., Spanjaard,
475		L., Ramselaar, A. C., Kramer, M. D. & Dankert, J. 1993 Different genospecies
476		of Borrelia burgdorferi are associated with distinct clinical manifestations of
477		Lyme borreliosis. Clinical Infectious Diseases 17, 708-707.
478	21.	Cardenas-de la Garza, J. A., De la Cruz-Valadez, E., Ocampo-Candiani, J. & Welsh,
479		O. 2019 Clinical spectrum of Lyme disease. European Journal of Clinical
480		Microbiology & Infectious Diseases 38, 201-208.
481	22.	Rupprecht, T. A., Koedel, U., Fingerle, V. & Pfister, H. W. 2008 The pathogenesis of
482		Lyme neuroborreliosis: From infection to inflammation. Molecular Medicine
483		14 , 205-212.
484	23.	Medlock, J. M., Hansford, K. M., Bormane, A., Derdakova, M., Estrada-Peña, A.,
485		George, JC., Golovljova, I., Jaenson, T. G. T., Jensen, JK., Jensen, P. M.,

486		Kazimirova, M., Oteo, J. A., Papa, A., Pfister, K., Plantard, O., Randolph, S.
487		E., Rizzoli, A., Santos-Silva, M. M., Sprong, H., Vial, L., Hendrickx, G.,
488		Zeller, H. & van Bortel, W. 2013 Driving forces for changes in geographical
489		distribution of Ixodes ricinus ticks in Europe. Parasite Vector 6:1,
490	24.	Eisen, R. J. & Eisen, L. 2018 The blacklegged tick, Ixodes scapularis: An increasing
491		public health concern. Trends Parasitol 34, 295-309.
492	25.	Sajanti, E., Virtanen, M., Helve, O., Kuusi, M., Lyytikäinen, O., Hytönen, J. & Sane,
493		J. 2017 Lyme Borreliosis in Finland, 1995-2014. Emerg Infect Dis 23, 1282-
494	26.	Mysterud, A., Easterday, W. R., Stigum, V. M., Aas, A. B., Meisingset, E. L. &
495		Viljugrein, H. 2016 Contrasting emergence of Lyme disease across
496		ecosystems. Nature Comm 7, Article 11882-
497	27.	Mysterud, A., Jore, S., Østerås, O. & Viljugrein, H. 2017 Emergence of tick-borne
498		diseases at northern latitudes in Europe: a comparative approach. Sci Rep 7,
499		article 16316-
500	28.	Clow, K. M., Leighton, P. A., Ogden, N. H., Lindsay, L. R., Michel, P., Pearl, D. L. &
501		Jardine, C. M. 2017 Northward range expansion of Ixodes scapularis evident
502		over a short timescale in Ontario, Canada. Plos One 12, e0189393-
503	29.	Haugeberg, G., Hansen, I. J. W., Skarpaas, T., Noraas, S. & Kjelland, V. 2014 Lyme
504		arthritis in southern Norway - an endemic area for Lyme borreliosis. BMC
505		Infectious Diseases 14, 185-
506	30.	Jore, S., Viljugrein, H., Hofshagen, M., Brun-Hansen, H., Kristoffersen, A., Nygard,
507		K., Ottesen, P., Saevik, B., Ytrehus, B. & Brun, E. 2011 Multi-source analysis
508		reveals latitudinal and altitudinal shifts in range of Ixodes ricinus at its northern
509		distribution limit. Parasite Vector 4, 84-

510	31.	Qviller, L., Grøva, L., Viljugrein, H., Klingen, I. & Mysterud, A. 2014 Temporal
511		pattern of questing tick Ixodes ricinus density at differing elevations in the
512		coastal region of western Norway. Parasite Vector 7, 179-
513	32.	Abrahamsen, J., Jacobsen, N. K., Kalliola, R., Dahl, E., Wilborg, L. & Påhlsson, L.
514		1977 Naturgeografisk region-inndeling av Norden. Nordiske Utredn Ser B 34,
515		1-135.
516	33.	MacDonald, E., Vestrheim, D. F., White, R. A., Konsmo, K., Lange, H., Aase, A.,
517		Nygård, K., Stefanoff, P., Aaberge, I. & Vold, L. 2016 Are the current
518		notification criteria for Lyme borreliosis in Norway suitable? Results of an
519		evaluation of Lyme borreliosis surveillance in Norway, 1995–2013. BMC
520		<i>Public Health</i> 16 , 1-11.
521	34.	Ocampo, J. M. F., Jore, S., Andreassen, Å., Wiklund, B. S., Dudman, S., Ottesen, P.,
522		Sandbu, S., Soleng, A., Vainio, K., Aaberge, I., Nygård, K. & Vold, L. 2016
523		Ticks and tick-borne diseases. Lyme borreliosis and tick-borne encephalitis.
524		Annual report. (In Norwegian). Oslo: Norwegian Health Institute.
525	35.	Kahl, O., Gern, L., Eisen, L. & Lane, R. S. 2002 Ecological research on Borrelia
526		burgdorferi sensu lato: terminology and some methodological pitfalls. In Lyme
527		borreliosis: biology, epidemiology and control. (eds. J. S. Gray, O. Kahl, R. S.
528		Lane & G. Stanek), pp. Wallingford, Oxfordsire, UK: CABI publishing.
529	36.	Loss, S. R., Noden, B. H., Hamer, G. L. & Hamer, S. A. 2016 A quantitative synthesis
530		of the role of birds in carrying ticks and tick-borne pathogens in North
531		America. Oecologia 182, 947-959.
532	37.	Klaus, C., Gethmann, J., Hoffmann, B., Ziegler, U., Heller, M. & Beer, M. 2016 Tick
533		infestation in birds and prevalence of pathogens in ticks collected from
534		different places in Germany. Parasitol Res 1-12.

535	38.	Heylen, D. J. A. 2016 Ecological interactions between songbirds, ticks, and Borrelia
536		burgdorferi s.l. in Europe. In Ecology and prevention of Lyme borreliosis. (eds.
537		A. H. B. Marieta, S. E. Van Wieren, W. Takken & H. Sprong), pp. 91-101.
538		Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.
539	39.	Hasle, G., Bjune, G., Edvardsen, E., Jakobsen, C., Linnehol, B., Roer, J. E., Mehl, R.,
540		Røed, K. H., Pedersen, J. & Leinaas, H. P. 2009 Transport of ticks by
541		migratory passerine birds to Norway. Journal of Parasitology 95, 1342-1351.
542	40.	Hasle, G., Bjune, G. A., Midthjell, L., Røed, K. H. & Leinaas, H. P. 2011 Transport of
543		Ixodes ricinus infected with Borrelia species to Norway by northward-
544		migrating passerine birds. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 2, 37-43.
545	41.	Kjelland, V., Stuen, S., Skarpaas, T. & Slettan, A. 2010 Borrelia burgdorferi sensu
546		lato in Ixodes ricinus ticks collected from migratory birds in southern Norway.
547		Acta Vet Scand 52, 59-
548	42.	Radzijevskaja, J., Rosef, O., Matulaityté, V. & Paulauskas, A. 2016 Borrelia
549		burgdorferi sensu lato genospecies in Ixodes ricinus ticks feeding on passerine
550		birds in southern Norway. Biologija 62, 124-133.
551	43.	Heylen, D., Fonville, M., Docters van Leeuwen, A., Stroo, A., Duisterwinkel, M., Van
552		Wieren, S., Diuk-Wasser, M., de Bruin, A. & Sprong, H. 2017 Pathogen
553		communities of songbird-derived ticks in Europe's low countries. Parasite
554		<i>Vector</i> 10 , 497-
555	44.	Framstad, E. 2016 Terrestrial nature surveillance in 2015: ground vegetation, epihytes,
556		small rodents and birds. (In Norwegian). NINA rapport 1279, 112-
557	45.	Dunn, E. H. & Ralph, C. J. 2004 Use of mist nets as a tool for bird population
558		monitoring. Studies in Avian Biology 29, 1-6.

46. Bairlein, F. 1994 *Manual of field methods*. Wilhelmshaven: European-African
Songbird migration network.

- 561 47. Edvardsen, E., Røer, J. E., Solvang, R., Ergon, T., Rafoss, T. & Klaveness, G. 2004
 562 *Population estimation by standardized capture and ringing at bird*563 *observatories. [In Norwegian].* Trondheim: Norsk ornitologisk forening.
- 48. Knudsen, E., Lindén, A., Ergon, T., Jonzén, N., Vik, J. O., Knape, J., Røer, J. E. &
- 565Stenseth, N. C. 2007 Characterizing bird migration phenology using data from566standardized monitoring at bird observatories. *Climate Research* **35**, 59-77.
- 567 49. Stenseth, N. C., Mysterud, A., Ottersen, G., Hurrell, J. W., Chan, K.-S. & Lima, M.
 568 2002 Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. *Science* 297, 1292-1296.
- 569 50. Breitner-Ruddock, S., Würzner, R., Schulze, J. & Brade, V. 1997 Heterogeneity in the
 570 complement-dependent bacteriolysis within the species of *Borrelia*571 *burgdorferi. Medical Microbiology and Immuonology* 185, 253-260.
- 572 51. Sandholm, K., Henningsson, A. J., Säve, S., Bergström, S., Forsberg, P., Jonsson, N.,
 573 Ernerudh, J. & Ekdahl, K. N. 2014 Early cytokine release in response to live
 574 *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato spirochetes is largely complement independent.
 575 *Plos One* 9, e108013-
- 576 52. Eliassen, K. E., Berild, D., Reiso, H., Grude, N., Christophersen, K. S., Finckenhagen,
 577 C. & Lindbæk, M. 2017 Incidence and antibiotic treatment of erythema
 578 migrans in Norway 2005-2009. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 8, 1-8.
- 579 53. Ljøstad, U., Skogvoll, E., Eikeland, R., Midgard, R., Skarpaas, T., Berg, Å. &
 580 Mygland, Å. 2008 Oral doxycycline versus intravenous ceftriaxone for
 581 European Lyme neuroborreliosis: a multicentre, non-inferiority, double-blind,
 582 randomised trial. *Lancet Neurology* 7, 690-695.

583	54.	Hunfeld, KP., Fingerle, V., Stanek, G., Daghofer, E., Brade, V., Wilske, B. & Peters,
584		H. 2005 European multicenter study for evaluation of a new enzyme
585		immunoassay for detection of IgG antibodies against Borrelia burgdorferi
586		sensu lato. Vienna: Poster 127a presented at International Conference on Lyme
587		borreliosis and other tick-borne diseases.
588	55.	McManus, M. & Cincotta, A. 2015 Effects of Borrelia on host immune system:
589		possible consequences for diagnostics. Advances in Integrative Medicine 2, 81-
590		89.
591	56.	Carlsson, S. A., Granlund, H., Jansson, C., Nyman, D. & Wahlberg, P. 2003
592		Characteristics of erythema migrans in Borrelia afzelii and Borrelia garinii
593		infections. Scand J Infect Dis 35, 31-33.
594	57.	Busch, U., Hizo-Teufel, C., Boehmer, R., Fingerle, V., Nitschko, H., Wilske, B. &
595		Preac-Mursic, V. 1996 Three species of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato (B.
596		burgdorferi sensu stricto, B. afzelii, and B. garinii) identified from
597		cerebrospinal fluid isolates by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and PCR. J Clin
598		Microbiol 34 , 1072-1078.
599	58.	Ornstein, K., Berglund, J., Bergström, S., Norrby, R. & Barbour, A. G. 2002 Three
600		major Lyme Borrelia genospecies (Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto, B. afzelii
601		and B. garinii) identified by PCR in cerebrospinal fluid from patients with
602		neuroborreliosis in Sweden. Scand J Infect Dis 34, 341-346.
603	59.	Ruzic-Sabljic, E., Lotric-Furlan, S., Maraspin, V., Cimperman, J., Pleterski-Rigler, D.
604		& Strle, F. 2001 Analysis of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato isolated from
605		cerebrospinal fluid. APMIS 109, 707-713.
606	60.	Gallais, F., De Martino, S. J., Sauleau, E. A., Hansmann, Y., Lipsker, D., Lenormand,
607		C., Talagrand-Reboul, E., Boyer, P. H., Boulanger, N., Jaulhac, B. &

608		Schramm, F. 2018 Multilocus sequence typing of clinical Borreliella afzelii
609		strains: population structure and differential ability to disseminate in humans.
610		Parasites & Vectors 11, 374-
611	61.	ECDC <[05] Pub Date> ECDC comment: European Commission updates
612		communicable disease surveillance list - Lyme neuroborreliosis now under
613		EU/EEA surveillance.
614	62.	Gilbert, L., Maffey, G. L., Ramsay, S. L. & Hester, A. J. 2012 The effect of deer
615		management on the abundance of <i>Ixodes ricinus</i> in Scotland. Ecol Appl 22,
616		658-667.
617	63.	Hofmeester, T. R., Sprong, H., Jansen, P. A., Prins, H. H. T. & Van Wieren, S. E.
618		2017 Deer presence rather than abundance determines the population density of
619		the sheep tick, Ixodes ricinus, in Dutch forests. Parasite Vector 10, article 433-
620		
621		

622	Table 1. Parameter estimates for analysis (GLMM with negative binomial family) of the
623	number of cases of neuroborreliosis in Norway from 1995-2017. Population size was included
624	as an offset variable. Random effect variances of 204 municipalities nested in 14 counties
625	were 0.15 and 0.09, respectively. Variables were scaled to mean 0 and variance 1. Sqrt =
626	square root. NAO=North Atlantic Oscillation index. DJF-December, January and February.

Parameter	Estimate	SE	Ζ	Р	ΔAICc
Intercept	-10.273	0.178	-57.83	< 0.001	
Log (spatial deer density $+ 0.1$)	0.351	0.063	5.60	< 0.001	26.9
Bird B. garinii hazard (lag 1 yr)	0.066	0.028	2.38	0.018	3.6
Region (South vs. other)	0.099	0.252	0.39	0.695	40.6
Region (East vs. other)	-1.177	0.270	-4.36	< 0.001	11.9
year	0.460	0.044	10.36	< 0.001	126.1
I (year ²)	-0.240	0.043	-5.57	< 0.001	29.6
Sqrt (distance to coast)	-0.387	0.093	-4.15	< 0.001	15.1
Sqrt (prop. human settlement)	-0.533	0.060	-8.85	< 0.001	58.0
Sqrt (agricultural area)	-0.308	0.073	-4.24	< 0.001	15.6
area > 200 m a.s.l.	-0.314	0.086	-3.66	< 0.001	11.0
spatial dependency (lag 1 yr)	0.133	0.071	1.87	0.062	1.5
NAO – DJF (lag 1 yr)	0.107	0.026	4.12	< 0.001	15.4
year:Region (South vs. other)	-0.339	0.056	-6.03	< 0.001	41.6
I (year ²):Region (South vs. other)	0.267	0.059	4.55	< 0.001	18.8

628 Figure captions

630	Figure 1. A conceptual overview linking the different genospecies of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> s.l. to the
631	most common clinical manifestations. This may potentially affect reporting on Lyme
632	borreliosis statistics relying on disseminated infection. While B. afzelii is the most common
633	pathogen due to a small mammal reservoir, it is a pathogen less likely to yield disseminated
634	infection than B. garinii.
635	
636	Figure 2. Lyme disease incidence per 100000 inhabitants at the scale of municipality across
637	the whole of Norway. (A) The cases of neuroborreliosis relative to other diagnosis (summed
638	at county scale) among the cases of disseminated infection in humans. (B) The proportion of
639	B. garinii in questing nymphs (from ESM table S3). Note the higher proportion of
640	neuroborreliosis in humans than proportion of <i>B. garinii</i> in questing nymphs.
641	
642	Figure 3. The effect sizes of different covariates explaining spatial and temporal variation in
643	the incidence of neuroborreliosis. Bird species are the number of migratory birds incoming to
644	southern Norway and are restricted to those species known to infect larval ticks with B.
645	garinii. The bird numbers were lagged one year relative to the disease cases, as engorged
646	larvae on birds are expected to become a hazard to humans as questing nymphs the year after
647	their first feeding. The incidence rate ratio (given by the exp(estimate) in Table 1) is the factor
648	by which the incidence is changing by an increase of 1 SD (variables are standardized) in the
649	respective variable, while the other variables are kept unchanged.







